brate, and perform a certain number of Masses, (then and there agreed on among them) for the good of the Soul of the said Ibomas Pickering, and should therefore pay to the said John Grove a certain sum of Money, (then and there also agreed on among them:) And further, that the faid Thomas Pickering and John Grove upon the Agreement aforesaid, then and there falily, deceitfully, advisedly, maliciously, devil. shly, and traiteroully did undertake, and to the faid Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, William Ireland, John Fenwick, and other salse Traitors of our faid Sovereign Lord the King unknown, then and there falfly, deceitfully, advisedly, maliciously, devilishly, and traiterously they did then and there promise, that they the said Thomas Pickering and John Grove our said Sovereign Lord the King would kill and murder: And further, that they the faid Thomas White otherwise Mbitchread, William Ireland, John Fenwick, Thomas Pickering, and John Grove, and other false Traitors of our said Sovereign Lord the King unknown, afterwards (to wit) the faid four and twentieth Day of April, in the said thirtieth Year of the Reign of our faid Sovereign Lord the King, at the said Parish of St Giles in the Fields in the County of Middlesen aforciaid, falily, deceitfully, advisedly, maliciously, devilishly, and traiterously, did severally plight their Faith every one to other of them, and did then and there swear and promise upon the Sacrament, to conceal and not to divulge their faid most wicked Treasons, and traiterous compassings, consultations, and purposes aforesaid, fo among them had, traitercuily to kill and murder our faid Sovereign Lord the King, and to introduce the Roman Religion, to be used within this Kingdom of England, and to alter and change the true Reformed Religion, rightly and by the Laws of this Kingdom of England, in this same Kingdom of England established: And further, that they the faid Thomas Pickering and John Grove, in execution of their faid traiterous Agreement, afte wards (to wit) the same 24th Day of April, in the said 30th Year of the Roign of our said Sovereign Lord the King, and divers other Days and Times afterwards at the said Parish of St. Giles in the Fields, in the said County of Middlesex, falfly, deceitfully, advisedly, maliciously, devilithly, and traiterously they did prepare and obtain to themselves, and had and did keep Musquets, Pistols, Swords, Daggers, and other offensive and cruel weapons and instruments, to kill and murder our said Sovereign Lord the King: And that they the said Thomas Pickering and John Grove afterwards, to wit the said four and twentieth Day of April, in the said thirtieth Year of the Reign of our said Sovereign Lord the King, and divers days and times afterwards with Force and Arms, &c. at the said Parish of St. Giles in the Fields in the County of Middlesex aforesaid, and in other places within the said County of Middlesex, salsily, deceitfully, advisedly, maliciously, and traiterously, did lie in wait, and endeavour to kill and murder our said Sovereign Lord the King; and further, that they the said Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, William Ireland, John Fenwick, and other false Traitors unknown, afterwards (to wit) the said 24th day of April in the said thirtieth Year of the Reign of our faid Sovereign Lord the King, at the said Parish of St. Giles in the Fields,

in the County of Middleses aforesaid, falsly, deceitfully, advitedly, maliciously, devilishly, and traiterously, did prepare, persuade, excite, abet, comfort, and counsel sour other Persons unknown, and Subjects of our said Sovereign Lord the King, traiterously to kill and murder our said Sovereign Lord the King, against the duty of their Allegiance, against the peace of our said Sovereign Lord the King, his Crown and Dignity, and against the form of the Statute in that behalf made and provided.

Upon this Indictment they have been arraigned, and thereunto have severally pleaded, Not Guilty, and for their Trial have put themselves upon God and their Country, which Country you are.

Your Charge therefore is to enquire, whether they or any of them be guilty of the High-Treafon, whereof they stand indicted, or not guilty. If you find them or any of them guilty, you are to enquire what Goods or Chattels, Lands or Tenements, those you find guilty had at the time of the High-Treason committed, or at any time since. If you find them, or any of them not guilty, you are to enquire whether they did fly for it, if you find that they or any of them sled for it, you are to enquire of their Goods and Chattels, as if you had found them guilty. If you find them or any of them fled for it, say so, and no more, and hear your Evidence.

Make Proclamation of Silence, on both sides. Which was done.

Then Sir Crefwell Levinz, one of the King's Learned Countel in the Law, opened the indictment, thus:

Sir Cres. Levinz. May it please your Lordship, and you Gentlemen of the Jury: These Prisoners at the Bar, Thomas White alias Whitebread, William Ireland, John Fenwick, Thomas Pickering, and John Grove, do all stand indicted of High-Treason; for that whereas they, as false Traitors, meaning and designing to disturb the Peace of the Kingdom, to levy War within the Kingdom, to make miserable slaughter against the King's Subjects, to subvert the Religion cstablished by the Law of the Land, to introduce the Superstition of the Church of Rome, and to bring to death and final destruction, and to murder and affaffinate our Sovereign Lord the King, they did, to effect these things, the four and twentieth of April last assemble themselves together, with many other false Traitors yet unknown, in the Parish of St. Giles in the Fields in the County of Middlesex, and there, being so alsembled, the better to effect these designs did make agreements and conspire together; first, that Pickering and Grove should kill the King, and that White and the rest of the Persons that stand indicted, with many other Traitors, should say a great number or Masses for the Soul of the said Pickering, I think thirty thousand, and they did further agree there that Grove should have a great Sum of Money, and upon this Agreement Grove and Pickering did undertake and promise they would do this Fact, and did then and there take the Sacrament and an Oath to one another upon the Sacrament, that they would conceal these their Treasons, that they might the better effect them; and that in pursuance of this, Grove and Pickering did divers times lie in wait to murder the King, and did provide arms to do it; and the Indictment further sets forth, that White, and Ireland, and Fenwick, and many other Traitors yet unknown, did procure four other Persons yet also unknown, for to kill the King, against the peace of our Sovereign Lord the King, his Crown and Dignity, and against the form of the Statute. These are the heads of those Facts for which they stand indicted: They have all pleaded Not guilty, if we prove them or any of them guilty of these or any of these Facts according to the Evidence you shall have, we hope you will find it.

Sir Samuel Baldwin, one of his Majesty's Serjeants at Law, opened the Charge as followeth.

Sir Samuel Baldwin. May it please your Lordship, and you Gentlemen of the Jury, the Persons here before you stand indicted of High-Treason, they are five in number, three of them are Jesuits, one is a Priest, the fifth is a Lay-man, Persons fitly prepared for the work in hand.

Gentlemen, it is not unknown to most Persons, may to every one amongst us, that hath the least observed the former times, how that ever since the Reformation there hath been a Design carried on to subvert the Government, and dellroy the Protestant Religion established here in England; for during all the Reign of Queen Elizabeth several attempts were made by several Priests and Jesuits, that came from beyond the Seas, (though the Laws were then fevere against them) to destroy the Queen, and alter the Religion established here in England, and so introduce Popery and the Superstition of the Church of Rome.

But the Conspirators from time to time, during all the Queen's Reign, were disappointed, At length, about the latter end of the Queen's time, a Seminary for the English Jesuits was founded at Valledolid in Spain, and you know the Employment fuch Persons have.

And soon after the Queen's death, in the beginning of the Reign of King James several Perfons came over into England from this very Seminary, who together with one Henry Garnet, Superior of the Jetuits then in England, and divers other English Papists, hatched that hellish Gunpowder-Plot; whereby what was designed you all know; but as it fell out, these Persons, as well as those in Queen Elizabeth's time, were likewise disappointed, and for their execuable Treasons, in the third Year of King James, were executed at Tyburn and other places.

This is evident by the very Act of Parliament in 3º Jacobi, in the preamble whereof mention is made that Greswell and Tesmond Jesuits, came from Valledolid in Spain to execute this Gunpowder-Treason with the Popish party here in England.

And, Gentlemen, after this Treason, so miraculously discovered, was punished, one would not have thought that any future Age would have been guilty of the like Conspiracy; but it so falls out that the Mystery of Iniquity and Vol. II.

been a fort of cruel and bloody-minded Persons, who, in hopes to have better success, than they had in former times, during the Reigns of Queen Elizabeth and King James, have set on soot as horrid a Design as that of the Gunpowder Treason; I can resemble it to no other Plot, or Design, or Treason in any other time, and truly it does resemble that in many particulars: I may say, it doth at the least equal it, if not exceed

Jesuitism still worketh, for there hath of late

I shall mention two or three particulars in which this Plot doth resemble that.

First, That horrid Design was to take away the Life of the then King, to subvert the Government, to introduce the Popish Religion, and to destroy the established Protestant Religion in England, and so, Gentlemen, we think our Proofs will make it out, that in each of thele particulars this Design is the same that that was.

Secondly, The great Actors in that Design were Priests and Jesuits that came from Valledolid in Spain and other places beyond the Seas. And the great Actors in this Plot are Priests and Jesuits, that are come from St. Omers, and other places beyond the Seas nearer home than Spain.

Thirdly, That Plot was chiefly guided and managed by Henry Garnet, Superior and Provincial of the Jesuits then in England; and the great Actor in this Design is Mr. Whitebread, Superior and Provincial of the Jesuits now in England; so that I say in these several Particulars it does refemble the GUNPOWDER-PLOT.

Gentlemen, In this Plot, of which the Prisoners now stand indicted, several Persons have several Parts: Some of these Persons are employed to keep Correspondence beyond the Seas, (of which more hath been faid in another place, and fo I shall not speak of it here:) Others were to procure and prepare Aid and Assistance here in as Edmond Campion and several other Jesuits, who England, who were to be ready when there came over in that time, and were executed, and should be occasion to use it. But the great did suffer for their Treasons according to Law; part that These Persons (the Prisoners at the Bar) were to Act in this Conspiracy, was, To take away the Life of our Sovereign Lord the KING, on whole Preservation the safety and welfare of Three Nations (and Millions of Men) doth depend. Now the Facts for which the Five Prisoners stand indicted, I shall open thus:

First, They are here Indicted for Conspiring the Death of his Sacred Majefy: They did Agree to take away the KING's Life; and entering into such an Agreement, They Hired some Persons amongst them to do it; and this Agreement was made the 24th of April last, 1678.

Secondly, There is another Fact they likewile itand Indicted for: That they did Endeavour and Contrive to Change and Alter the Religion Established in the Nation, and introduce Popery in the Room of it. The Manner how to effect this, was thus, if my Information be right; you shall hear that from the Evidence. Mr. Whitebread being Relident here in England, and Superior of the Jesuits, did in February last think fit, (being impowered by Authority from Rome) to give Summons to the Jesuits abroad, at St. Omers, and other places beyond the Seas, that they should come over here into England, to be ready at London, on the Four and Twentieth of April,

Uuuu2

the

the day laid in the Indictment, and which is the day after St. George's day; and their design was (as will appear by the Proof) to Contrive how they may Take away the Life of the KING: For if that were once done, they thought, in all other Things, their Design would easily be accomplished. After the Summons were out, they were so officious for the accomplishing of this Great End, that between Forty and Fifty Jesuits did appear here at London at the time, (for thither they were Summoned) and there the Meeting was appointed to be. At the White-Horse Tavern in the Strand they were to meet first; but being to great a Number, that they were likely to be taken notice of, if they came all together, it was so Ordered, they should come but a sew at a time, and go off in small Numbers; and others should succeed them, till the whole Number had been there. And there were Directions given, and a Course taken, that there should be some Person to tell them whither they should go from thence. After they had met there at several times in the same day, they were appointed, and adjourned to be at several other Places; ionie of them were appointed to be at Mr. Whitebread's Lodging, and that was in Wild rect, at one Mr. Sanders's House: Others were appointed to go to Mr. Ireland's Lodging, which was in Ruffel-Street, (and this Mr. Ireland was Treasurer of the Society:) And others were to meet at Mr. Fewwick's Chamber in Drury-Lane; and he was at that time Procurator and Agent for that Society. Others were appointed to meet at Harcourt's Lodging; and others at other Places.

When they came there, they all agreed to the general Design of the first meeting, which was, To kill the King. Then there was a Paper, or some Instrument to be Subscribed. This was done, and the Sacrament was raken for the Concealment of it. After that, Whitebread, Ireland, Fenwick, and others, did agree that Mr. Grove and Mr. Pickering thould be employed to Assassing the King. One of them (Mr. Grove) being a Lay-Brother, was to have Fifteen Hundred Pound, a great Sum; the other, as a more fuitable Reward for his Pains, was to have Thirty Thousand Masses said for his Soul. Mr. Whitebread, Mr. Ireland, and Mr. Fenwick, were all privy to this Design; and this was the Twenty fourth of April. In August after (they being appointed to Kill the King, but it not taking Effect, either their Hearts misgave them, or they wanted Opportunity) there was another Meeting at the Savoy, where the Witnesses will tell you, four Irish Persons were hired for to Kill the King. And this was ordered, in case the other Design took not Effect. There was sourscore Pounds fent down to them to Windsor, where they were to have done the Fact. After this, other Persons were appointed to do the Execution, and they were to take the King at his Morning Walk at New-market.

These Persons were all disappointed in their Design. But you shall hear what was the Agreement, how it was carried on, and what Rewards were given to carry it on. We shall acquaint you likewise, that for the bottom of this Design (when so many Jesuits should come over, when they should have so many Consultations, and when they should resolve to Kill the King)

gion, and Introduction of Popery here in England. And that time, at the first Meeting, they had Ordered, That Mr. Cary a Jesuit, as their Procurator and Agent, should go to Rome, to act their Concerns there. All which things, and more, will be made out to you by Witnesses produced. There are likewise some other Circumstances that will be material to confirm those Witnesses. We shall produce to you a Letter written in February last, about that time that Mr. Whetebread sent over his Summons for the Jesuits, to appear here. This Letter was written by one Mr. Peters, a Jesuit now in Custody; and 'tis written to one Tunstal a Jesuit, to give him notice, That he should be in London about the twenty first of April, and be ready on the twenty fourth of April; That he knew what the Business was; but he did advise him, that he should conceal himself, lest the Plot (by Observation) should be discover'd. We shall likewise produce several other Evidences, to Strengthen and Confirm the Witnesies: Weshall first call our Witnesses, and enter upon the Proof.

Mr. Finch open'd the Evidence thus:

Mr. Finch. May it please your Lordship, and you Gentlemen of the Jury,

Before we call our Witnesses, I would beg Leave once more to Remind you, of what hath already been open'd unto you; The Quality of the Offenders themselves, and the Nature of the Offence they stand Indicted of.

For the Offenders, they are most of them Priests and Jesuits; three of them at the least are 10; the other Two, are the Accursed Instruments of this Design: For the Offence it felf, 'tis High-Treason.

And though it be High-Treason by the Statute of Twenty Seven Eliz. for Men of that Profession to come into England; yet these Men are not Indicted upon that Law, nor for that Treason: This I take notice of to you, for the Prisoners sake, that they should not sancy to themselves they suffered Martyrdom for their Religion, as some of them have vainly Imagin'd in their case; and for your sakes too, that as at first, it was Treason, repeased Acts of Treason, in these Men; and those proceeding from a Principle of Religion too, that justly occasioned the making that Law: So here you might observe a pregnant Instance of it in the Prisoners at the Bar, That whenever they had an Opportunity, as now they thought they had, they have never failed to put those Principles into Practice.

So now, Gentlemen, As they are not Indicted for being Priests, I must desire you to lay that quite out of the Case, and only consider, that they stand here Accused for Treason; such Treason, as were they Lay-men only, they ought to Die for it; though I cannot but obferve, they were the fooner Traitors for being Priests.

The Treason therefore they stand Indicted of, is of the highest Nature: It is a Conspiracy to Kill the King, and that too with Cicumstances so Aggravating (if any thing can aggravate that Offence which is the Highest) that nothing less than the Total Subversion of the Government, and utter Destruction of the Protestant Religion, would ferve their Turns. And really, when there could be no less than the Altering of Reli- you consider the Root from whence this Treason springs, you will cease wondring that all this should be Attempted, and rather wonder that it

was not done.

Milchiefs have often miscarried for want of Wickedness enough; the Horror of Conscience, or elle the Malice of the Aggressor not being equal to the Attempt, has sometimes prevented the Execution of it. Here is no Room for any thing of this kind: This Treason proceeds from a Principle of Religion, from a Sense that it is Lawful; Nay, that they ought to do these things; and every Neglecthere, is lookt on as a piece of Irreligion, a want of Zeal; for which one of the Prisoners did Penance, as in the Course of our Evidence we shall prove unto

you. And when we consider too, that this is carried on, not by the fury of two or three busy Men over-zealous in the Cause, but by the deliberate and iteddy Counsels of the whole Order, and that too under the Obligations of Secrecy as high as Christian Religion can lay on them; You have great reason to wonder that it did not succeed. And yet after all this they have not been able to prevail. Not that we can bragg of any human Policy that did prevent it; No; all that the Wit of Man could do, these Men had done: But 'twas the Providence of God, 'twas his Revelation; That Providence that first enlighten'd his Church, and has preferv'd it against all Opposition heretofore, has once more disappointed their Counsels, and preserved the King and this Nation in the Profession of that True Religion these Men have vainly attempted to defiroy.

Gentlemen. I will not open to you the Particulars of our Evidence, that I had rather should come from the Witnesses themselves: I shall only in general tell you what will be the course of it. We shall prove unto you, That there was a Summons for a Confultation to be held by these Men the 24th of April last, from the Provincial Mr. Whitebread; That they had a Caution given them, Not to come too foon, nor appear much about Town, till the Consultation were over, left occasion should be given to Sufpest the Design: That accordingly a Consultation was held, as they fay, to fend Cary, their Procurator, to Rome; Though we shall prove to you, it was for other purposes: That they adjourned from their General Assembly into lesser Companies; where several Persons did attend them to carry Intelligence of their several Resolutions: That at these several Consults, they did resolve, The King was to be Killed. That Pickering and Grove should do it; for which the one was to have 30000 Masses said for his Soul: The other was to have 1500 l. That in Prosecution of this Delign, they made several Atthe King several times in St. James's Park and other places; And that once in particular, it had been done by Pickering, if it had not pleafed God to have prevented it by an Accident unforeseen; The Flint of his Pistol being loose, he durst not then attempt it, though he had an opportunity: For which neglect, we shall prove to you, he underwent the Penance of 20 or 30 itrokes. That when these Men had failed, we thall prove to you they hired four Russians to Murder the King at Windsor, and after that at New-Market. Thus they Way-laid him in all he was so appointed by the Sustrages of the

his Privacies and Retirements, wherever they could think it most convenient to execute their Design.

And this we shall prove by two Witnesses; who though they should not speak to the same Consultations, nor the same Times; yet they are still two Witnesses in Law. For several Witnesses of several Overt-Acts, are so many Witnesses to the Treason. Because the Treason confifts in the Intention of the Man, in the Compassing and Imagining the Death of the King. The several Overt-Acts which declare that Intention, are but as so many Evidences of the Treason. We will call our Witnesses, and make out what has been open'd to you.

Cl. of Cr. Mr. Oates, Lay your Hand upon the Book. The Evidence you shall give for our Sovereign Lord the King against Thomas White alias Whitebread, William Ireland, John Fenwick, Thomas Pickering, and John Grove, the Prisoners at the Bar, shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. So help you God.

Mr. Serj. Baldwyn. Pray, Mr. Oates, will you declare to the Court and the Jury, what Design there was for the Killing of His Majesty, and by whom.

Mr. Oates. My Lord, In the Month of December last, Mr. Thomas Whitebread did receive a Patent from the General of the Jesuits at Rome to be Provincial of the Order; after he had received this Patent, he sent Order to one George Conyers a Jesuit at St. Omers to Preach upon St. Thomas of Canterbury's Day; and by virtue of this Order, George Conyers did Preach against Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy, and did in his Doctrine call them Anti-Christian and Devillish. My Lord, in the Month of January, this Mr. Whitebread did send several Letters to St. Omers; in which Letters there was contained intimation of his intent to proceed against the King's Person to Assassinate him, which Letters were written to Richard Ashby. My Lord, in the Month of February, there comes an Order from him as Provincial, for several of the Jefuits to make their appearance at London, to be there at a Consult to be held the 24th of April Old Stile.

Sir William Scroggs L.C. J. Where was Whitebread then?

Mr. Oates. He was then in London, my Lord, as I suppose by the dating of his Letters. My Lord, from Mr. Whitebread after this Summons, we received a fecond Summons, which came the 5th of April, New Stile, and upon the Summons there were Nine did appear at London, the Rector of Liege, Sir Thomas Preston, the Rector of Ghent, whose name is Marsh, the Rector of Wotton, whose name is Williams, and one Sir tempts to execute it; That they lay in Wait for John Warner, and two or three more from St. Omers; and there was a special Order given us, my Lord, to keep our selves close, lest we should be suspected, and so our Design disclosed. My Lord, upon the four and twentieth of April, Old Stile, we did appear in the Consult. The Consult was begun at the White-Horse Tavern in the Strand, and there they met in several Rooms, they came in by degrees, and as the new ones came on, the old ones, those that had been there before them, fell off. And there was one John Cary appointed to go Procurator for Rome, and

three Prisoners at the Bar, Whitebread, Ireland, and Fenwick. It was afterwards adjourned into several Colloquies, or little Meetings; one Meeting was at Mrs. Sanders's House, that butts upon Wild-House; a Second was at Mr. Ireland's; a third was at Mr. Harcourt's; a Fourth was at Mr. Grove's; and other Meeting or Meetings there were, but I cannot give a good Account of them. My Lord, after they had thus met, and debated the State of Religion, and the Life of the King, they drew up this Resolve; it was drawn up by one Mico, who was Secretary to the Society, and Socius, or Companion to the Provincial.

L. C. J. When was that done?

Mr. Oates. That Day, my Lord The Resolve, my Lord, was this, as near as I can remember the Words. It is resolved, That Thomas Pickering, and John Grove, shall go on in their Attempt to Assassinate the King (whether they used the Word Assassinate, I can't remember, but the Meaning was, they should make an Attempt upon his Person) and that the Reward of the one, that is Grove's, should be Fifteen Hundred Pounds, and that Pickering's Reward should be Thirty Thousand Masses. My Lord, after this Resolution was Signed by Whitebread, it was Signed by Fenwick and Ireland, and by all the four Clubs: I saw them Sign it, for I carried the Instrument from one to another.

L. C J. What was it they Signed? Mr. Oates. The Resolve of the Consult.

L.C.J. What, that which was drawn up by Mico?

Mr. Oates. Yes, my Lord, that which was drawn up by Mico.

Whitebread. Doth he say that he saw them Sign it?

Mr. Oates. Yes, I did see them Sign it.

Jury. We desire he may be asked, where he

faw them Sign it.

Mr. Oates. Mr. Il bitebread Signed it at that Part of the Consult that was at his Chamber, Ireland did Sign it at that Part of the Contult that was at his Chamber, Fenwick Signed it at that Part of the Consult that was at his Cham-

Whitebread. Were you at all these Places?

Mr. Oates. I went with it from Place to Place; but I mention no more now, but only these.

Whitebread. You were not at all these Places, and saw them Sign it there, were you?

Mr. Oates. Yes, I did he them Sign it at all those Places. My Lord, in the Month of May, Mr. Whitebread came over as Provincial from England to St. Omers, to begin his Provincial Visitation, and with him came Cary and his Companion Mico. Cary left St. Omers to begin his Journey to Rome; Whitebread, after he had given an Accompt of what Proceedings the Catholicks of *England* had made in order to disturb the Peace of the Kingdom, what Moneys had been gathered, what Suffrages dispers'd, what Means had been used, what Noblemen had joined in this execrable Plot; he did then (my Lord) order me to come for England.

L. C. J. Whitebread did?

Mr. Oates. Yes, my Lord, Whitebread did. And, my Lord, the Bulinels I was to come into England for, was to murder one Dr. Tongue, a Doctor in Divinity, who had written a Book

called The Jesuits Morals; that is to say, Translated them out of French into English. My Lord, I came over into England on the 23d of June, New Stile; I came out of St. Omers, that is the 13th in the Stile of England; on the 24th New Stile, I took the Packet-Boat at Calais; the 25th New Stile, I met with Mr. Fenwick at Dover, he was come down with certain Youths, to send them to St. Omers, and had ordered their Passage.

My Lord, with Mr. Fenwick, and some other Persons, we came to London in a Coach, and six Miles (as near as I remember it) on this side Canterbury, at a Place called Bolton, our Coach was stopt by the Searchers, and there they did examine a Box that was in the Coach directed for the Honourable Richard Blundell Esq; This Box, when they open'd it, they found full of Beads, Crucifixes, Images, and other forts of Trumpery, that I cannot give a good Account of; it's he can give the beit: Mr. Fenwick went by the Name of one Thompson, and did Personate one Thompson, as living near the Fountain-Tavern at Charing-Cross; and did order the Searchers to write to him there, as by the Name of Thompson. When the Box was seiz'd, they being Prohibited Goods, Mr. Fenwick did say, that if they had fearch'd his Pockets, they had found fuch Letters about him, as might have cost him his Lise; but his Letters did escape Searching. We came that Night to Sittenburgh, and lay there on Sunday the 26th New Stile, as near as I remember; and I think we stayed there till the Afternoon: We took Coach in the Afternoon, and came as far as Dartford. On Monday Morning we came into London; and (my Lord) when we came into London, and had continued there some Days. (I now return to Mr. Whitebread.) There came one Ashby to Town, he had been some time Rector of St. Omers, and was come to England fick of the Gout, and was to go to the Beth to be Cured. And he brought Instructions with him from Whitebread; and the Instructions contained in them these Particulars: Instructions or Memorials, or what else they called them. First, That Ten Thousand Pounds should be proposed to Sir George Wakeman for the Killing of the King. Secondly, That Care should be taken for the Murder of the Bishop of Hereford. Thirdly, That Care should be taken for the Murder of Dr. Stilling fleet. Fourthly, That though this Proposal was made to Sir George Wakeman of 10000 l. yet Pickering and Grove should go on still in their Attempts. My Lord, afterwards these were taken and copied out, and dispers'd to the several Conspirators in the Kingdom, whose Names I cannot call to mind. But Coleman made several Copies and dispers'd them about: Then the 10000 i. was proposed to Sir George Wakeman, but it was refused.

L. C. J. What, it was too little?

Mr. Oates. Yes, (my Lord) it was too little. Then Whitebread he writ from St. Omers, that in case 10000 l. would not do, Fisteen should be proposed, and after that he had that proposed, he accepted of that.

L. C. J. Were you by when he accepted

Mr. Oates. No, (my Lord) I was not: But it appeared upon their Entry-Books, and it appeared by a Letter from this Gentleman Mr. Whitebread, wherein he did shew a great deal of Joy

for

for Sir Geerge Wekeman's accepting of the Fifteen Thousand Pounds. (My Lord) After this was agreed upon, that Sir George Wakeman should have Fifteen Thousand Pounds, and Five Thousand of it was paid by Coleman or his Order. Thus the State of Assairs stood till August. Then one Fogarthy, who is dead, came to a Consult of the Jesuits with the Benedictins: Now at this Consult the Prisoner at the Bar Fenwick was, he was one and Harcourt was another. And in this Consult there were Four Russians recommended to them.

L.C.J. By whom?

Mr. O. tes. By Fogarthy they were recommended, but accepted of by their Consultors, and contented to by Fenwick. They were fentaway, and the next Day after Fourscore Pounds was sent them, the most Part of it was Gold, and Coleman was there and gave the Mcsenger a Guinea to expedite his Errand. (My Lord) In the Month of August there came other Letters from Whitebread, wherein he did give an Account of what care he had taken of the Scotch Business; and he ordered one Moor and one Sanders, alias Brown, to go down to Scotland, and he did order the Rector of London, then William Harcourt, to fend them; and he did so send them the 6th of August, in the Name of the Provincial.

Whitebread. From whence, I pray?

Mr. Oates. From London, and they went to prosecute and carry on the Design which Fenwick and Ireland had plotted, of a Rebellion amongst the disaffected Scots against the Governors appointed them by the King, and they sent down Ministers to preach under the Notion of Presbyterian Ministers, in order to get the disaffected Scots to rife, by infinuating the sad Condition they were likely to be in, by reason of Episcopal Tyranny (as they termed it.) And that they were resolved to dispose of the King, and they did intend to dispose of the Duke too, in case he did not appear vigorous in promoting the Catholick Religion; (I speak their own Words.)

L. C. J. Have you done with your Evidence? What do you know of the Prisoners at the Bar? Name them all.

Mr. Oates. There is Whitebread, Ireland, Fen-wick, Pickering, and Grove.

L. C. J. Are you fure Pickering and Grove accepted of the Terms?

Mr. Oates. Yes, (my Lord) I was there.

L. C. J. Where was it?

Mr. Oates. At Mr. Whitebread's Lodgings, at Mrs. Sanders's House. As for Grove, indeed he did attend at that time upon Fenwick at his Chamber; but after the Consult was over, he came to Whitebread's Lodgings, and did take the Sacrament and the Oaths of Secrecy upon it, and did accept it, and agree to it.

 $L.C.\mathcal{F}$ . Were you there when he took the

Sacrament?

Mr. Oates. Yes (my Lord) I was.

L. C. J. Who gave you the Sacrament?

Mr. Oates. It was a Jesuit, that goes by the Name of one Barton.

Whitebread. My Lord, before I forget it, I defire to fay this. He says that at such and such Consults in April and May he was present, and carried the Resolutions from one to another. There are above a hundred and a hundred, that

can testify he was all that while at St. Omers.

Pray tell me when I received the Sacrament?

Mr. Oates. At the same time.

Whitebread. What Day was that? Mr. Oates. The 24th of April.

Whitebread. Was I there?

Mr. Oates. You were there.

Whitebread. I take God to witness I was not. -

L. C. J. Mr. Whitebread, you shall have time to make your Answer. But Mr. Oates, pray Mr. Oates, when was Mr. Cary dispatch'd away to Rome, and what was his Errand?

Mr. Oates. My Lord, I'll tell you; he was approved of to go to Rome the 24th of April; in the Month of May or June, M'hitebread brings Cary over to St. Omers, and one Mico his Secretary or Companion with him.

L.C.J. When was it?

Mr. Oates. In the Month of May or June, he was brought over by the Provincial; then he went away on his Journey, and at Paris received 201. to bear his Charges.

Mr. Finch. What do you know of any Attempts to kill the King at St. James's Park?

Mr. Oates. I saw Pickering and Grove several times walking in the Park together with their screw'd Pistols, which were longer than ordinary Pistols, and shorter than some Carbines. They had Silver Bullets to shoot with, and Grove would have had the Bullets to be champt, for fear that if he should shoot, if the Bullets were round, the Wound that might be given might be cured.

L. C. J. Did Grove intend to champ them?

Mr. Octes. He did say so.

L. C. J. Did he shew you the Bullets?

Mr. Oates. I did see them.

Grove. When was this?

Mr. Oates. I saw the Bullets in the Month of May, and in the Month of June.

Whitebread. Pray, where did you see them?

Mr. Oates. In Grove's Possession.

Whitebread. At what time?

Mr. Oates. In the Month of May.

Whitebread. Then was he actually himself at St. Omers. Was it in May, or June?

The Oster The letter end of Man

Mr. Oates. The latter end of May and June. I faw them then twice, if not thrice. But Picker-ing's I saw in August.

Sir Cr. Levinz. Do you know any thing of

Pickering's doing Penance, and for what?

Mr. Oates. Yes, (my Lord.) In the Month of March last, (for these Persons have followed the King several Years) but he at that time had not look'd to the Flint of his Pistol, but it was loose, and he durst not venture to give Fire. He had a fair Opportunity, as Whitebread said; and because he mist it through his own Negligence, he underwent Penance, and had 20 or 30 Strokes of Discipline, and Grove was Chidden for his Carelesness.

L. C. J. That was in March last?

Mr. Oates. Yes, my Lord. L.C.J. How do you know that?

Mr. Oates. By Letters that I have seen from Mr. Whitebread, these I saw, and read, and I know Whitebread's Hand.

Mr. Serj. Baldwyn. What do you know of the Russians that went down to Windsor? What Success had they?

carried the Resolutions from one to another. Mr. Oates. I can give no Account of that, be-There are above a hundred and a hundred, that cause in the beginning of September this Gentle-

man that had been in England some time before, was come to London, and the Business had taken Air, and one Bedding field had written to him, that the thing was discovered, and that none but fuch a one could do it, naming me by a Name that he knew I went by.

Whitebread. When was that, Sir?

AIr. Oates. In the Month of September last. I came to the Provincial's Chamber the third of September, when I came I could not speak with him, for he was at Supper; but when he had supp'd I was admitted in, and there he shewed me the Letter that he had received from Bedding field.

Whitebread. Where did you see it?

Mr. Ostes. You read it to me when you chid me, and beat me, and abused me.

L. C. J. What did he chide you for?

Mr. Oates. He did charge me with very high Language of being with the King, and with a Minister, and discovering the Matter. I was so unfortunate that the Gentleman who was with the King, did wear the same colour'd Clothes that I did then wear: And he having given an Account that the Party wore such Clothes, the Suspicion was laid upon me: Now, my Lord, I had not then been with the King, but another Gentleman had been with him from me with the Draughts of some Papers concerning this Business, which I had drawn up, and I was ready to appear when I should be called to justify them, only I did not think fit to appear immediately; And, my Lord, this Bedding field, he had gotten into it that it was discovered, and writ the Provincial word he thought it was by me; fer, said he, he hath been drawn in by some of his old Acquaintance: When he had received this Letter, he asked me with what Face I could look upon him, fince I had betrayed them: So, my Lord, I did profess a great deal of Innocency, because I had not then been with the King; but he gave me very ill Language, and abused me, and I was afraid of a worse Mischief from them, for I could not but conclude, that if they dealt so cruelly with those that only writ against them, I could scarce escape, of whom they had that Jealousy, that I had betrayed them: And, my Lord, though they could not prove that I had discovered it, yet upon the bare Suspicion, I was beaten, and affronted, and reviled, and commanded to go beyond Sea again; nay, my Lord, I had my Lodging assaulted to have murdered me if they could.

Whitebread. By whom?

Mr. Oates. By Mr. Whitebread, and some of them.

Whitebread. Who best you? Mr. Ottes. Mr. Whitebread did.

Mr. Serj. Baldwyn. Was it Pickering or Grove that had the Flint of his Pistolloose?

Mr. Oates. Pickering.

Pickering. My Lord, I never shot off a Pistol in all my Life.

L. C. J. What fay you as to the Fourscore Pounds?

Mr. Oates. My Lord, I will speak to that, that was given to the four Russians that were to kill the King at Windfor: Now, my Lord, that Money I faw----

L.C.J. Where did you see it? Mr. Oates. At Harcourt's Chamber.

L.C.J. Where is that?

Mr. Oates. In Duke-Street, near the Arch.

L. C. J. Who was it given by?

Mr. Ostes. William Harcourt.

L.C.J. Did you see the four Fellows?

Mr. O. tes. No, my Lord, I never did, nor never knew their Names.

L. C. J. Who was the Money given to?

Mr. Oates. A Messenger that was to carry it down to them.

L. C.J. Who was that Messenger?

Mr.O. tes. One of theirs that I do not know, and I durst not be too inquisitive, my Lord, for fear of being suspected.

L. C. J. Who was by when the Money was

paid?

Mr. Ontes. Coleman, that is executed; my Lord, there was this Mr. Fenevick by, that is the Prisoner at the Bar.

Fenzvick. When was this?

Mr. Oates. In the Month of August.

Fenwick. Where?

Mr. Oates. At Harcourt's Chamber.

Fenwick. I never saw you there in all my Lise: Are you fure I was by when the Money was there?

Mr. Oates. Yes, you were.

L. C. J. Mr. Fenwick, you shall have your time by and by to ask him any Question: Mr. Outes, let me ask you once again; when there was the Appointment made for Grove and Pickering to kill the King, who Signed it?

Mr. O.tes. At least Forty Signed it. L. C. J. Did the other Three Sign it? Mr. Oates. Yes, my Lord, all of them.

L. C. J. Name them.

Mr. Ostes. There was Whitebread, Fenwick, and Ireland.

 $L.C.\mathcal{F}$ . And you say you went from Place to Place and faw it Signed?

Mr. Oates. Yes, my Lord, I did.

L. C.J. Were you Attendant upon them? Mr. Oates. My Lord, I ever was fince the Year 1666.

L. C. J. At whose Lodgings did you use to attend upon the Confultation?

Mr. O.tes. At the Provincial's Chamber, Mr. Whitebread.

L. C. J. Where was it first Signed?

Mr. Oates. At the Provincial's Chamber.

Sir Cr. Levinz. Who carried it from Lodging to Lodging?

Mr. Ostes. I did.

 $L. C. \mathcal{J}.$  When was it?

Mr. Oates. The 24th of April.

Mr. Just. Bertue. You say you carried the Refult from Place to Place, pray tell us what that Refult was?

Mr. O.tes. They knew what it was, for they read it before they Signed it.

Mr. Just. Atkins. But tell us the Contents of

Mr. O.tes. The Contents of that Resolve was this, (I'll tell you the Substance, though I cannot tell you exactly the Words) that Pickering and Grove should go on in their Attempts to Aisassinate the Person of the King, as near as I can remember it was fo, that the former should have 30000 Masses and the latter 1500 Pounds, and the whole Consult did consent to it and Signed the Agreement that was made with them, and did resolve upon the King's Death all in one Resolve.

L. C. J. Where was this agreed upon? at

the White-Horse Tavern?

Mr. Ostes. No, my Lord. After they had agreed at the White-Horse, that Mr. Cary should go Procurator to Rome, and some other small Particulars, which I cannot now remember, they did adjourn from the White-Horse Tavern, and met at several Chambers, some at one Place, and some at another.

L. C. J. But you say Mico did draw up the

Resolution, where was that?

Mr. Oates. At Mr. Whitebread's Chamber, for he was Socius, and Secretary to the Provincial.

L. C. J. Were Ireland and Fenwick present

when Mico drew it up?

Mr. Oates. No, my Lord, but they were at their own Chambers; after it was drawn up there, and figned by Mr. Whitebread, and those of the Consult in his Chamber, it was carried to the several Consults.

L. C. J. What, all the same Day?

Mr. Oates. Yes, my Lord.

L. C. J. And you went along with it?

Mr. Oates. Yes, my Lord, I did.

Mr. Just. Bertue. I only ask you, were all the five Prisoners privy to it? or do you distinguish any of them, and which?

Mr. Oates. They were all privy to it.

Whitebread, My Lord, we can prove -----

L. C.J. You shall have time sufficient to make what Defence you can, you shall be sure to have a fair Trial, and be stopt of nothing that you will think fit to say for your selves. Mr. Ostes, were Pickering and Grove present?

Mr. Oates. Yes, my Lord, Grove at Fenwick's Chamber, and Pickering at the Provincial's Cham-

ber.

L. C. J But they were not required to sign

this, were they?

Mr. Oates. After that the whole Confult had figned it, and Mass was preparing to be said for it, before Mass, they did sign and accept of it.

L. C. J. Where did they two do it? Mr. Oates. At the Provincial's Chamber.

L. C. J. What Day was it?

Mr. Oates. That Day, for they met all together at the Provincial's Chamber to receive the Sacrament, and when Mass was going to be said, one said it was too late, for it was after twelve o'clock; but Mr. Whitebread said it was not Afternoon till we had din'd; and you know, Mr. Whitebread, that Masses have been said at one or two of the Clock in the Afternoon.

Mr. Just. Ackins. How many Persons did meet

at that Confult?

Mr. Oates. My Lord, there were about Forty or Fifty, and after they had adjourn'd into several lesser Companies, they met all together at Mr. Whitebread's Chamber.

L. C.J. Where was that, and when?

Mr. Oates. That Day, at Wild-House.

L. C. J. Where was it that they gave the Sacrament?

Mr. Oates. At a little Chapel at Wild-House, Mrs. Sanders's.

L. C. J. Did they accept it before they took the Sacrament?

Mr. Oates. Yes, Pickering and Grove did light

it before they took the Sacrament.

Secrecy that was taken, what was that Oath? Vol. II.

Mr. Oates. I cannot give an account of the Form of the Oath, but it was an Obligation of Secrecy.

Mr. Just. Atkins. Did you see the Oath admi-

nistred?

Mr. Oates. Yes, my Lord, I did. L. C. J. Who administred it?

Mr. Oates. Mr. Whitebread, he did give it unto me, and to all the rest that were there, and Mico held the Book, it was a Mass-Book, but they were Words of his own Invention, I believe, they were not written down.

L. C. J. Cannot you tell what they were?

Mr. Oates. No, my Lord, I cannot tell, because I did not see them written down.

L.C. J. If you will ask this Gentleman any

thing more, you may.

Whitebread. My Lord, I am in a very weak and doubtful Condition as to my Health, and therefore I should be very loth to speak any thing but what is true: We are to prove a Negative, and I know 'tis much harder to prove a Negative, than to affert an Affirmative; 'tis not a very hard thing for a Man to swear any thing, if he will venture his Soul for it; but truly, I may boldly say, in the Sight of Almighty God before whom I am to appear, there have not been three true Words spoken by this Witness.

L. C. J. Do you hear, if you could but satisfy us, that you have no Dispensation to call God

to witness a Lye----

Whitebread. My Lord, I do affirm it with all

the Protestations imaginable.

L. C. J. But if you have a Religion that can give a Dispensation for Oaths, Sacraments, Protestations, and Falshoods that are in the World, how can you expect we should believe you?

Whitebread. I know no fuch thing.

L. C. J. We shall see that presently, before we have done.

Mr. Oates. I have one thing more to fay, my Lord, that comes into my Mind. This Whitebread received Power from the See of Rome to grant out Commissions to Officers Military. And, my Lord, here are the Seals of the Office in Court, which he hath sealed some Hundreds of Commissions with, which they call Patents.

L. C. J. What were those Commissions for?

For an Army?

Mr. Oates. Yes, my Lord, for an Army.

Whitebread. When were those Commissions Signed?

Mr. Oates. My Lord, several of them were Signed in the former Provincial's time.

L. C. J. What, I warrant you, you are not Provincial of the Jesuits, are you?

Whitebread. I cannot deny that, my Lord.

L. C.J. Then there are more than three Words he hath spoken are true.

Mr. Just. Atkins. I believe, Mr. Oates, that that Army was intended for something, pray

what was it for? Mr. Oates. My Lord, they were to rife upon the Death of the King, and let the French King in upon us, and they had made it their Business to prepare Ireland and Scotland for the receiving of a foreign Invasion.

L. C. J. Who were those Commissions Scaled

by?

Mr. Oates. My Lord, the Commissions of the Mr. Just. Alkins. You tell us of an Oath of Great Officers were sealed with the General's Seal.

L. C. J.  $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$ 

L. C. J. Who was that?

Mr. Oates: His Name is Johannes Paulus de Oliva: His Seal scaled the Commissions for the Generals, Major-Generals, and great Persons; but those Seals that sealed the several Commissions to several inferior Officers, were in the Custody of the Provincial.

L. C.J. Can you name any one Person that he

hath Sealed a Commission to?

Mr. Oates. I can name one: To Sir John Gage, which Commission I delivered my self.

L. C.J. What, of Suffex? Mr. Oates. Yes, of Suffex.

Mr. Just. Atkins. Who did you receive the

Commission from?

Mr. Oates. My Lord, when he went over, he left a great many blank Patents to be filled up, and he left one ready Sealed for a Commission to Sir John Gage. This was delivered into my Hands when he was absent, but it was Signed by him, and delivered to me while he was in his Visitation beyond the Seas, but I dare swear it was his Hand, as I shall answer it before God and the King.

Mr. Just. Atkins. Who had it you from?

Mr. Oates. From Mr. Albby, but by Whitebread's Appointment in his Instructions, which I saw and read.

L. C. J. What was the Commission for?

Mr. Oates. To be an Officer in the Army.

L. C J. Did you see the Instructions left for

Afliby?

Mr. Outes. I did see them, and read them, and I did then, as I always did, give it as my Judgment, that it was more safe to Poison the King, than to Pistol or Stab him.

Mr. Just. Bertue. Was the Commission which you delivered to Sir John Gage, from Ashby, or from Whitebread?

Mr. O.tes. I had it from Ashby; but White-bread, who was then beyond Sea, had Signed this Commission before he went. My Lord, I have something more yet to say, and that is as to Mr. Grove, That he did go about with one Smith to gather Peter-pence, which was either to carry on the Design, or to send them to Rome. I saw the Book wherein it was entred, and I heard him say that he had been gathering of it.

Grove. Where was this?

Mr. Ostes. In Ceck-pit Ally, where you know I lodged.

Grove. Did I ever see you at your Lodging? Mr. Oetes. You saw me at my own Door.

L. C. J. Why, don't you know Mr. Oates? Grove. My Lord, I have seen him before.

L. C. J. Why, this 'tis, ask a Papist a Question,

and you shall have a Jesuitical Answer.

Mr. O.stes. I will convince the Court that he does know me by some Circumstances. My Lord, in the Month of December last, by the Provincial's Order——

L. C. J. I would ask him first whether he does know you or no. Do you know Mr. Oates?

Grove. I have seen him before.

L. C. J. Have you been often in his Company?

Grove. No, my Lord.

L. C. J. What do you call often? Have you been in his Company seven or eight times? (for we must deal subtilly with such as you are) have you been in his Company ten times?

Grove. No.

L. C. J. What say you to three times?

Grove, Yes. I believe I have seen him would

Grove. Yes, I believe I have seen him twice or thrice.

L.C.J. Where? Did you never see him at Whitebread's?

Grove. As I hope to be faved, and before the Eternal God, I did never.

Mr. Oates. I will convince him and the Court, that he does know me, and is well acquainted with me: In the Month of December last I went to St. Omers, I went first to the then Provincial's House, to take my leave of him, and there I met with Mr. Grove, and he appointed to come to my Lodging the next Morning, near the Red Lion in Drury-Lane, at one Grigson's House, and he was so well acquainted with me then, that he had lent me eight Shillings to hire the Coach.

L. C. J. Did you lend him eight Shillings? Grove. I did, my Lord, I do not deny it.

L. C. J. How came you to do it, when it seems, if you say true, he was a Stranger to you?

Grove. I thought I should have it again.

L. C. J. What, of him?

Grove. Yes.

L. C. J. Did he desire you to lend him the eight Shillings?

Grove. Yes, he did, my Lord.

Mr. Oates. Then there is one time that he confesses he saw me.

L.C.J. Did you not know him before?

Grove. I had no Acquaintance with him, I had seen him.

L.C.J. How came you then to lend Money to one you had no more Acquaintance with?

Grove. I knew I should go along with him to the Coach, and then I thought I should have it again.

L. C. J. Mr. Oates, were you going beyond Scathen?

Mr. Oates. Yes, my Lord, I was.

L. C. J. Mr. Oates, did you pay him that Money?

Mr. Ostes. No, my Lord, I did not.

L. C. J. Did you ask him for the Money, and had you it?

Grove. He did not pay it me.

L. C. J. How then were you sure you should have it?

Grove. He did order me to go to such a one for it.

L.C.J. Who was that?

Grove. Mr. Fenwick, I think.

L.C. J. Then Mr. Oates was known to you all, he was no such Stranger to you as you would make us believe.

Mr. Oates. Thus he confesses three times he had seen me, once before he lent me the Money, another time when he lent it, and the third time the next Day. And I will put him in mind of another time, when he and I were in Company, where one brought us a Note of what was done in the House of Commons, turned into Burlesque, for they used to turn all that was done at the Council, or at the Parliament, or at the Courts in Westminster-Hall, into Burlesque, and then translated it into French, and sent it to the French King, for him to laugh at too. But that by the way. Twice more he drank in my Company, at the Red Posts in Wild-Street, and once

more when he owned to me, that he fired Southwark.

L. C. J. Now by the Oath that you have taken, did he own to you that he had fired Southquark?

Mr. Oates. My Lord, he did tell me that he with three Irishmen did fire Southwark, and that they had a thousand Pounds given them for it, whereof he had four Hundred Pounds, and the other two Hundred Pounds a-piece.

L. G. J. Now for Mr. Fenwick. Do you know

Mr. Oates?

Tenwick. Yes, my Lord, I do.

L. C. J. Were you well acquainted with him? speak plain.

Mr. Oates. He was my Father-Confessor, my

Lord.

L. C. J. Was he so? Were you his Confessor?

Fence. I believe he never made any Confession

in his Life.

L. C. J. Yes, he hath made a very good one now. Were you of his Acquaintance, Mr. Fenwick? Speak home, and don't mince the Matter.

Fenw. My Lord, I have seen him.

L.C.J. I wonder what you are made of: Ask a Protestant, an English one, a plain Question, and he will scorn to come dallying with an evalive Aniwer.

Fence. My Lord, I have been several times in

his Company.

L.C.J. Did you pay eight Shillings for him? Fency. Yes, I believe I did.

L.C.J. How came you to do it?

Fence. He was going to St. Omers. L. C. J. Why, were you Treasurer for the Society?

Fente. No, my Lord, I was not.

L. C. J. You never had your eight Shillings again, had you?

Fenw. It is upon my Book, my Lord, if I

ever had it.

· L. C. J. Did Mr. Oates ever pay it again? Fenze. No fure, he was never so honest.

L. C. J. Who had you it of then?

Fenw. I am certain I had it not from him; he did not pay it.

L. C. J. How can you tell you had it then? Fenw. I do suppose I had it again, but not of Mir. Oates.

L. C. J. Had you it of Ireland?

Fenty. I do not know who I had it of, my ·Lord, nor certainly whether I had it.

L.C.J. Why did you not ask Mr. Oates for it?

Fenro. He was not able to pay it.

L. C. J. Why did you then lay it down for him?

Fence. Because I was a Fool.

L. C. J. That must be the Conclusion always: when you can't evade being prov'd Knaves by answering directly, you will rather suffer your selves to be call'd Fools.

Fence. My Lord, I have done more for him than that comes to; for he came once to me in a miterable poor Condition, and said, I must turn again, and betake my felf to the Ministry to get Bread, for I have eaten nothing these two Days: And I then gave him five Shillings to relieve his present Necessity.

Mr. Oates. My Lord, I will answer to that; I was never in any such Straits, I was ordered if you can prove otherwise, pray do. Vol. II.

by the Provincial to be taken care of by the Procurator.

Fenw. You brought no such Order to me.

Mr. Oates. Yes, Mr. Fenwick, you know there was such an Order, and I never received so little in my Life as Five Shillings from you: I have received Twenty, and Thirty, and Forty Shillings at a time, but never so little as Five.

 $L.C.\mathcal{J}.$  You are more charitable than you

thought for.

Fenw. He told me he had not eaten a bit in two

• Days.

Mr. Oates. I have indeed gone a whole Day without eating, when I have been hurried about your Trash; but I assure you, my Lord, I never wanted for any thing among them.

L. C. J. Perhaps it was Fasting-Day.

L. C. Baron. My Lord, their Falting-Days are none of the worst.

Mr. Oates. No, we commonly eat beit of those Days.

L. C. J. Have you any thing to ask him, any of you?

Whitebread. My Lord, will you be pleased to

give me leave to speak for my self?

Just. Atkins. It is not your time yet to make your full Defence, but if you will ask him any Questions, you may.

Whitebread. I crave your Mercy, my Lord.

L. C. J. Will you ask him any Questions? Fenw. Did not you say that you were at my Chamber the 24th of April, with the Resolve of the Confult?

Mr. Oates. That Resolve I did then carry to your Chamber.

Fenw. Then was he himself at St. Omers.

L. C. J. The difference of old Stile and new Stile may perhaps make some Alteration in that Circumstance.

Whitebread. But, my Lord, he hath sworn he was present at several Consultations in April and May, but from November till June he was con-Stantly at St. Omers.

L. C. J. If you can make it out that he was at St. Omers all April and May, then what he hath faid cannot be true.

Ireland. He himself hath confessed it that he was at St. Omers.

L. C.J. If you mean by Confession, what stands upon the Evidence he hath given, I'll remember you what that was. He says he came to St. Omers ----

Mr. Oates. Will your Lordship give me leave to satisfy the Court: In the Month of December or November, I went to St. Omers: I remained there all January, February, March, and some part of April: Then I came over with the Fathers to the Consult that was appointed the 24th of that Month.

Fenw. Did you go back again?

Mr. Oates. Yes.

Fenw. When was that?

Mr. Oates. In the Month of May, presently after the Confults were over.

Fenw. And we can prove by abundance of Witnesses that he went not from St. Omers all that Month.

L. C. J. You shall have what time you will to prove what you can; and if you can prove what you say, you were best fix it upon him; for he faith he was here at the Consults in April and May;

Xxxx Fenw.

Fenw. We can bring an Authentick Writing (if there be any such) from St. Omers, under the Seal of the College, and testified by all in the

College, that he was there all the while.

L. C. J. Mr. Fenwick, that will not do; for first, if it were in any other Case besides this, it would be no Evidence, but I know not what you cannot get from St. Omers, or what you will not call Authentick.

Fenw. Does your Lordship think there is no

Justice out of England?

here.

Fenw. It shall be Signed by the Magistrates of the Town.

L.C.J. What, there?

Fenw. Yes, there.

L. C. J. You must be tried by the Laws of England, which sends no Piece of Fact out of the Country to be Tried.

Fenw. But the Evidence of it may be brought

hither.

L. C. J. Then you should have brought it. You shall have a fair Trial; but we must not depart from the Law or the way of Trial, to serve your purposes. You must be Tried according to the Law of the Land.

Just. Atkins. Such Evidences as you speak of we would not allow against you; and therefore

we must not allow it for you.

Whitebread. May this Gentleman be put to this, to produce any two Witnesses that saw him in

Town at that time.

Mr. Oates. I'll give some Circumstances and what Tokens I have to prove my being here: Father Warner, Sir Tho. Preston, Father Williams, and Sir John Warner, they came hither with me from St. Omers, there was one Nevil, &c. I cannot reckon them all.

L. C.J. You have named enough.

Mr. Oates. But to convince them, there was a Lad in the House that was got to the end of his Rhetorick; this Lad was Whipt and turned out of the House, and had lost all his Money: Father Williams did Re-imburse this Lad in order to his bringing home, I think the Lad's Name was Hilsley, or some such Name. And we came up to London together.

L. C. J. What say you to this Circumstance? Whitebread. My Lord, he knew that two such came to Town, but he was not with them.

L.C.J. You are now very good at a Negative I see, how can you tell that?

Whitebread. My Lord, he could not come.

L.C.J. How can you tell he could not come? Whitebread. I can tell it very well, for he had no Order to come, nor did come.

L. C. J. How can you undertake to say that

he did not come?

Whitebread. Because he had no Order to come. L.C. J. Is that all your reason? Where were you then?

Whitebread. I was here.

I., C. J. How do you know he was not here? Whitebread. He had no Orders to come.

L. C. J. Have you any other Circumstance, Mr. Oates, to prove that you were here then?

Oates. My Lord, when I came to London, I was ordered to keep very close, and I lay at Grove's House; let him deny it if he can, I'll. tell you who lay there then-

Giove. Did you ever lie at my House?

Oates. There lay a Flaxen-Hair'd Gentleman, I forgot his Name: But I'll tell you who lay there besides; that is Strange, that was the late Provincial.

L. C. J. Did Strange ever lie at your House?

Grove. Yes, my Lord, he did.

L. C. J. Did he lie there in April or May? Grove. No, he did not in either of them. L. C. J. You will make that appear.

Grove. Yes, that I can by all the House.

L. C. J. Have you any more Questions to ask L. C. J. It is not, nor cannot be Evidence · him? If you have, do: If you can prove this upon him, that he was absent, and not in England in April or May, you have made a great Defence for your selves, and it shall be remembred for your advantage when it comes to your turn: In the mean time, if you have no more to fay to him, call another Witness. Let Mr. Oates sit down again, and have some Refreshment.

Mr. Serj. Baldwin. We will now call Mr.

Bedlow, my Lord.

#### Then Mr. Bedlow was sworn.

Mr. Serj. Baldwin. Mr. Bedlow, Pray do you tell my Lord and the Jury, what you know of any design of killing the King, and by whom.

Mr. Bediow. My Lord, I have been five Years almost employed by the Society of Jesuits and the English Monks in Paris to carry and bring Letters between them from England and to England, for the promoting of a Design tending to the Subversion of the Government, and the extirpating of the Protestant Religion, to that degree (which was always concluded on in all their Confults wherein I was) that they would not leave any Member of any Heretick in England, that should survive to tell in the Kingdom hereafter, that there ever was any such Religion in England as the Protestant Religion-

### Here Whitebread would have interrupted him.

My Lord, I am so well satisfied in their Denials, that I cannot but believe they who can give a Dispensation, and have received the Sacrament to kill a King and destroy a whole Kingdom, do not scruple to give a Dispensation for a little Lye to promote such a Design, for so much as this expiates any Lyc or greater Crime.

Sir Cr. Levinz. Pray, Sir, will you be pleased to tell your whole Knowledge concerning the

Prisoners at the Bar.

Mr. Bedlow. The first Letter I carried was from Mr. Harcourt, at his House next Door to the Arch in Duke-street. He hath been Procurator for the Jesuits about six Years. He employed me first, and sent for me over, for I was then Lieutenant in Flanders, and coming home to receive my Pay that was due to me----

L. C. J. How long is it ago?

Mr. Bedlow. Michaelmas last was four Years; when I came to Dunkirk I went to visit the English Nunnery there, and the Lady Abbess finding me very pliable and inclinable, made very much of me, and I did adhere to her. She kept me six Weeks in the Convent, and afterwards when I went away, recommended me to Sir John Warner, as an Instrument fit to be employed in the carrying of Letters, or doing any thing that would promote the design against England. He kept me at St. Omers a Fortnight, and after

after sent me to Father Harcourt to be instructed in my employment: It was then Winter; the next Spring he sends me into England with divers Letters, where by Mr. Harcourt I was employed to carry several Letters to Morton and Doway, and other places: That Summer I was sent into England without an Answer: But afterwards in (76,) which was the next Summer, I was to carry another Pacquet of Letters to the Monks at Paris, who sent it to other English Monks in France

L.C. J. Who sent that Pacquet of Letters

in 76?

Bedlow. I had it from Mr. Harcourt, and it was written by Harcourt, Pritchard and Cary.

L. C. J. To whom?

Bedlow. To the English Monks in France, and in it there was a Letter to Le Chaise. Upon the receipt of these Letters at Paris, Le Chaise had a Consultation with the Monks and a French Bishop or two about them: I did not then speak French enough to understand what it was they said, but it was interpreted to me by Mr. Stapleton an English Monk, who told me, that it was a Letter from my Lord Bellasis and others of the Catholick Religion, English Gentlemen that were Contrivers of the Plot here, to satisfy them in what state things stood in England as to Popery. I was sent back again with a Pacquet of Letters directed to Mr. Vaughan of Courtsield in Monmouth-shire.

L.C. J. From whom was that?

Bedlow. From the English Monks at Paris. From that Consultation I went to Ponthois, I there receiv'd other Letters to carry into England. I had a course to open their Letters, and read what was in them; and in those Letters was contained, That the Prayers of that House were for the prosperity of that Design, and they would not fail to be at the Consultation at of Warwick-shire Gentlemen. I fell sick at Monmouth, and Mr. Vanghan sent to me a Jesuit to Consels

me; but I was well before he came, and so was not Confess'd by him. I now come to the latter

times.

L. C. J. You must speak it over to the Jury, that they and the Prisoners may hear you.

Bedlow. The 25th of May, 77, which was last Year, I was sent over with another Pacquet of Letters. I had no Letters of consequence forward, and therefore did not call then at Wotton, but I called upon the Lady Abbess at Dunkirk, and I went thence to Bruges and to Ghent, where I had some Letters for the English Nuns, which I delivered to them. When I came to Deway, I found there that the Monks were gone, that was Sheldon, Stapleton, and Latham, but the Letters were directed to Paris, and therefore I made haste, and at Cambray I overtook them. And the Letters were to give an account of the Consultation held in the Gallery at Somerset-House: All tending to the destruction of the Protestant Religion, and killing the King; but I do not think fit to declare here who were the Perions that were present at that Consultation. At Cambray they were very joyful that there was for good a Proceeding in England. At Paris when the Letters were shewed, there was a Letter written in a Language which I did not understand, but, as I was told, in that Letter they were charged in Paris by my Lord Bellasis, that they did not proceed according to their promise

to them in England; but, said Stapleton, to m", My Lord Bellasis nor the Society in England need not to write thus to Us, for we are not lo backward, but that we can lend Men, and Money, and Arms too, and will upon occasion. From thence they sent me to Spain with a Letter to an Irish Father: I did overtake him at Sa Mora. From thence I went with another Letter to the. Rector of a College of Irish Jesuits in Salamanca. By their contrivance I was sent to St. Jago in Spain, where was another College of Irifh Jesuits: there I stayed till I had an Answer to Sir William Godolphin; and when I had the Answer to that Letter, I went for the Letter from the Rector at Salamanca. The Jesuits there told me, they would take care to send their own Answer another way: And when they had made me that promise, I came away for England, and landed at Milford-Haven: All this reaches to none of these Persons in particular: But what I now shall say shall be about them, only it was necessary I should speak of what I have said.

L. C. J. The meaning of all this is only to show the Jury and satisfy them, that he was an Agent for these Men, and hath been employed by them for five Years together, and he names you the particular Places whither he hath been sent, to shew you the Reasons of his Knowledge in this Matter, and upon what account he comes

to be informed of this Design.

Bedlow. Having receiv'd the News of that Country, I did there take Water, and landed again at Penfans, and when I came to London I gave the Letter to Harcourt: what was in that Pacquet I cannot particularly tell, for I was not so inquisitive as to look into the Contents of it, but I know it was tending (as all the rest did) to the carrying on of this Plot: Afterwards I was employed by Harcourt and Coleman to go to some parts of England to communicate the Letters to some of the Popish Party.

L. C. J. Now turn to the Jury.

Bedlow. The Summer was past in the doing of that: In the beginning of August last there was a Consultation and a close one at Harcourt's Chamber, so as that they did not permit me to know any thing of it. I went out of Town for a Fortnight, and when I returned, I understood there had been such a Meeting; I charged them with their Privacy in it, and asked what was the private design of that Consultation; they said it was something I should know in time: That it did not fignify much at present, but in time I should know it: But then I understood by Pritchard, who was more my Confident than any of the rest, That it was a Design to kill the King: That Pickering and Grove had undertaken it a great while, and that they had been endeavouring a long while to bring it to pass.

Fenwick. Where was this Meeting and when? Bedlow. Last August, at Harcourt's Chamber.

Fenwick. Who were present there?

Bedlow. Be pleased to give me leave to go on, I'll tell you by and by: Then I understood, as I said, that it was to kill the King, but that Pickering and Grove sailing of it, they had hired sour Russians that were to go to Windsor, and do it there, and that if I would come the next day, I should hear from Coleman the effect: When I came there I sound Coleman was gone, but Pritechard said there were some sent to Windsor, and

that Coleman was going after them, and that he had given a Messenger a Guinea that was to carry the Money to them. And he would presently be after them, for fear they should want Opportunity to essect their Design. Then I discours'd them, why they kept their Design so long hid from me? They said, It was a Resolve of the Society, and an Order of my Lord Bellasis, that none should know it but the Society, and those that were Actors in it. I seem'd satisfy'd with that Answer at present. About the latter End of August, or the Beginning of September, (but I believe, it was the latter End of August) I came to Harcourt's Chamber and there was Ireland, and Pritchard, and Pickering, and Grove.

L. C. J. What Part of August was it?

Bedlow. The latter End.

L. C. J. Do you say it Positively, That it was the latter End of August?

Bedlow. My Lord, It was in August; I do not swear Positively to a Day.

L. C. J. But you fay, it was in August.

Ireland. And that we were there present

Ireland. And that we were there present?

Bedlow. You were there, and Grove, and Pickering.

Ireland. Did you ever see me before?

Bedlow. You were present there, and Grove, and Pickering, and Pritchard, and Fogarthy, and Harcourt, and I.

L. C. J. What did you talk of there?

Bedlow. That the Russians missing of Killing the KING at Windsor, Pickering and Grove should go on, and that Conyers should be joined with them; and that was to Assassinate the KING in his Morning-Walks at New-Market: And they had taken it so strongly upon them, that they were very eager upon it: And Grove was more forward than the Rest: And said, Since it could not be done Clandestinely, it should be attempted Openly. And that those that do Fall, had the Glory to die in a Good Cause. But (said he) if it be discovered, the Discovery can never come to that Height, but their Party would be strong enough to bring it to pass.

L. C.J. And you swear, Ireland was there? Bedlow. He was there, my Lord.

L. C. J. And heard all this?

Bedlow. Yes, my Lord; and so did Grove, and Pickering, and the Rest.

Ireland. My Lord, I never saw him before in my Life.

L. C. J. What was the Reward that you were to have for your pains in this Business?

Bedlow. My Lord, the Reward that I was to have (as it was told me by Harcourt) was very considerable: I belong'd to one particular Part of the Society. There are others; and I presume, they each kept their particular Messengers.

L. C. J. What was Grove to have?

Bedlow. Grove was to have Fifteen Hundred Pound, if he Escaped, and to be a continual Favourite, and Respected as a great Person, by all the Church.

L. C. J. What was Pickering to have?

Bedlow. He was to have so many Masses, I cannot presume to tell the Number; but they were to be as many, as at Twelve Pence a Mass should come to that Money: These Masses were to be Communicated to all the Jesuits beyond the Seas, that when he had done it, he might be sent away immediately.

L. C. J. What can you say of any of the Rest?

Bedlow. My Lord, I do not Charge any more but them Three.

L. C. J. What say you to Whitebread?

Bedlow. They have faid, that he was very Active in the Plot; but I know it not.

L. C. J. That is not any Evidence against him. What can you say, as to Februick?

Bedlow. No more than I have said, as to Mr. Whitebread: I only know him by Sight.

L. C J. Then he Charges only these Three upon Oath, Ireland, Pickering, and Grove.

Ireland. Do you know Sir John Warner?

Bedlow. I know Father Warner at St. Omers, and Sir John Warner at Wotton by St. Omers.

Ireland. He named Sir John Warner to be at Paris.

Bedlow. It was Sheldon, I spoke of (my Lord) at Paris.

Ireland. At least you are certain, that I was present at that Consultation?

Bedlow. Yes: I am certain, you were there. Ireland. Can you produce any Witness, that you ever spoke to me before in your Lise?

Mr. Serj. Baldwyn. Do you know any thing of Mr. Whitebread's being present at any of the Consults?

Bedlow. I do know, that Whitebread, and Fenwick both, have been several times at Consultations; but I do not know what the Particular Resolves of those Consultations were.

L. C. J. D.d you ever hear them speak any thing in particular?

Bedlow. No, I have never heard them speak any thing in particular.

L. C. J. Where have you seen Fenwick?

Bedlow. I have seen Fenwick at Harcourt's Chamber, and I have often heard him talk'd of; and it hath been told me, That nothing was done, without Fenwick.

Whitebread. Are you sure you know us?

Bedlow. I do not say, You are the Man that employed me: You are the Man I was least acquainted with, of all the Society; but I have seen you there.

Ireland. Can you bring any one, that can Te-stify it?

L. C. J. He must then have brought one of your selves; and it may be, he cannot produce any such one.

Ireland. Nor no one else, except such a Knight of the Post, as Mr. Oates.

L. C. J. You must be Corrected for that, Mr. Ireland: You shall not come here to Abuse the KING's Evidence. Nothing appears to us, that Resects upon Mr. Oates's Testimony; and we must not suffer any such fort of Language.

Mr. Just. Ask. Take off his Credit as much as you can by Proof, but you must not Abuse him by ill Language.

Mr. Finch. Can you tell the Court and the Jury, when it was, that by Agreement Grove should have the Fifteen Hundred Pound?

Bedlow. He was to have it put into a Friend's Hands.

L. C. J. Do you know that Friend's Name? Bedlow. No, my Lord, I do not.

Mr. Finch. Do you know, when that was to be delivered out to him?

. Bedlow. As to the particular Time of their A-greement, I do not know it.

Mr. Finch. But this he says, That when the Agreement was made, he was to have Fifteen Hundred Pound.

in August last, with Pickering and Grove, and others, at a Consult; where he was also.

Ireland. But what if I prove I was not in London all August last, from the Beginning to the

End?

L. C. J. You heard them talk of this Matter in August, at Harcourt's Chamber, you say: What, did they talk of it, as a Matter they had agreed?

Bedlow. My Lord, they brought it in, as being balked in their Design of Killing the KING at Windsor; and because that had not taken the Esset they intended it, they should have Conyers joined to them, to do it at New-Market.

Mr. Finch. Did they tell you when, and where

the Agreement was made?

L. C. J. No, he speaks not of that; but they talk'd of the Failure at Windsor: And therefore they did conclude, that Conjers should be joined to them, to do it at New-Market.

Bediow. Yes, my Lord.

L. C. J. Have you any more to say?

Bedlow. My Lord, I would only say this; If I had any to prove what I say, they must be

Parties as well as these Persons.

Iteland. My Lord, I will prove, That I was not in Town in August all the Month, by twenty Witnesses: I'll bring those that saw me in Staffordshire, and spoke with me all August.

L. C. J. Have you any more to ask him?

Whitebread. No.

Seij. Baldwin. Swear Mr. James Bedlow. And he was fworn.

Ireland. He does say, That he was Familiar with me, and several other Persons here; and therefore, I desire he may specify the Place, and the Company.

William Bedlow. I do not fay, there was a Familiarity; for I was a Stranger to that Part of the

Society.

L. C. J. You must take him right, Mr. Ireland; he hath not said, that he was of your Familiar Acquaintance.

Bedlow. I have seen you often, Sir.

Ireland. Where?

Bedlow. At Monsieur Le Faire's.

Ireland. Where was that? Bedlow. At Somerfes-House.

Ireland. Was there any one present besides?

Bedlow. Yes, several other Priests and Jesuits of Somerset-House.

Ireland. Name one.

Bedlow. Signior Perrare.

Ireland. You say, you saw me and Perrare together at Somerset-House, I suppose, if Signior Perrare may be brought hither ———

Bedlow. My Lord, Perrare is a Priest in Orders; and without doubt, is in this Business.

L. C. J. If he did see you, he must see you in such Company as you keep, they were Priests and Jesuits, and of your own Religion; and we know very well what Answers we are like to be put off with by Men of your own Persuasion at this time of day.

Ireland. My Lord, if no body's Oath can be taken that is of another Persuasion than the Church

of England, it is hard.

me in such Company, why does he not produce them to testify it? Why? He does not come prepared to produce them; if he should, we L. C. J. And he says this, That Ireland was know well how you are concerned one for another at this time; and we can hardly expect they should make true Answers. But notwithstanding, if you will produce this Father Perrare, (he cannot be Sworn because 'tis against the Law, but) his Tellimony shall be heard, and let it go as far as it can.

> Bedlow. If your Lordship pleases, my Lord, I would convince him that he does know me. Have you not been, Sir, at Somerset-House?

Ireland. Yes, I have.

Bedlow. Do you know le Faire and Perrare?

Ireland. Yes, but I never faw you in their Company in Somerset-House in my Life, above once or twice.

Bedlow. Yes, you have twice at le Faire's.

L. C. J. Where is that le Faire? you would do well to produce him?

Bedlow. My Lord, he is gone away, and is one against whom the King's Proclamation is out.

L. C. J. You keep such Company as run away, and then you require him to produce them, whom the King's Proclamation cannot bring in.

Ireland. I keep none but honest Company.

Bedlow. If your Lordship pleases, I have one thing more that is very material to speak; at the same time that there was a discourse about these Three Gentlemen's being to destroy the King at New-Market, at the same time there was a discourse of a design to kill several Noble Persons, and the particular Parts assigned to every one. Knight was to kill the Earl of Shaftesbury, Pritchard the Duke of Buckingham, Oncile the Earl of Offery, Obrian the Duke of Ormand.

L. C. J. Well, will you have any more of this? Mr. Finch. You say, you saw Mr. Ireland say

Mass, where did you see him?

Bedlow. Not Mr. Ireland, but Mr. Fenwick, I have feen him fay Mass, and at Wild-House.

Oates. My Lord, I did omit a Confult wherein there was a design laid of taking away the Duke of Ormand's Life, and of a Rebellion that was to be raised in Ireland.

My Lord, in the Month of January last, there came Letters from Archbishop Talbot to London, which Letters were perused by Fenwick, and Ire-Iand, and Il hitebread, and when they were perused, they were sent and communicated to the Fathers at St. Omers. The Contents of those Letters were thus, That the Catholicks had a fair prospect of effecting their Designs in the Kingdom of Ireland. And this Letter was inclosed in a Letter figned by Whitebread, Ireland, Fenwick, and others (I name no Man's Name that is not. here.)

L. C. J. You faw the Letter?

Oates. Yes, I did see it, and read it, wherein they did give thanks unto God, that he was pleased to prosper their designs so fairly in Ireland; and withal they did say, That they would not leave a stone unturned to root out that abominable Heresy out of that Kingdom. Now what that abominable Herefy was, I have nothing but a Conjecture.

L. C. J. We all know what that is well e-

nough, there needs no Proof of that.

L. C. J. Pray mind, you do not object ingenu- Oates. In the Month of August, Fenwick, a oully: for you say, This Witness swears he saw little before he went to St. Omers, on the One

and Twentieth of August, (as I think it was) that Week that Bartholomew-Fair begun on (as I take it) he was then going to fetch home the Provincial, and to carry some Students with him, and he went unto St. Omers the Monday following; but then there was a Consult, and at that Consult Fenwick did consent to the contrivance of the Death of the Duke of Ormond, and for the Rebellion that was to be raised in Ireland after his Death. And he did approve of the Four Jesuits that were to kill my Lord of Ormand, and did confent to send Fogarthy down to the Archbishop of Dublin, in case the Four good Fathers did not hit the business. Mr. Whitebread, my Lord, did consent when he came over, as appears by their Entry-Books. For there came a Letter from him dated as from St. Omers, but I concluded it did not come from thence, because it paid but Two-pence.

Whitebread. Who was it that writ that Letter? Oates. My Lord, this Letter was dated as the latter part of August, and dated as from St. Omers, but the Post-mark upon it was but Two-pence, to be paid for it; so that I do conclude thence Mr. Il bitebread was then at Esquire Leigh's House But in that

Letter he did like the Proposal that was made about killing the Duke of Ormand in that Consult, and the Letter was figued with his own hand.

L. C. J. I would gladly see that Letter. Oates. If I could see it, I could know it. L. C. J. You have not that Letter?

Outes. No, but they kept a Book wherein they Registred all their Resolutions, and there it was entred.

L. C. J. You upon your Oath say, That he as Superior of them did keep a Book, wherein they Registred all their Consults.

Oates. Yes, my Lord.

L. C. J. You would do well to show us your Book, Mr. Whitebread.

Whitebread. We never kept any.

Oates. The Confult did; for the the Superior have an absolute power over the Subject, yet they never do any thing of Consequence without the Consult. And this Book was kept by the Superior, and never opened but at the Confult, and therein all the Passages were Registred.

L. C. J. Produce your Book, and we shall see whether you cannot catch Mr. Oates in some-

thing or other.

Bedlow. My Lord, that Book I have seen, and therein all their Consults are Registred.

L. C. J. Was there Books kept by them?

Bedlow. Yes, my Lord, all the Consults did keep Books, and Mr. Langhorn was the Person that Registred all into one.

L. C. J. If a Hundred Witnesses swear it, they will deny it. Well, will you have any more?

Mr. S. Baldwyn. My Lord, we will now call Mr. James Bedlow, this Gentleman's Brother, to shew you, That these sort of Persons did resort to him frequently.

L. C. J. Are you fworn, Sir?

7. Bedlow. Yes, my Lord, I am.

L. C. J. Then let me ask you one short Question. Do you know Mr. Ireland?

7. Bedlow. No.

L. C. J. Do you know Pickering or Grove?

J. Bedlow. I have heard of them.

L. C. J. Did your Brother know any thing of them?

J. Bedlow, As for the Conspiracy of killing the King, I know nothing of it; but about his knowledge of Priests and Jesuits, and the Converse he had beyond Sea, that I can speak to. And I have very often heard these mens names named.

L. C. J. In what nature did he talk of them? J. Bedlow. I know nothing of the Plot, and as for any Design I knew not what my Brother knew, but I have heard him talk of them.

L. C. J. How did he talk of them?

J. Bedlow. He mentioned them as his Acquaintance, the Jesuits there did ask him Questions about them.

L. C.J. And did it appear to you they were of his Acquaintance?

J. Bedlow. But I understood nothing of the Plot or Design, by the Oath I have taken.

L. C. J. But did he speak as if he knew any of them?

J. Bedlow. For any certain knowledge that my Brother had of them I cannot speak, but I have often heard him talk of them as people I thought he knew.

Mr. Finch. Do you know, that when he came over from beyond Sca, that his Lodging was fre-

quented by any, and by whom?

J. Bedlow. Yes, there were many Priests and Jesuits came to him.

Mr. Finch. Did your Brother receive any Money from them?

J. Bedlow. Yes, my Lord, I have fetch'd many score of Pounds for my Brother from them.

L. C. J. The Use, Gentlemen, that the King's Council make of this Evidence, is only to shew, That his Brother Mr. Bedlow was conversant in their affairs, in that he hath received many a score of Pounds in the managing of their busineis.

Sir Cr. Levinz. Pray, from whom had your Brother that Money?

J. Bedlow. I have proved that from the Goldsmiths themselves that paid it, before the Duke of Monmouth, my Lord Chancellor, and Lord Treasurer.

Mr. Finch. Have you received any confiderable fum at a time?

J. Bedlow. Yes, I have. Mr. Finch. How much?

J. Bedlow. Fifty or Threescore Pounds at a time.

*Finch.* Of whom?

J. Bedlow. Of Priests and Jesuits.

Finch. For whom?

J. Bedlow. For my Brother.

L. C. J. Will you have any more Evidence? Mr. Serj. Baldwyn. Yes my Lord, the next Evidence we produce, is concerning a Letter; there was a Letter written by one Mr. Peters, that is now a Prisoner, to one Tonstall a Jesuit; and this Letter does mention, That there was a meeting appointed by order of Whitebread to be at London.

L. C. J. What is that to them, and how come

you by it?

Serj. Baldwyn. Peters is now in Prison for things of this nature; and you have heard of one Harcourt, and out of his Study this Letter was taken.

W. Bedlow. My Lord, may I not have liberty to withdraw? my Head akes fo extremely, I cannot endure it.

L. C. J. Mr. Bedlow, you may sit down, but we can't part with you yet.

Ireland. I desire, my Lord, that his Brother may be asked, how long he had known me.

L. C. J. Can you recollect by the discourses you have heard, how long he might have known Ireland?

J. Bedlow. No, my Lord, it was out of my

way.

L. C. J. But did he talk of Ireland?
J. Bedlow. Yes, my Lord, he did.

Ireland. As being where, in what place?

J. Bedlow. I cannot tell.

Ireland. He named one place Three Years

ago, it was at Paris.

L. C. J. But he does not say that you were there, but that you were samiliarly talk'd of there; so that the meaning is, they were acquainted with you: And this is only brought to shew, that it is not a new-taken-up thing by Redlow, though you seemed never to have known any such Man; yet he swears, saith he, I have heard such Persons talkt of as my Brother's acquaintance.

Ireland. If his Brother had talkt of me three Years ago, why then he must have known me

three years ago.

L. C. J. I'll ask him that question: How

long is it fince you knew him?

11. Bedlow. I have known him but fince August this same last Summer; but, my Lord, I talkt five, and four years ago of several English Monks and Jesuits that were then at Rome, that

I never knew in my Life.

L. C. J. His answer then is this, saith his Brother, I have heard him talk of them three years ago; I then asked Bedlow, how long he had known them? saith he, I did not know them three years ago; though I did talk of them three years ago; for we have talkt of many that we never saw in our Lives: So it seems he had occasion to make use of your names frequently, and join them with those of some he knew better: But he never knew you 'till August last; but he did discourse of you three years ago, as known for such fort of Persons.

Irwand. He must hear some body speak of us,

as being in some place or another.

IF. Bediow. I will fatisfy you in that. We talk of some now in England, that are to be sent a year hence.

L. C. J. If you can produce but Harcourt and Le Faire, they will do you great service now.

IV. Bedlow. My Lord, as for example, Father Pritebard is Confessor to such a Gentleman in England now this year; a year hence we must send such a one hither, and he must go back. And we may talk of that Person as in England, two years before.

L. C. J. You need not trouble yourselves about that. Mr. Ireland, you shall have a fair Trial, but you will not have tunning or art enough to deceive the Jury, nor will Mr. White-bread have Learning enough to baffle the Court.

Then Mr. W. Bedlow and his Brother withdrew.

Serj. Baldwyn. My Lord, The next Evidence that we shall give, as I said, is a Letter from one Peters to one Tonstall, and this we will bring home to Mr. IV bitebread, for it is an invitation to be at the Consult held at London the 24th of April; and it was written about that very time, to wit, the Vol. II.

3d of April. It was written from London, and it mentions, that Mr. Whitebread did fix the meeting at that time. We'll tell you how we came by the Letter. Mr. Harcourt, who is one of the principal Persons here, and at whose House was the meeting you heard of, he himself is fled away, when they came to look after him upon the dif-. covery that was made: And Mr. Bradley, who was the Messenger to seize upon him, did according to direction fearch his Study, and did there find this Letter, which we conceive, my Lord, to be very good Evidence; this Harcourt being a party, and one at whose House the last meeting was, and others was. We do conceive a Letter from one of that party, bearing date about the fame time, concerning Mr. Whitebread's Summons, who was Master of the Company, is very good Evidence against them.

L. C. J. If you had found it in Mr. White-

bread's custody, you say something.

Just. Bertie. My Brother puts it so: We find a Letter directed to Mr. Whitebread, let the matter of it be what it will, it is found among Harcourt's Papers.

Serj. Baldwyn. No, my Lord, we find a Letter from one Mr. Peters now a Prisoner directed to Mr. Tanstall concerning the Consult summoned by Whitebread, and this we find in Harcourt's possession.

L. C. J. I can't understand how this may af-

sect Mr. Whitebread.

Mr. Finch. Pray my Lord, if your Lordship please, this is the use we make of this Letter; we do not produce it as another Evidence of this defign, but to fortify that part of the Evidence which hath already been given, That there was a Consult summoned at that time, and to be held with all the privacy that could be, to prevent discovery. And this is the paper, that we find in the Custody of Harcourt, one of the Conspirators, who is fled for it.

L. C. J. Look you, Mr. Finch, if you use it not against any particular Person, but as an Evidence in general that there was a Plot amongst them, you say right enough; but it cannot be Evidence against any one particular Person of the Prisoners at the Bar.

Mr. Finch. My Lord, it can affect no particular Person; but we only use it in the general, and

we pray it may be read.

L. C. J. Gentlemen of the Jury, before you hear the Letter read, I would say this to you. Let them have fair play; what soever they mete unto others, we will shew them Justice. They shall have as fair play upon their Trials as any Persons whatsoever. The thing that is offered to be given in Evidence, is a Letter written by one Peters a Prisoner for this Plot, and directed to one Tonstall a Jesuit, and this is found in Harcourt's Chamber, a Priest that is fled, and one whom the King hath Commanded to render himself by his Proclamation; but he does not. Now in that Letter there is a discourse of a Design and Plot on foot. This cannot be Evidence to charge any one particular Person of these; but only to fatisfy you and all the world, that those Letters and Papers that are found amongst their own Priests, do fortify the Testimony of Mr. Oates, that there is a general Plot: 'Tis not applied to any particular Person.

Oates. The day before the Consult met, Mr. IVhitebread did ask Mr. Peters whether he had summoned the Consult according to his directi-

Yyyy on.

on. Mr. Peters told him yes, he had writ into Warwickshire and Worcestershire.

Whitebread. When was this?

Oates. The day before the Consult met.

Whitebread. Did you hear me ask Mr. Peters? Oates. Yes, I did hear you; and I did hear him say he had done it. Now, my Lord, this Letter that is found in Harcourt's Study shews, that Mr. Whitebread had directed Mr. Peters in this Consult.

Serj. Baldwyn. Pray swear Sir Tho. Doleman to shew how he came by it. Which was done.

Serj. Baldwyn. Sir Tho. Doleman, What do

you know of this Letter?

Sir Tho. Doleman. This Letter in my hand was taken amongst Harcourt's Papers, in a great Bag of Paper; and searching them I did find this Letter amongst the rest.

Then the Letter was shewn to Mr. Oates.

L. C. J. Is that Mr. Peters's hand?

Ottes. Yes, my Lord, it is.

L. C. J. Were you acquainted with his hand? Ontes. Yes, my Lord, I have often read it in Letters.

L. C. J. Do you know Tonflall?

Ostes. My Lord, I do not know him by that name; If I did see him perhaps I might: I know Men better by their Faces.

Sir Cr. Levinz. Pray read it.

Cl. of the Cr. This is Dated February 23. (77,) And superscribed thus (These for his Honoured Friend Mr. Hilliam Tonsiell at Burton.)

Honoured dear Sir,

Have but time to convey these following particu-A lars to you. First, I am to give you notice, that it hath feem'd fitting to our Master Consult, Prov. Ec. to fix the 21st day of April next Stylo veteri, for the meeting at London of our Congregation, on which day all those that have a suffrage are to be prefent there, that they may be ready to give a beginning to the same on the 24th, which is the next after St. George's-day. You are warned to have, jus suffragii, and therefore if your occasions should not permit you to be present, you are to signify as much, to the end others in their ranks be ordered to supply your absence: Every one is minded also, not to hasten to London long before the time appointed, nor to appear much about the Town'till the meeting he over, lest occasion should be given to suspect the defign. Finally, Secreey, as to the time and place, is much recommended to all those that receive Summons, as it will appear of its own nature necessary.

L. C. J. So it was very necessary indeed.

Cl. of Cr. There is more of it, my Lord.

Tertio pro Domino solono disco.

pro Domino joiono aijeo. Benefatt. Prov. Lunienjis.

Iam straithed for time, that I can only assure you, I shall be much glad of obliging you any ways, Str, Your Servant

Edward Petre.

Pray my Service where due, &c.

L. C. J. You know nothing of this Letter, Mr. Whitebread?

Whitebread. No, my Lord, nothing at all.

L. C. J. Nor you, Mr. Ireland?

Ireland. 'Tis none of my Letter, my Lord.

L. C. J. Did you never hear of it before?

Ireland. Not that I know of in particular.

L. C. J. Well, have you done with the Evidence for the King?

Serj. Baldwyn. Pray Sir Tho. Doleman, will you tell my Lord, Did Mr. Oates give in this Testimony of the Consult, to be the 24th of April, before this Letter was found?

Sir Tho. Doleman. Mr. Oates gave in his Information about this matter, to the King and Council, four or five Days before we found this Letter.

Serj. Baldwyn. You were speaking of the Seals that were made use of to Sign Commussions, have you them in the Court?

Oates. Yes, my Lord, they are in the Court, and they were taken out of the Provincial's Chamber.

Whitebread. I confess they had the Seals out of my Chamber; but the taking of them was more than they had Power to do.

Then the Seals were shewn to the Court and the Jury, Mr. Finch. It hath been told you already, Gentlemen, what use these Seals were put unto; to Seal Commissions to mile on Army And we

Seal Commissions to raise an Army. And we have now done with our Evidence for the King,

'till we hear what the Prisoners say.

L. C. J. Before you come to make your Defence, I will do that which I think in Justice and Honesty, and according to the duty of my Place and my Oath, I ought to do; That is, to fay fomething to the Jury, before the Prisoners make their own Desence. Here are Five that stand indicted of High-Treason: I must tell you this, That as to three of them, that is to lay, Ircland, Pickering and Grove, both Mr. Oates and Mr. Bedlow have fworn the thing flat upon them: Mr. Oates his Testimony is full against them all; but Mr. Bedlow does only agree with him to charge Three, and that in this particular: Saith he, I was present at Harcourt's Chamber when Ireland was there, and Pickering and Grove, where they discoursed of their defeat about their design against the King at Windsor; and there they came to a new agreement, to do it at New-Market. So that here is now, as the King's Counsel did open it to you at the first, as there ought to be, two Witnesses; so here are Two which though they speak as to a different circumstance of time, yet they prove one Treasonable Fact at several times: For if killing the King be the Fact in question, and one proves they would do it by one thing, and another by another; and one in one place, and another in another; yet these are two Witnesses to prove one fact, that is the substance, which is, the killing of the King. So that there are two Witnesses against them three, expressly proving a Confederacy to kill the King: For Ireland's being by, and confenting, was the same thing, and as much, as if he had been to do it with Grove and Pickering; for there are no Accessaries in Treaion. I do acknowledge, that Mr. Oates hath given a very full and ample Testimony, accompanied with all the circumstances of time and place, against them all, that may go far to weigh with you, all things confidered, to believe there is a Plot; yet I do not think that they have proved it against Whitebread and Fenwick by two Witnesses: So that though the Testimony be so full, as to satisfy a private Conscience, yet we must go according to Law too. It will be convenient, from what is already proved, to have them stay 'till more proof may come in: 'Tis a great Evidence that is against them;

but it not being sufficient in point of Law, we

discharge

discharge you of them; 'tis not a legal proof to convict them by, whatsoever it may be to so now. satisfy your Consciences. Therefore remove Mr. Fenwick and Mr. Whitebread from the Bar. and let the other three say what they will for themselves †.

\* L. C. B. (Speaking to the \* William Blon-Goaler) You must understand tague. Efq; they are no way acquitted; the Evidence is so full against them by Mr. Oates's T. stimony, that there is no reason to acquit them. It is as flat, as by one Witness can be; and the King hath sent forth a Proclamation for further discovery; before the time therein prefixt be out, no question there will come in more Evidence: Therefore keep them as strict as you

can. Then Whitebread and Fenwick were taken back to the Goal by the Keeper.

L. C. J. Now G-ntlemen, you shall have li-

berty to make your full defence.

Ireland. First I shall endeavour to prove there are not two Witnesses against me: For that which he fays, of my being at Harcourt's Chamber in August, is salse; for I will prove I was all August long out of Town, for I was then in Staffordsbire.

L. C.J. Call your Witnesses.

Ireland. If there be any of them here.

L.C.J. Whoever comes to give Evidence for you, shall go and come in safety; they shall not be Trepan'd for any thing of that, but they shall be heard.

Ireland. My Lord, We are kept so strict, that we are not permitted to fend for any body.

L. C. J. As foon as your Sister came to me, I ordered she should have access to you, and that you should have Pen, Ink and Paper, in order to your defence; therefore call those Witnesses

you have, to prove what you say.

Ireland. I can only fay this, That last August upon the 3d day I went down to Staffordshire with my Lord Afton, and his Lady, and his Son, and Sir John Southcot and his Lady, and all these can teltify that I went down with them. Here is Mr. John Afton in Town, if he may be found, who was in my Company all August in Staffordfhire.

L. C. J. Will you call that Gentleman? Crier, call him.

Crier. Mr. John Aston.

Ireland. It is an hundred to one if he be here; for I have not been permitted so much as to send a Icrap of Paper.

L. C. J. Your Sister had leave to go to whom you thought fit, in your behalf. You said you would prove it, Why don't you?

Ireland. I do as much as I can do.  $L. C. \mathcal{I}$ . What, by faying so?

Ireland. Why, I do name them that can testify.

L. C. J. If naming them should serve, you must have a Law made on purpose for you.

Ireland. Then there is no help for Innocence. Rocorder. To fave him that labour, the King's Evidence will prove, that he was in Town at that time.

Serj. Baldwyn. Swear Sarah Paine. Which

was done.

Serj. Baldwyn. My Lord, this Person was Mr. Grove's Maid.

L. C. J. I believe you know your Maid, Mr. Grove, don't you? look upon her, she was your Servant.

Vol. II.

Sarah Paine. Yes, my Lord.

L. C. J. Do you know Mr. Ireland?

L.C.J. Do you know whether Mr. Ireland was in Lown in August last, or no?

Grove. Yes, my Lord, she was so, she is not

S. Paine. I saw him at his own House about a Week before I went with my Lord Arlington to Windsor.

L. C. J. When was that?

S. Paine. That was about a Week after the King was gone thither.

L.C. J. Sir Tho. Doleman, what day was it the King was gone thither?

Sir Tho. Doleman. About the thirteenth of

August.

L. C. J. Thirteen and seven is twenty; then you went to Windfor about the twentieth it icems, and you say that eight days before you saw Mr. Ireland at his own House.

S. Paine. Yes, my Lord, about eight or nine days before that, I did see him at the door of his own House, which was a Scrivener's in Fetter-Lane. He was going into his own Lodging.

L.C.J. Howlong had you known him before

that time?

S. Paine. My Lord, I knew him, for he came often to our House, when I lived at Mr. Greve's; he was the Man that broke open the Pacquet of Letters that my Master carried about afterwards, and he Sealed all the Pacquets that went beyond the Seas. And he opened them still when the Answers returned back again.

Ireland. Now must all the people of my Lodging come and witness that I was out of my

Lodging all August. L. C. J. Call them.

Ireland. There is one Anne Ireland.

L. C. J. Crier, call her.

Cryer. Anne Ireland: Here she is.

L. C. J. Come Mistress, what can you say concerning your Brother's being out of Town in August?

A. Ireland. My Lord, on Saturday the third of August, he set out to go into Staffordshire.

L.C.J. How long did he continue there? A. Ireland. Till it was a fortnight before Michaelmas.

L. C.J. How can you remember that it was

just the third of August?

A. Ireland. I remember it by a very good Circumstance, because on the Wednesday before, my Brother, and my Mother and I, were invited out to Dinner; we slay'd there all night, and all Thursday night, and Friday night my Brother came home, and on Saturday he let out for Staffordshire.

L. C. J. Where was it, Maid, that you saw him? S. Paine. I saw him going in at the door of

their own House.

L. C. J. When was that?

S. Paine. About a Week before I went with my Lord Chamberlain to Windsor, which was a Week after the King went thicher.

L. C. J. That must be about the 12th or 13th.

Are you fure you saw him?

S. Paine. Yes, my Lord, Iam sure I saw him.

L. C. J. Do you know this Maid, Mr. Ireland? Ireland. I do not know her, my Lord.

L. C.J. She knows you by a very good token. You used to break open the Letters at her Master's House, and to seal them.

S. Paine.  $\mathbf{Y}$   $\mathbf{y}$   $\mathbf{y}$   $\mathbf{z}$ 

S. Paine. He knows me very well, for I have carried several Letters to him, that came from the Carrier, as well as those that came from beyond Sea.

L.C.J. They will deny any thing in the

World.

Ireland. I profess, I do not know her. Twenty People may come to me, and yet I not know them; and the having been Mr. Grove's Servant, may have brought me Letters, and yet I not remember her. But, my Lord, here is my Mother Eleanor Ireland, that can testify the same.

L. C. J. Call her then. Crier. Eleanor Ireland.

E. Ireland. Here.

L. C. J. Can you tell when your Son went out of Town?

E. heland. He went out of Town the third of

August, towards Staffordshire.

Ireand. My Lord, there is Mr. Charles Gifferd will prove that I was a Week after the beginning of September, and the latter end of Au-

guft, in Staffordshire.

L. C. J. That will not do: for the lays that the faw you in Loaden about the 10th or 12th of Auguf; and the makes it out by a Circumstance, which is better Evidence than if she had come and fy orn the precise day wherein she saw him: for I thould not have been satisfy'd, unlets she had given me a good account why the did know if to be such a day. She does it by Circumstances, by which we must calculate that she faw you about the 12th or 13th day. She went to my Lord Arlington's at fuch a day, a Week after the King went to Windsor, and that was about the 13th, and the faw you a Week before the went to my Lord Arlington's, which must be the 2th or 13th. You fay you went our of Town the third of August; who can swear you did not come back again?

Ireland. All the house can testify I did not

come to my Lodging.

E. Ireland. He went out of Town the third of August, and did not return till a fortnight before Michaelmas.

 $L C. \mathcal{I}$ . Did you lie at his house?

E. Ireland. I did then, my Lord.

L. C. J. What, all that while?

E. Ireland. Yes, my Lord.

L. C. J. So did your Daughter too, did she?

E. Ireland. Yes, she did.

Ireland. There are others that did see me the latter end of August in Staffordshire.

L.C.J. And you would fain have crampt him up, between the 20th and 31st; and then, 'tis possible, you might be in Staffordshire.

. Ireland. If I might have been permitted to send in for such Witness as I would have had, I

could have brought them.

Recorder. Why, have you not a Note of what Witnesses you are to call? why don't you call them according to that Note?

Ireland. I had that but this Morning.

L.C.J. Why did you not send for them before, to have them ready?

Recorder. 'Tis his Sifter that brings that Note of the Witnesses that he should call, and now they are not here.

A. Ireland. There was one Engletrap, and one Harrison had promised to be here, that went with him into Staffordshire.

Mr. Oates. My Lord, when ever we had a mind

to come to Town, we commonly writ our Letters, and let them come to Town two days after us. So that we might prove by the writing of such Letters, if any Question did arise, that we could not be at such a place at such a time. And when we pretended to go into the Country, we have gone and taken a Chamber in the City, and have had frequent Cabals at our Chambers there. Mr. Ireland writ a Letter as dated from St. Omers, when I took my leave of him at his own Chamber, which was betwixt the 12th and 24th in London. He was there; and afterwards when I went to Fenwick's Chamber he came thither; a fortnight or ten days at least I am sure it was in August.

L.C.J. Here are three Witnesses upon Oath about this one thing: here is Mr. Bedlow that Swears the fact, upon which the Question arises, to be in August; that you deny, and say you were out of Town then: he produces a Maid here, and the swears, that about that time, which by calculation must be about the 11th or 12th, she saw you going into your own House. And here is a third Witness, who swears he knows nothing of this matter of fact, but he knows you were in Town then, and that he took his leave of you as going to St. Omers.

Oates. Whereas he says, that the beginning of September he was in Staffordsbire, he was in Town the first of September, or second, for then I had

of him twenty Shillings.

Ireland. This is a most false lye; for I was then in Staffordsbire. And the Witnesses contradict themselves; for the one saith, he took his leave of me, as going to St. Omers the 12th; the other faith, it was the latter end of August I was at Harcourt's Chamber.

L.C.J. He does not fay you went, but you

pretended to go.

A. Ireland. Here is one Harrison, that was a Coachman that went with them.

L.C.J. Well, what fay you, Friend? Do you know Mr. Ireland?

Harrison. I never saw the Man before that time in my life, but I met with him at St. Albans.

L. C. J. When?

Harrison. The fifth of August. There I met with him, and was in a Journey with him to the fixteenth.

L. C. J. What day of the w ek was it?

Harrison. Of a Monday.

L. C. J. Did he come from London on that day?

Har. I cannot tell that. But there I met him

 $L.C. \mathcal{J}.$  What time?

Har. In the Evening.

L. C.J. Whereabouts in Saint Albans? Har. At the Bull-Inn, where we lodged.

L. C. J. Mr. Ireland, you say you went on Saturday out of Town, did you stay at Saint Albans till Monday?

Ireland. No, I went to Standon that day, and lay there on Saturday and Sunday Night, on Monday I went to Saint Albans.

L. C. J. What, from thence?

Ireland. Yes, my Lord.

L. C. J. Why did you go thither? was that in your way?

Ireland. I went thither for the company of Sir John Southcot and his Lady.

L. C. J. How did you know that they went thither.

Ireland. I understood they were to meet my Lord Affon, and Lady, there.

1. C.J. What, on Monday Night?

Ireland. Yes, my Lord.

Har. From thence I went with him to Tixwel, to my Lord Aston's House, there we were all with him.

L. C. J. Were you my Lord Afton's Coach-

man?

Har. No, my Lord, I was Servant to Sir John Southcot.

L. C. J. How came you to go with them?

Hir. Because my Lord Afton is my Lady Southsot's Brother.

L. C. J How long was you in his Company? Har. From the Fisth of August to the sixteenth, and then I was with him at West-Chester.

Mr. Just. Atkins. You have not yet talk'd of

being at West-Chester all this while.

Ireland. My Lord, I must talk of my Journey

by degrees.

L. C. J. Before, you said you were all August in Staffordsbire; come, you must find out some Evafion for that.

Ireland. In Staffordsbire, and thereabouts.

L. C. J. You Witness, who do you live with?

Hir. With Sir John Southcot.

L.C.J. Who brought your hither?

Hir. I came only by a Messenger last Night.

L. C. J. Was not Sir John Southcot in that Journey himseli?

Har. Yes, my Lord, he was.

L C. J. Then you might as well have sent to

Sir John Southeot himself to come.

A. Ireland. I did it of my self, I never did such a thing before, and did not understand the way of it.

Ireland. It was meer Chance she did send for

those she did.

L. C. J. But why should she not send for Sir John himfelf?

Ireland. She did not know that Sir John was there.

L. C. J. You were not denied to send for any Witnesses, were you?

Ireland. I was expresly denied, they would not let me have one bit of l'aper.

L. C. J. Fellow, what Town was that in Staffordsbire? Tell me quickly.

Har. It was Tixtuel, by my Lord Asson's, there we made a Stay for three or four Days, then we went to Nantwich, and so to West-Chester.

L. C. J. Were not you at Wolverhampton with

him?

Har. No, my Lord, I was not there, I left him at West-Gkester.

Ireland. My Lord, I was at Wolverhampton with Mr. Charles Gifford, and here he is to attest it.

L. C. J. Well, Sir, what fay you?

Gifford. My Lord, I saw him there a Day or two after St. Bartholomew's Day, there he continued till the 9th of September; the 7th of September I saw him there, and I can bring twenty and twenty more, that faw him there. Then, as he said, he was to go towards London. I came again thither on the ninth, and there I found him. And this is all I have to fay.

Oates. My Lord, I do know that Day in September I speak of by a particular Circumstance.

Ireland. My Lord, there is one William Bowdrel, that will testify the same, if I might send for him.

L. C. J. Why han't you him have?

Ireland. She hath done what she can to bring as many as she could.

L. C. J. Hive you any more Witnesses to call? Ireland. I can't tell whether there be any more here or no.

L. C. J. Mr. Grove, what say you for your felf?

Grove. Mr. Oates fays he lay at my House; my Lord, I have not been able to send for any Witnesses, and therefore I know not whether there be any here. They could prove that he did not lie there. He says he saw me receive the Sacrament at Wild-House, but he never did; and if I had any Witnesses here, I could prove it.

L. C. J. He tells it you with such and such Cir-

cumstances, who lay there at that time.

Grove. He did never lie there.

L.C.J. Why, you make as if you never knew Mr. Oates.

Grove. My Lord, I have seen him, but he never lay at my House.

L. C. J. Mr. Pickering, what fay you for your self? you relie upon your Masses.

Pickering. I never saw Mr. Oates, as I know

of, in my Life.

L. C. J. What fay you to Bedlow? he tells you he was with you in Harcourt's Chamber such a Day.

Pickering. I will take my Oath I was never in

Mr. Bedlow's Company in all my Life.

L. C. J. I make no Question but you will; and have a Dispensation for it when you have done. Well, have you any Witnesses to call?

Pickering. I have not had time to fend for any. L. C. J. You might have moved the Court, when you came at first, and they would have gi-

ven you an Order to fend for any.

Ireland. Methinks there should be some Witnesses brought that know Mr. Oates, to attest his Reputation, for I am told, there are those that can prove very ill things against him, they say he broke Prison at Dover.

L. C. J. Why have you not your Witnesses here to prove it?

Ireland. We could have had them, if we had Time.

L. C. J. See what you ask now, you would have time, and the Jury are ready to go together about their Verdict.

Ireland. Why, we desire but a little Time to make out our Proof.

L. C. J. Only you must tye up the Jury, and they must neither cat nor drink till they give in a Verdict.

Ireland. Then we must confess, there is no Justice for Innocence.

L. C. J. Well, if you have any more to lay, fay it.

Ireland. My Lord, I have produced Witnesses, that prove what I have faid.

L. C. J. I'll tell you what you have proved: you have produced your Sister and your Mother, and the Servant of Southcot, they say you went out the third of August, and he gives an account you came to St. Albans on the fifth; and then there is another Gentleman, Mr. Gifford, who fays he saw you at Wolverhampton till about a Week in September. Mr. Oates hath gainsaid him in that, so you have one Witness against Mr. Oates for that Circumstance. It cannot be true what Mr. Oates says, if you were there all

that time, and it cannot be true what Mr. Gifford tays, if you were in London then. And against your two Witnesses, and the Coachman, there are three Witnesses, that swear the contrary, Mr. Oates, Mr. Bedlow, and the Maid, lo that if the and the other two be to be believed, here are three upon Oath, against your three upon bare Affirmation.

Ireland. I do desire time, that we may bring in more Witnesses.

L.C.J. Come, you are better prepared than you feem to be. Call whom you have to call. Can you prove that against Mr. Oates, which you speak of? If you can, call your Witnesses on God's Name. But only to asperse tho' it be the way of your Church, it shall not be the way of Trial amongst us. We know you can call Hereticks, and ill Names, fait enough.

Ireland. That Hilfley that he names can prove, if he were here, that Mr. Oates was all the while

at St. Omers.

L.C. J. Will you have any more Witnesses call'à? If you will, do it, and don't let us spend the Time of the Court thus.

Grave. Here is Mrs. York, that is my Sister. will your Lordship please to ask her, whether the saw that Gentleman at my House?

L. C. J. What say you, Mistress?

Tirk. No, my Lord, not I.

M. Just. Aik. Nor I neither; might not he be there for all that?

Octor. To fatisfy the Court, my Lord, I was in another Habit, and went by another Name.

L. C.J. Look you, he did as you all do, difguite your sclves.

Ireland. Though we have no more Witnesses, vet we have Witnesses that there are more Witnesses.

L. C. J. I know what your way of Arguing is, that is very pretty, you have Witnesses that can prove you have Witnesses, and those Witnesses can prove you have more Witnesses, and so in infinitum. And thus you argue in every thing you do.

Ireland. We can go no further than we can go, and can give no Answer to what we did not

know would be proved against us.

L. C. J. Then look you, Gentlemen-

A. Ireland. My Lord, Sir Denny Ashburnham promited to be here to testify what he can say concerning Mr. Oates.

L. C.  $\mathcal{J}$ . Call him.

Crier. Sir Denny Ashburnham. Here he is, my Lord.

L. C. J. Sir Denny, what can you fay con-

cerning Mr. Oates?

Sir D. Ashburnham, My Lord, I received a Letter this Morning, which I transmitted to Mr. Attorney, and this Letter was only to send to me a Copy of an Indictment against Mr. Oates of Perjury: I did send it accordingly with my Letter to Mr. Attorney. He hath seen the Letter, and what the Town fays to me in it.

\* Att. Gen. I have seen it, there is nothing

in it.

L. C. J. Do you know any thing of your own

Knowledge?

Sir D. Ashburnham. I do know Mr. Oates, and have known him a great while, I have have had him testified against Mr. Oates, he saith known him from his Cradle, and I do know that when he was a Child, he was not a Person of

that Credit that we could depend upon what he laid.

L.C.J. What fignifies that?

Sir D. Ashburnham. Will you please to hear me out, my Lord. I have been also solicited by some of the Prisoners who sent to me, hoping I could say something that would help them in this Matter: Particularly last Night one Milties Ireland, Sister, to the Prisoner at the Bar, a Gentlewoman I never saw before in my Life, she came to me, and was pressing me hard, that I would appear here voluntarily to give Evidence for the Prisoner. I told her no, I would not by any means in the World, nor could I say any thing, as I thought, that would advantage them; for I told her, though perhaps, upon my Knowledge of Mr. Oates in his Youth, had this Discovery come only upon Mr. Oates's Testimony, I might have had some little Doubt of it, but it was so corroborated with other Circumstances that had convinced me, and I would not speak any thing against the King's Witnesses, when I my self was satisfied with the Truth of the thing: And I do think truly that nothing can be said against Mr. Oates to take offhis Credibility; but what I transmitted to Mr. Attorney, I had from the Town of Hastings, for which I ferve.

L. C. J. What was in that Indictment?

Sir D. Albburnlom. It is fet forth, that he did fwear the Peace against a Man, and at his taking his Oath did he, there were some Witnesses that would evidence such a Point of Fact, which, when they came, would not tellify for much, and to was fortworn.

L. C.J. What was done upon that Indictment?

Sir D. Ashburnham. They did not proceed upon it; but here is the Letter, and the Copy of the Indictment.

Mr. Serj. Baldwyn. My Lord, we desire it may be read, and fee what it is.

Mr. Att. Gen. It is only a Certificate, pray let it be read.

L. C. J. I do not think it Authentick Evidence.

Mr. Att. Gen. But if I consent to it, it may be read.

L. C. J. If you will read it for the Prisoners you may, you shan't read it against them. If there be any Strain, it shall be in favour of the Prisoners, and not against them.

Mr. Att. Gen. It is nothing against the Prisoners, nor for them; but however if your Lordship be not satisfied it should be read, let it alone.

L. C. J. Truly, I do not think it is sufficient Evidence, or fit to be read.

A. Ireland. I went to another, one Colonel Shakesby, who was fick, and could not come, but could have attested much as to this.

L.C.J. Have you any more Witnesses?

Ireland. I have none, nor I have not time to

bring them in.

L. C. J. If you have none, what time could have brought them in? But you have called a Gentleman that does come in, and truly he hath done you very great Service; you would he hath known him ever fince he was a Child, and that then he had not so much Credit as now

he hath: And had it been upon his single Testimony that the Discovery of the Plot had depended, he should have doubted of it; but Mr. Oates his Evidence, with the Testimony of the Fact it self, and all the concurring Evidences which he produces to back his Testimony, hath convinced him that he is true in his Narrative.

of Priests and Jesuits. They are the Men sit only for such a Mischief, for I know there are abundance of honest Gentlemen of that Persuasion, who could never be drawn to do any of these things, unless they were seduced by their Priests, that stick at nothing for their own end: He swears expressly that the Consult was begun at

Sir D. Albburnham. Your Lordship is right in

what I have spoken.

L. C. J. Have you any more Witnesses, or

any thing more to fay for your selves?

L. C. J. Produce whom you will.

Ireland. Here is my Sifter and my Mother can tell how our Relations were plundered for fiding with the King.

L.C. J. No, I will tell you why it was, it was for being Papists, and you went to the King

for Shelter.

Iteland. I had an Uncie that was killed in the King's Service; besides, the Pendrels and the Gifferds that were instrumental for saving the King, after the Fight at Worcester, are my near Relations.

L. C. J. Why, all those are Papists.

Pickering. My Father, my Lord, was killed in the King's Party.

L. C. J. Why then do you fall off from your

Father's Virtue?

Pickering. I have not time to produce Witnesses on my own behalf.

Ireland. I do desire time to bring more Witnesses.

Grove. As I have a Soul to fave, I know nothing of this Matter charged upon me.

L. C. J. Well, have you any thing more to fay?

Ireland. No, my Lord.

L. C. J. You of the King's Counsel, will you sum up the Evidence?

Mr. Serj. Baldwyn. No, my Lord, we leave it to your Lordship.

Cl. of Cr. Crier, Make Proclamation of Silence.

Crier. O Yes! All manner of Persons are commanded to keep Silence upon pain of Imprisonment.

Then the Lord Chief Justice directed the Jury thus:

L. C. J. Gentlemen, you of the Jury! As to these three Persons, Ireland, Pickering, Grove, (the other two you are discharged of) One of them, Ireland, it seems is a Priest. I know not whether Pickering be or no, Grove is none, but these are the two Men that should kill the King, and Ireland is a Conspirator in that Plot. They are all indicted for conspiring the King's Death, and endeavouring to subvert the Government, and destroy the Protestant Religion, and bring in Popery. The main of the Evidence hath gone upon that foul and black Offence, Endeavouring to kill the King. The utmost end was, without all queltion, to bring in Popery, and subvert the Protestant Religion; and they thought this a good means to do it, by killing the King. That is the thing you have had the greatest Evidence of, I will sum up the Particulars, and leave them with you.

'Tis sworn by Mr. Oates expressly, That on the by Equivocation, or a flat Denial. 24th of April last, there was a Consultation held,

for such a Mischief, for I know there are abundance of honest Gentlemen of that Persuasion, who could never be drawn to do any of these things, unless they were seduced by their Priests, that stick at nothing for their own end: He swears expresly that the Consult was begun at the White-Horse Tavern in the Strand; That they there agreed to murder the King; That Pickering and Grove were the Men that were to do it, who went afterwards and subscribed this Holy League of theirs, and signed it every one at his own Lodging, Whitebread at his, Ireland at his, and Fenwick at his, two of which are out of the Case, but they are repeated to you only to shew you the Order of the Conspiracy. That afterwards Pickering and Grove did agree to the same, and they received the Sacrament upon it as an Oath, to make all Sacred, and a Seal, to make all Secret.

Mr. Bedlow hath sworn as to that particular time of killing the King by Pickering and Grove, though they were not to give over the Design, but there were Four that were sent to kill the King at Windsor. Mr. Oates swears there was an Attempt by Pickering in March last, but, the Flint of the Pistol happening to be loose, he durst not proceed, for which he was rewarded with Penance. He swears there were Four hired to do it; that Fourscore Pounds was provided for them. He saw the Money; and swears he saw it delivered to the Messenger to carry it down.

Ireland. At what time was that?

L. C. J. In August there was an Attempt first by Pickering and Grove. They then not doing of it, four other Persons (Irish Men) were hired to do it, and ten thousand Pounds proffered to Sir George Wakeman to Poison the King. Thus still they go on in their Attempts, and, That being too little, five thousand Pounds more was addcd. This is to shew you the Gross of the Plot in general; and also the particular Transactions of these two Murderers, Grove and Pickering, with the Conspiracy of Ireland. Bedlow swears directly, that in August last, these three and Harcourt, and Pritchard, and Le Faire, being all together in a Room, did discourse of the Disappointment the Four had met with, in not killing the King at Windsor; and there the Resolution was, the old Stagers should go on still, but they had one Conjers joined to them, and they were to kill the King then at New-Market. He swears they did agree to do it; that Ireland was at it; and that all three did consent to that Resolve. So that here are two Witnesses that speak positively, with all the Circumstances of this Attempt, of the Two to kill the King, and the Confederacy of Ireland, all along with them. Now, I must tell you, there are no Accessaries, but all Principals, in Treason. It may seem hard, perhaps, to convict Men upon the Testimony of their Fellow-Offenders, and if it had been possible to have brought other Witnesses, it had been well: but, in things of this Nature, you cannot expect that the Witnesses should be absolutely spotless. You must take such Evidence as the Nature of the thing will afford, or you may have the King destroyed, and our Religion too. For Jesuits are too subtle to subject themselves to too plain a Proof, such as they cannot evade

There is also a Letter produced, which, speaking of the Consult that was to be the 24th of April, proves that there was a Conspiracy among them: And, although it is not Evidence to convict any one Man of them, yet it is Evidence upon Mr. Oates's Testimony to prove the general Design. It is from one Petre to one of the Confederates, and taken amongst Harcourt's Papers, after Mr. Oates had given in his Testimony; and therein it is mentioned, That the Superior had taken care, that there should be a Meetting the 24th of April, the Day after Saint George's Day, which is the very time Oates speaks of; and that they were not to come to Town too foon, that the Design might not be discovered. I would fain know what the Signification of that Claule may be. And then it goes farther, That it was to be kept secret, as the Nature of the thing doth require; which shews plainly there was such a Transaction on foot. But the Reason I urge it for is, to shew you that 'tis a concurrent Evidence with Mr. Oates, who had never feen this Paper till three or four Days after his Information was given in, wherein he fwears the Time when this Agitation was to be, and when they came to look upon the Paper, it agrees with the Time precisely. Now they do not write in this Letter, that they intend to kill the King, but they write to caution them to keep the Defign undiscovered, and by that you may guess what they mean.

What is said to all this by the Prisoners, but Denial? Ireland cannot deay but that he knew Mr. Oates, and had been in his Company some times; five times, by Circumstances, Mr. Oates hath proved, so that they were Acquaintance; and it appears plainly, there was a Familiarity between them. Ireland objects, that Bedlow charges him in August, when he was out of Town all that time, and that therefore the Tcstimony of one of the Witnesses cannot be true. And, to prove thi, he calls his Mother, his Sister, and Sir John Southeot's Man, and Mr. Gifford. His Mother and Sister say expressly, that he went out of Town the third of linguit, and the Servant lays, that he faw him at St. allbans the fifth of August, and continued in his Company to the fixteenth; (so that as to that, there is a Testimony both against Mr. Bedlow and against Mr. Octes;) and Gifford comes and says, he saw him at the latter end of August and beginning of September at Wolverhampton, whereas Mr. Oates hath sworn, he saw him the twelfth of August, and the first or second of September, and tells it by a particular Circumstance, wherein, I must tell you, it is impossible that both Sides should be true. But if it should be a Mistake only in point of Time, it destroys not the Evidence, unless you think it necessary to the Substance of the thing. If you charge one in the Month of August to have done such a Fact, if he deny that he was in that Place at that time, and proves it by Witnesses, it may go to invalidate the Credibility of a Man's Testimony, but it does not invalidate the Truth of the thing it self, which may be true in Substance, though the Circumstance of Time differ. And the Question is, whether the Thing be true?

Against this, the Counsel for the King have have Licences to lye, and Indulgences for Falsthree that swear it positively and expressly, That hoods; Nay, when they can make him a Saint Ireland was here. Here is a young Maid that that dies in one, and then pray to him; as the knew him very well, and was acquainted with Carpenter first makes an Image, and after wor.

him, and with his breaking up of Letters; and the is one that was Grove's Servant: She comes and tells you directly, That about that time, which, by Computation, was about the twelfth of August, she saw him go into his own House, which cannot be true, if that be true which is said on the other Side; and she does swear it upon better Circumstances than if she had barely pitch'd upon a Day; for the must have latisfied me well, for what Reason she could remember the Day so positively, ere I should have believed her: But she does it remembring her going to my Lord Arlington's Service, which was a Week after the King went to Windsor; which is sworn to be about the thirteenth of August, and a Week before her going it was, that the law Ireland at his own Door. What Arts they have of evading this, I know not, for as they have turn'd their Learning into Subtlety, so they have their Integrity too. The Study of Politicks is their Business and Art, which they make use of upon all Occasions; and I find them Learned chiefly in Cunning, and very subtle in their Evasions. So that you ice, without great Dissiculty, a Man cannot have from them a plain Answer to a plain Quellion. But the Fact against them is here exprefly fworn by two Witnesses; if you have any reason to disbelieve them, I must leave that to you. Sir D. Afaburaham, who is produced to discredit Mr. Oates, fays, that when he was a Child, there was little or no Credit to be given to him, and if the Matter had depended folely upon his Teltimony, those Irregularities of his, when a Boy, would have staggered his Belief. But when the Matter is so accompanied with so many other Circumstances, which are material things, and cannot be evaded or deny'd, it is almost impossible for any Man, either to make fuch a Story, or not to believe it when it is told. I know not whether they can frame such a one; I am sure never a Protestant ever did, and, I believe, never would invent such a one to take away their Lives: Therefore it is left to your Consideration what is sworn. The Circumstances of swearing it by two Witnesses, and what Reasons you have to disbelieve them.

It is most plain the Plot is discovered, and that by these Men; and that it is a Flor, and a villanous one, nothing is plainer. No Man of common Understanding, but must see there was a Conspiracy to bring in Popery, and to destroy the Protestant Religion; and we know their Doctrines and Practices too well, to believe they will stick at any thing, that may effect those Ends. They must excuse me, if I be plain with them; I would not asperse a Prosession of Men, as the Priests are, with hard Words, if they were not very true, and if at this time it were not very necessary. If they had not murdered Kings, I would not fay they would have done ours. But when it hath been their Practice so to do; when they have debauched Men's Understandings, overturned all Morals, and destroy'd all Divinity, What shall I say of them? When their Humility is such, that they tread upon the Necks of Emperors; their Charity fuch, as to kill Princes; and their Vow of Poverty such, as to covet Kingdoms, What shall I judge of them? When they have Licences to lye, and Indulgences for Falfhoods; Nay, when they can make him a Saint that dies in one, and then pray to him; as the

fhips,

ships it; and can then think to bring in that wooden Religion of theirs amongst us in this Nation, What shall I think of them? What shall I say to them? What shall I do with them?

If there can be a Dispensation for the taking of any Oath (and diverso Instances may be given of it, that their Church does License them to do so) it is a Cheat upon Men's Souls, it perverts and breaks off all Conversation amongst Mankind; for how can we deal or converte in the World, when there is no Sin, but can be indulged; no Offence so big, but they can pardon it, and some of the blackest be accounted meritorious? What is there left for Mankind to lean upon, if a Sacrament will not bind them (unlels it be to conceal their Wickedness?) If they thali take Tests and Sacraments, and all this under colour of Religion be avoided, and fignify nothing, what is become of all Converse? How can we think Obligations and Promises between Man and Man should hold, if a Covenant between God and Man will not?

We have no such Principles nor Doctrines in our Church, we thank God. To use any Prevarication in declaring of the Truth, is abominable to natural Reason, much more to true Religion; and tis a strange Church that will allow a Man to be a Knave. 'Tis possible some of that Communion may be saved, but they can never hope to be so in such a Course as this. I know they will say, That these are not their Principles, nor these their Practices, but they preach otherwise, they print otherwise, and their Councils do determine otherwise.

Some hold, that the Pope in Council is Infallible, and ask any Popisto Fesuit of them all, and he will say the Pope is Infallible himself in Cathedra, or he is no right Fesuit. And if so, whatever they command, is to be justified by their Authority; so that if they give a Dispensation to kill a King, that King is well killed. This is a Religion that quite unhinges all Piety, all Moral-ty, and all Conversation, and to be abominated by all Mankind.

They have some Parts of the Foundation, 'tis true; but they are adulterated, and mixt with horrid Principles, and impious Practices. They eat their God, they kill their King, and Saint the Murderer. They indulge all forts of Sins, and no human Bonds can hold them.

They must pardon me if I seem sharp, for a Papist in England is not to be treated as a Protestant ought to be in Spain: And if ye ask me why? I'll give you this Reason, We have no such Principles nor Practices as they have. If I were in Spain, I should think my self a very ill Christian, should I offer to disturb the Government of the Place where I lived, that I may bring in my Religion there. What have I to do to undermine the Tranquillity and Peace of a Kingdom, because all that dwell in it are not of my particular Persua-sion?

They do not do so here, there is nothing can quench the Thirst of a Priest and a Jesuit, not the Blood of Men, not of Any, if he can but propagate his Religion, which in truth is but his Interest.

They have not the Principles that we have, therefore they are not to have that common Vol. II.

Credence, which our Principles and Practices call for.

They are not to wonder if they keep no Faith, that they have none from others; and let them fay what they will, that they do not own any fuch things as we charge upon them, and are like to go hard with them; For we can shew them out of their own Writings and Councils, that they do justify the Power of the Pope in Excommunicating Kings, in Deposing them for Heresy, and Absolving their Subjects from their Allegiance. And the Claim of Authority both of Pope and Council, is the surest Foundation they build upon.

I have faid so much the more in this Matter, because their Actions are so very plain and open, and yet so pernicious; and 'tis a very great Providence, that we, and our Religion, are delivered from Blood and Oppression. I believe our Religion would have stood, notwithstanding their Attempts, and I would have them to know we are not afraid of them; nay, I think we should have maintained it, by destroying of them. We should have been all in Blood, 'tis true, but the greatest Esfusion would have been on their Side; and without it, How did they hope it should have been done? There are honest Gentlemen, I believe Hundreds of that Communion, who could not be openly won upon to engage in such a Design. They will not tell them that the King shill be killed; but they will infinuate unto them, that he is but one Man, and if he should die it were fit they were in readiness to promote the Catholick Religion, and when it comes to that, they know what to do. When they have got them to give Money to provide Arms, and be in R adiness on their specious Pretence, then the Jesuits will quickly find them Work. One Blow shall put 'em to exercite their Arms; and when they have killed the King, the Cathorick Cause must be maintained.

But they have done themselves the Mischief, and have brought Misery upon their whole Party, whom they have ensured into the Design, upon other Pretences than what was really at the bottom. A Popish Priest is a certain Seducer, and nothing satisfies him; not the Blo d of Kings, if it stands in the way of his Ambition. And I hope they have not only undeceived some Protestants, whose Charity might incline them to think them not so bad as they are; but I believe they have shaken their Religion in their own Party here, who will be assumed in time that such Actions should be put upon the Score of Religion.

I return now to the Fact, which is proved by two Witnesses, and by the concurrent Evidence of the Letter and the Maid; and the Matter is as plain and notorious as can be, That there was an Intention of bringing in Popery by a cruel and bloody Way; for I believe they could never have Prayed us into their Religion. I leave it therefore to you to consider, whether you have not as much Evidence from these two Men, as can be expected in a Case of this Nature; and whether Mr. Oates be not rather justified by the Testimony offered against him, than discredited. Let Prudence and Conscience direct your Verdict, and you will be too hard for their Art and

Cunning.

Z z z z

Gentle=

Gentlemen, If you think you shall be long, we will Adjourn the Court till the Afternoon, and take your Verdict then.

Jury. No, my Lord, we shall not be long.

Then an Officer was Sworn to keep the Jury fafe, according to Law, and they withdrew to consider of their Verdict.

After a very short Recess, the Jury returned, and the Clerk of the Crown spake to them thus:

Cl. of Cr. Gentlemen, Answer to your Names. Sir William Roberts.

Sir W. Roberts. Here. And so of the rest. Cl. of Cr. Gentlemen, Are you all agreed in your Verdict?

Omnes. Yes.

Cl. of Cr. Who shall say for you?

Omnes. The Foreman.

Cl. of Cr. Set William Ireland to the Bar. William Ireland, hold up thy Hand. Look upon the Prisoner, How say you, is he Guilty of the High-Treason whereof he stands Indicted, or Not Guilty?

Foreman. Guilty.

Cl. of Cr. What Goods and Chattels, Lands or Tenements?

Foreman. None to our knowledge.

Cl. of Cr. Set Thomas Pickering to the Bar. Tho. Pickering, hold up thy Hand. Look upon the Prisoner. How say you, is he Guilty of the same High-Treason, or Not Guilty?

Foreman. Guilty.

Cl. of Cr. What Goods or Chattels, Lands or Tenements?

Foremen. None to our knowledge.

Cl. of Cr. Set John Grove to the Bar. John Grove, hold up thy Hand. Look upon the Prisoner. How say you, is he Guilty of the same High-Treason, or Not Guilty?

Foreman. Guilty.

Cl. of Cr. What Goods or Chattels, Lands or Tenements?

Foreman. None to our knowledge.

Cl. of Cr. Hearken to your Verdict as the Court hath recorded it. You say that William Ireland is Guilty of the High-Treason whereof he stands indicted. You say that Thomas Pickering is Guilty of the same High-Treason. You say that John Grove is Guilty of the same High-Treason. And for them you have found Guilty, you say, That they, nor any of them, had any Goods or Chattels, Lands or Tenements, at the time of the High-Treason committed, or at any time since, to your knowledge. And so you say all.

Omnes. Yes.

L. C. J. You have done, Gentlemen, like very good Subjects, and very good Christians, that is to say, like very good Protestants: And now much good may their Thirty Thousand Masses do them.

Then the Court adjourned by Proclamation till Four in the Afternoon.

In the Afternoon the same Day.

About Five of the Clock Mr. Recorder and a sufficient Number of the Justices, returned into the Court, the Judges being departed home; and Proclamation was made for Attendance, as in the Morning.

Then the Clerk of the Crown called for the Prisoners Convicted of High-Treason, and spoke to each of them thus:

Cl. of Cr. Set William Ireland to the Bar. William Ireland, hold up thy Hand, thou standest Convicted of High-Treason; what canst thou say for thy self, why the Court should not give thee Judgment to die according to Law?

Ireland. My Lord, I represented all along from the beginning, that we had not time to call in

our Witnesses to justify our Innocence.

Recorder. If you have any thing to say in stay of Judgment, you have all free liberty to say it.

Ireland. We had no time allowed us to bring in our Witnesses, so that we could have none, but only those that came in by chance; and those things they have declared, though true, were not believed.

Mr. Recorder. These things, Mr. Ireland, you did not object before the Jury gave their Verdict, now they have given their Verdict, and found you Guilty; if you have any thing to say to the Court why they should not proceed to Judgment according to that Verdict, you may speak it; but for these things it is too late.

Ireland. My Lord, I only have this to say, I desire more time to be heard again, and to call

in my Witnesses.

Recorder. Call the Executioner to do his Office. Ireland. There are Testimonies, my Lord, that I could produce of my Loyalty, and my Relations Fidelity to the King.

Mr. Recorder. I believe, Mr. Ireland, it will be a Shame to all your Relations that have been Loyal to the King, that you should be privy to the Murder of that good King whom your Relations so well served; and therefore if that be all that you have to say it will signify nothing.

The Executioner not appearing, the Sheriff of Middle sex was called to come into Court, and give his Attendance, upon Pain of 40 l. But the Executioner coming in, was, with a Reproof from the Recorder for his Negligence, commanded to tie him up, which he did.

Cl. of Cr. Set Thomas Pickering to the Bar.

Thomas Pickering, hold up thy Hand, Thou art in the same case with the Prisoner last before thee, what canst thou say for thy self, why the Court should not give thee Judgment to die according to Law?

Mr. Recorder. What does he say for himself? Capt. Richardson. He has nothing to say.

Mr. Recorder. Then tie him up.

Cl. of Cr. Set John Grove to the Bar.

John Grove, hold up thy Hand, Thou art in the same case with the Prisoner last before thee, what canst thou say for thy self, why the Court should not give thee Judgment to die according to Law?

Grove. I am as innocent as the Child unborn. Cl. of Cr. Tie him up. Which was done.

Cl. of Cr. Criers on both sides make Procla-

Criers. Oyes! All manner of Persons are commanded to keep silence whilst Judgment is giving upon Pain of Imprisonment; Peace about the Court.

Mr. Recorder. Where is the Keeper? shew me the Prisoners, William Ireland, Thomas Pickering, and John Grove.

Capt. Richardson. Those are the three.

Mr. Recorder. You the Prisoners at the Bar. You have been Arraigned for a very great Offence, the greatest that can be committed against any Authority upon Earth, for High-Treason against your King, with all the Aggravations that pollibly can attend to great a Crime as that is; for you did not only strike at the Life of the best of Kings, but you intended the Subversion of the best of Religions. Whatever you may apprehend, yet all Men that will lay their hopes of Salvation upon any thing that is fit for a Man to lay his hopes upon, which is upon the Merits of a crucified Saviour, and not upon your Masses, Tricks or Frumperies, do abhor the thoughts of promoting their Religion by massacring Kings, and murdering their Subjects. And though we whom you call Hereticks, abhor to own any fuch Religion; vet we are not afraid to tell you, and all others who are enfnared into your Principles, we will maintain the Religion and the Government as it is Established, with our Lives and Fortunes. And it is fit that it should be known, that we who live under the Government of so mild and pious a Prince, and in a Country where to good, for moderate a Religion is Establisht by Law, will not be affrighted by all your Murders, Conspiracies and Defigns, from declaring, that they who dare kill Kings, and maffacre their Subjects, are the highest Violators, not only of the Laws of the Land, but of that great Law which all good Christians and Protestants think themselves obliged to pay great Reverence and Obedience to, I mean the Law of God Almighty himself.

Thus I speak to you, Gentlemen, not vauntingly, 'tis against my Nature to insult upon Perfons in your sad Condition; God forgive you for what you have done, and I do heartily beg it, though you don't defire I should; for, poor Men, you may believe that your Interest in the World to come is secured to you by your Masses, but do not well consider that vast Eternity you must ere long enter into, and that great Tribunal you must appear before, where his Masses (speaking to Pickering) will not signify so many Groats to him, no not one Farthing. And I have had their Education here, and the benefit must say it for the sake of those silly People of the good Examples of others, should not onwhom you have imposed upon with such Fallacies, That the Masses can no more save thee from a future Damnation, than they do from a present

Condemnation. I do not speak this to you, as intending thereby to inveigh against all Persons that profess the Romish Religion; for there are many that are of that Persuasion, that do abhor those base Principles of murdering Kings and subverting Governments. There are many honest Gentlemen in England, I dare say, of that Communion, whom none of the most impudent Jesuits durst undertake to tempt into such Designs; these are only to be imposed upon filly Men, not upon Men of Conscience and Understanding. And I pray God, as was faid lately by a Learned Gentleman whom we all know, that all Protellants may be as fafe from the force of your Daggers, as they are from those of your Arguments; for I dave fay, that you could fooner Murder any Man that understands the Protestant Religion, than persuade him to such Villanies. And among those many things which prevailed with the honest Gentlemen of the Jury to convict you of this horrid Crime, they could not ligion, they will not casily be seduced into your Vol. II. Zzzz Opinion:

but take notice, that you (speaking to Ireland) that do pretend to Learning, did fend into Foreign Parts, that your Fellow-Jesuits should take care publickly to Preach, That the Oahs of Allegiance and Supremacy, by which the Common Justice of the Nation is preserved, signified nothing; which is a strong Evidence of your Design, not only to Murder the King, but subvert the Government; for furely the most probable way to do that, is to asperse those Oaths by which all Protestant Subjects, those whom you call Hereticks, lie under an Obligation of Obedience to their Prince. And I think it not unfit to tell you, that you had a great Favour shewed to you to be tried only for the Matters contained in this Indictment; for you that are Priests must know, that there is a Law in the Land, that would have hang'd you for your very Residence here; for if any Subject born in England, shall take Orders from the Sec of Rome, and afterwards come into England, and remain there 40 Days, such, for that Offer ce alone, are made Traitors by Act of Pailiament. But you are so far from being under any Awe of that Law, or Submission to it, that you dare not o ily come to live here in despite thereof, but endeavour what you can, to overthrow both it, and the Government it self. You date conspite to Murder the King; nay, not only fo, but you dare make your Confults thereof publick. You dare write your Names to those Consults. You dare solicit all your Party to do the like, and make all the Ties of Religion and Confeience (that to Confidering Christians are Obligations to Piety and Charity) as Engagements either to act your Villanies, or to conceal them. We think no Power can dispense with us whom you call Hereticks, to fallify our Oaths, much less to break our Covenant with God in the holy Sacrament. But you, instead of making that a Tie and Obligation to engage you to the Remembrance of our Saviour, make it a Snare and a Gin to oblige your Proselytes to the Assallnating Kings, and murdering their Subjects. I am forry with all my Soul, that Men who ly be led into fuch mischievous Principles themselves, but to be of that considence in their Perfualion, as to dare to debauch others also. I am forry also to hear a Lay-man should with is much Malice declare, That a Bullet, if round and smooth, was not safe enough for him to execute his Vilianies by. But he must be sure, not only to fet his Poilonous Invention on work about it, but he must add thereto his Poisonous Teeth; for fear if the Bullet were smooth, it might light in some Part where the Wound might be cured. But such is the height of some Mens Malice, that they will put ad the Venom and Malice they can into their Actions. I am fure this was so horrid a Design, that nothing but a Conclave of Devils in Hell, or a College of fuch Jesuits as yours on Earth, could have

thought upon. This I remember to you for the fake of them that are to live, and for the Charity I have for you who are to die: for the fake of them that are to live; for I hope when they hear, that Men of your Persuasion dare commit those outragious Crimes, and justify them by a Principle of Re-

Opinion: And out of Charity to you that are to die, to perfuade you to hearty Repentance; for otherwise, I must tell you, thy 1500% (speaking to Grove) nor thy 30000 Masses (speaking to Pickering) will avail but little. And I thought fit to say this also, that it may be known that you have had the full Benefit of the Laws Established in England, and those the Best of Laws; for such is not the Law of other Nations, sor if any Protestant in any Place where the Romish Religion is profest, had been but thought Guilty of such Crimes, he had never come to the Formality and Justice of an Arraignment, and to be tried by his Peers, permitted to make his Defence, and hear what could be said against him; but he had been hang'd immediately, or perhaps fuffer'd a worle Death. But you are not only beholden to the happy Constitution of our-Laws, but to the more happy Constitution of our Religion. For such are the admirable Decuments of that Religion we in England profess, That we dare not requite Massacre for Massacre, Blood for Blood. We disown and abhor all Stabbing; and we are so far from reckoning that he shall be a Saint in Heaven for Assassing a Prince, and be pray'd to in another World, that the Protestant is required to believe, that such that begin with Murder, must end with Damnation, if our blessed Lord and Saviour do not interpose; nothing that Man can do, Papist or Protestant, can fave any Man in such a case. We dare not fay that our Religion will permit us to Murder Dissenters, much lets to Assassinate our King.

And having thus faid, let me once more as a Christian, in the name of the great God of Heaven, beg of you for your own Souls sake, be not satisfied or over-persuaded with any Doctrine that you have Preached to others, or imblbed from others; but believe, that no one can contrive the Death of the King, or the Overthrow of the Government, but the great God of Heaven and Earth will have an account of it. And all Pardons, Absolutions, and the Dispensations that you who are l'riests can give to your Lay-Brother, or that any of your Superiors may give to you, will not serve the turn.

I know not, but as I faid, you may think I speak this to insult, I take the great God of Heaven to Witness, that I speak it with Charity to your Souls, and with great Sorrow and Grief in my own Heart, to see Men that might have made themselves happy, draw upon themselves so great a Ruin. But since you have been so fairly Heard, so fairly Tried and Convicted, there is but little more to be said; for I must tell you, because it may not be thought that you had not free Liberty to make your full Defence, though that Gentleman (speaking to Ireland) seemed to be surpriz'd, he had a kind Sister that took care for to bring his Witnesses; I am so far from blaming her for it, that I do commend her, it was the effect of her Good-nature, and deserves Commendation; but speak to this Purpole, to shew that there was no Surprize upon him, nor his Life taken away by any fuch thing; for he had a greater Favour shew'd to him than is usually shewn to such Offenders.

And having thus faid to you my felf, we do also require him whose Duty it is to attend in fuch Cases, nay, I do Command him in the " Name of the Court, that he attend upon you to

can for the advantage of your future State: And not only so, but we will certainly take care, that if you will have any others come to you, they shall. I would not be mistaken, I do not mean any of your Priests and Jesuits; but if you will have the Assistance of any Protestant Divines, they shall not be deny'd you. And I hope God Almighty will please to give you Pardon in another World, though you have offended beyond hopes of any in this. I once more affure you, all I have said is in perfect Charity. I pray God forgive you for what you have done. And so there remains now only for me to pronounce that Sentence which by the Law of the Land the Court is required to do against Persons Convicted of that Offence which you are Convicted of.

This Court doth therefore Award, That you the Prisoners at the Bar, be conveyed from hence to the Place from whence you came, and from thence that you be drawn to the Place of Execution upon Hurdles, that there you be severally hanged by the Neck, that you be cut down alive, that your Privy Members be cut off, and your Bowels taken out, and burnt in your view, that your Heads be severed from your Bodies, that your Bodies be divided into Quarters, and those Quarters be disposed at the King's pleasure: And the God of infinite Mercy be merciful to your Souls.

Then the Prisoners were conveyed back to the Goal by the Keeper of the Goal, according to Custom; and the Commission was called over, and the Prisoners taken Order for according to Law. And the Court Adjourned by Proclamation, thus:

Cl. of Cr. Crier, make Proclamation.

Crier. Oyes, Oyes, Oyes! All manner of Persons that have any thing more to do at this general Sessions of the Peace holden for the City of London, may depart hence for this time, and give their Attendance at the Guildhall, London, on Friday the 10th Day of January next, at Seven of the Cleck in the Morning. And all manner of Persons at this Sessions of Oyer and Terminer, and Goal-delivery of Newgate, bolden for the City of London and County of Middlesex, may depart hence for this time, and give their Attendance here again on Wedneiday the 15th Day of January, at Seven of the Clock in the Morning.

God Save the King.

And then the Court broke up.

On Friday the 24th of January following, William Ireland and John Grove were drawn from Newgate on an Hurdle to Tyburn, where they were Executed according to their Sentence; but the Execution of Thomas Pickering was respited for so long a time, that it occasion'd an Address of the House of Commons, April 27, 1679, "That his Majesty would be pleased to order the Execution of one Pickering a Prisoner in Newgate, and of divers Priests and Jesuits, who had been Condemn'd by the Judges at " the Old Baily and in the several Circuits, but did remain as yet unexecuted, to the great " emboldening of such Offenders, in case they " should escape without due Punishment." To which the King returned this Answer. "Gentlemen, I have always been Tender in Matters of Blood, which my Subjects have no give you all the comfortable Assistance that he "Reason to take Exceptions at: but this is a

" Matter

" Matter of great Weight, I shall therefore " consider of it, and return you an Answer,"

May 25, The King sent a Message to the House, by Lord Ruffel, to let them know, that he would comply with their Request concerning

Pickering, and that the Law should pass upon him.

He was accordingly Executed in pursuance of his Sentence.

& BING & GONES A GONES GONES A G 

## LXXVII. The Tricl of the Lord Cornwallis, before the Lords at Westminster for the Murder of Robert Clerk, 1678. 30 Car. II.

State, and sat down therein, the Commission was delivered by the Clerk of the Crown in the Chancery,

to my Lord, on his Knees, who delivered it to Sir Thomas Fanshaw, Clerk of the Crown in the King's-Bench-Office, and he received it kneelmg.

Then Proclamation was made by the Serjeant

at Arms, who was Crier for the Day.

Serjeant. O Yes, O Yes, O Yes! My Lord High Steward of England Strictly chargeth and commandeth all manner of Persons here present, upon Pain of Imprisonment, to keep Silence, and give Ear to his Majesty's Commission, To my Lord High Steward of England, to his Grace dirccted.

The Clerk of the Crown with his Face to my Lord High Steward reads it thus:

Clerk of the Crown. Charles Ren Carolus Sceundus, &c.

All which time my Lord and the Peers stood up bare.

Serjeant. God fave the King. Cl. Cr. Make Proclam tion.

Serjeant. O Yes! The King at Arms, and the Uther of the Black Rod, on their Knees deliver the White Staff to my Lord, who re-delivered it to the Usher of the Black Rod, who held it up all the time before him.

Cl. Cr. Make Proclamation.

Sirjeant. O Yes! My Lord High Steward of England strictly chargeth and commandeth all Justices and Commissioners, and all and every Person and Persons to whom any Writ or Precept have been directed for the certifying of any Indictment, or of any other Record before my Lord High Steward of England, to certify and bring the same immediately according to the Tenor of the faid Writs and Precepts unto them, or any of them directed, on Pain and Peril as shall fall thereon.

The Lord Chief Justice of the King's-Bench return'd his Certiorari, and the Record of the Indictment by the Grand Jury of Middlesex, which was read by the Clork of the Crown, in hee verba.

Cl. Cr. Virtute, Gc.

L. H. Stew. Call the Constable of the Tower to return his Precept and his Prisoner.

Cl. Cr. Make Proclamation.

Scijeant. O Yes? Constable of the Tower of London, return the Precept to thee directed, and count shall be taken of all the Violences and Injuries

FTER my Lord High Steward \* bring forth the Prisoner Charles Lord Cornwallis, was ascended to the High Chair of on Pain and Peril as will fall thereon.

The Lord Lieutenant of the Tower brought in the Prisoner, on his left Hand, with the Ax before him, born by the Deputy Lieutenant, which he held with the Edge from him, and returned his Precept in hac verba.

Cl. Cr. Virtute, Gc.

L. H. Stew. Call the Serjeant at Arms to return his Precept.

Cl. Cr. Make Proclamation.

Serjeant. O Yes! Roger Harfnet Esq; Serjeant at Arms to our Sovereign Lord the King, return the Precept to thee directed, with the Names of all the Lords and Noblemen of this Realm, Peers of Charles Lord Cornwallis, by thee summoned, to be heard this Day, on Pain and Peril as will fall thereon.

He delivered his Precept return'd with a Schedule annexed thus;

Cl. Cr. Virtute, &c. Make Proclamation.

Serjeant. O Yes! All Marquesses, Earls, Viscounts, and Barons of this Realm of England, Peers of Charles Lord Cornwallis, which by Commandment of the Lord High Steward of Lugland, are summoned to appear this Day, and to be present in Court, answer to your Names, as you are called, every one upon Pain and Peril as will fall thereon.

Then the Pannel was called over, the number of Peers summoned were 35, in order as solloweth.

Thomas Earl of Danby, Lord High Treasurer of England, &c.

All that appeared, answered to the Call, itanding up bare.

Then my Lord High Steward made a Speech to the Prisoner at the Bar thus;

Lord High Steward. My Lord Cornwallis, The Violation of the King's Peace, in the chief Sanctuary of it, his own Royal Palace, and in so high a manner, as by the Death of one of his Subjects, is a Matter that must be accounted for. And that it may be so, It haih pleased the King to command this High and Honourable Court to Assemble, in order to a strict and impartial Enquiry.

The Wisdom of the Law bath therefore styled it the King's Peace, because it is his Authority that commands it, it is his Justice that secures it, it is be on whom Men do rely for the Safety of their Liberties, and their Lives; in him they trust that a severe Acthat

that are offered to them, and they that trust in the

King can never be deceived.

It is your Lordship's great Unhappiness at this time to stand Prisoner at the Bar, under the weight of no 1/s a Charge than an Indictment of Murder; and it is not to be wondered at, if so great a Misfortune as this be attended with some kind of consusion of Face; when a Man sees himself become a Spettacle of Misery in so great a Presence, and before so Noble and so Illustrious on Assembly. But be not yet dismiy'd, my Lord, for all this; let not the Fears and Terrors of Justice so amaze and surprize you, so as to betray those Succours that your Reason would afford you, or to difarm you of those Helps which good Discretion may administer, and which are now extremely necessary.

It is indeed a dreadful thing to fall into the hands of Juffice, where the Law is the Rule, and a severe and inflexible measure both of Life and Death. But yet it ought to be some Comfort to your Lordship, that you are now to be tried by my Lords your Pears; and that now you fee the Scales of Justice are held by such Noble hands, you may be consident they will put into them all the Grains of allowance, either Justice

or Honour will bear.

Hearken therefore to your Indictment with Quietness and Attention, observe what the Witnesses say against you without Interruption; and reserve what you have to fay for your felf, till it shall come to your turn to make your Defence, of which I shall be sure to give you Notice; and when the time comes, affure your self you shall be heard, not only with Patience, but with Candor too.

And then what Judgment soever my Lords will give you, your felf will (and all the World) be fore'd to acknowledge the Justice and Equity of their Judgment, and the Righteoufuess of all their Lordships Proceedings.

#### Read the Indictment.

Cl. Cr. Charles Lord Cornwallis, Thou flandeft Indicted in the County of Middlesex, by the Name of, &c. How layest thou Charles Lord Cernwalhs, Ait thou Guilty of this Felony and Murder whereof thou standest Indicted, or Not Guilty?

Lord Corn. Not Guilty.

Cl. Cr. How wilt thou be try'd? Ld. Corn. By God and my Peers.

Then my Lord High Steward addressed himself to the Lords thus;

L. H. Stew. My Lords, Your Lordships have here a Member before you of your Noble Body, exposed to the shame of a publick Arraignment, and (which to a Man of Honour is much less) to the hazard both of his Life and Estate. All that he bath and ever hopes to have, his Wealth, his Fame, his Posterity; All that is valuable to him in this World intircly depends on your Lordships Judicature, who are now his Peers, and on whom he doth freely put himfelf.

My Lords, The Privilege of this kind of Trial and Judicature is a Part of the true Greatness of the English Nobility: It is an eminent and an illustrious Privilege. It is a folid Point of of Honour and Dignity. It is a Privilege that no Neighbour Nation ever had, and a Privilege this Nationnever was without.

It is not a Privilege created by the Great Charter, but confest and acknowledged by it. They look but a little way that find this in the steps of the Norman Conquest, for it is to be found even in the footsteps of the Saxon Monarchy, when Godwin Earl of

Kent was Tried by Earls and Barons. And it is no improbable Conjecture of theirs, who do think the Wisdom of this Constitution was taken from that Law amongst the Romans, whereby it was made unlawful for any Man to sit upon a Senator, that rvas not himself of the same Order; a Privilege, that (as learned Civilians tell us) continued with them during the Reign of many of the Roman Emperors. But, my Lords, as this is a Privilege as Ancient as Monarchy, so we have found by many old Experiences, that it cannot be taken away without the Dissolution of that Government: Therefore this is one of those many Ties by which the Interest of the Nobility, as well as their Duty, have obliged them to

30 Car II.

the Service of the King.

In the exercise of this Privilege at this time; I know your Lordships will weigh the Fast with all the Circumstances, whereby it is to receive its true and its proper Doom. Your Lordships are too just, to let Pity make any Abatement for the Crime, and too wife to let Rhetorick make any Improvement of it: This only will be necessary to be observed by all your Lordships, that the fouler the Crime is, the clearer and the plainer ought the Proof of it to be. There is no other good Reason can be given, ruby the Law refufeth to allow the Prisoner at the Bar Counsel in matter of Fast, when his Life is concerned, but only this, because the Evidence by which he is condemned, ought to be fowery evident and foplain, that all the Council in the IP orld should not be able to answer it: upon this ground it is, that the Law bath trusted your Lordships with the Irial of your Fellow Peers; no Trust cante more nobly lodged, nor no Judicature had over more true Submission made to it: Therefore it would be in me some want of Respect to this August and Noble Assembly, should I go about to put your Lordships in mind of your Duty: no doubt you will observe the Evidence carefully, weigh it diligently, and when that is done, it is impossible but the Judgment you will give, must be Right and Honourable, and worthy of so wife and so great a Body. Therefore I will not detain your Lordships any longer, from hearing the Evidence that is ready to be offered unto you.

Cl. of Cr. Make Proclamation.

Serj. O Yes! if any will give Evidence for our Sovereign Lord the King, against Charles Lord Cornevallis, Prisoner at the Bar, let him come forth, and he shall be heard; for the Prisoner stands at the Bar upon his Deliverance.

The Indictment was again read to the Peers.

Serjeant Maynard. May it please your Grace, my Lord High Steward of England, and this Great and Noble Assembly; The Prisoner at the Bar, Charles Lord Cormvallis, Standeth Indicted of a great Crime, that he together with Charles Gerrard and Edward Bourne, not having in his Heart the fear of God, but instigated by the Suggestions of the Devil, the 18th of May last, did Feloniously and of his Malice forethought, astault one Robert Clerk in Whitehall, and that Mr. Gerrard took him up in his Arms, flung him down, and broke his Neck, of which he instantly died. To this he hath pleaded Not guilty. It lies upon us who are Countel for the King, in this cate to prosecute it, and prove it to you.

Mr. Attorney General. May it please your Grace, my \* Sir William Jones. Lord High Steward of England, and my Lords summoned for the Trial of the Prisoner at the

Bar:

Bar: This Noble Lord stands Indicted for Murder; an Offence, my Lord, which is the first and greatest that is forbidden by the second Table, and an Offence of that nature, that the Law of God hath by a most peremptory Sentence condemned and decreed, that whose sheddeth Man's Blood, by Man shall his Blood be shed. Whether this Noble Lord be guilty of it, remains upon your Lordships to try, and I shall very shortly state the Matter of Fact, which we shall prove, and then let the Evidence be offered to you. We do not pretend, my Lords, neither doth the Indictment lay it, that this great Offence was committed by the Hand of my Lord Cornwallis.

For I know your Lordships have observed the Indictment, by which it is alledged, that the Hand of Mr. Gerrard did the Fact: But, my Lords, if we shall make it out that my Lord Cornwallis did concur to this Act, and had in himit will be declared by his Grace, my Lord High Steward, and my Lords the Judges, that tho' his Hand did it not, yet he is equally guilty as if it had.

Now, to make out the Charge against him, our

Evidence will be shortly thus:

On the 18th of May last, early in the Morning, between the Hours of One and Two, came down two Gentlemen with three Footmen behind them, out of the Gallery at Whitchall, by the Stairs that lead down to the Park: I call them two Gentlemen, because it was not then discovered who they were, or of what Quality; but your Lordships will perceive, by the course of the Evidence, they were my Lord Cornwallis and Mr. Gerrard, coming down at that unfeafonable Hour; the first Question they ask'd the Centinel (who watch'd at the Foot of the Stairs,) was the Hour of the Night; and from him had account that it was so much.

The Prisoner and Mr. Gerrard were somewhat distemper'd with Drink, and made him a Reply, that he Lyed, with great Oaths accompanying it. At that time they did no more but go by him into the Park, where, after they had continued by the space of an Hour, back they re-Contention among themselves who should kill him; for, as I am inform'd, (I know if it be not prov'd, your Lordships will observe it) one defired, Pray let me kill him; and the other defired, Pray let me kill him; and threatned no less than to run him through.

My Lords, the Centinel being of good Resolution, was not affrighted from his Place, but kept them off; and when they saw they could not win upon the Centinel that way, one of them delivered away his Sword, which he held in his hand not drawn, and then was pleafed to come to the Centinel, and defired to kills him, and swore he would do that: but that the Centinel did equally refuse; and then they did use the same Threatnings again, and seemed to be in a Contention who should run him through. My Lords, after some time, being now come

pen'd there came to the Stair-foot two Youths, and these young Men, were it seems, going to Bed in their Lodging, which was very near, and did make it their Request to the Centinel (one of them did) to call him up very early the next Morning, because he was to go of a Mesfage out of the Town. My Lord Cornwallis and Mr. Gerrard remaining on the top of the Stair-Case, being (as we said) in disorder (which is the strength of the King's Evidence, if proved) both of them said, before they went thence they would kill some or other, which Evidence will go a great way to shew the Concern that Noble Lord the Prisoner at the Bar had in the Business.

It happen'd as these Boys were making their Request to the Centinel, my Lord and Mr. Gerrard took notice of it, and seem'd to be concern'd that they should command the King's Soldiers, and bid the Centinel shoot him, who told them self at that time an Intent to be a Murderer; then he conceiv'd the Boy had done him no wrong in asking a civil Kindness from him; they again call'd to shoot him, and they would bear him out; which he still refused to do, finding no reason for it: then one of the two took occasion to swear a great Oath, he would kick his Arse to Hell; to which the Boy that ask'd the Centinel made some reply; wherein the word Arse was repeated: now (whether they understood it as an Interrogation, Why kick my Arfe to Hell? as he intended it; or in a worse sense, Kiss my Arse) one of the Gentlemen in a Rage came running down the Stairs, and that Boy that in truth spoke the Word ran away, and the other poor Innocent Boy, trusting in his own Innocency, remained there, until the Person came to him, and did on his Knees (in a manner) desire not to be mistaken, he was not the Person that used any ill Words, and cry'd out, Omy Lord it was not I, indeed my Lord it was not I; but such, at that time, was the Intemperance and Wrath of the Person, who in such a Fury descending the Stairs, that (whether with the Blow or the Fall) the Boy receiv'd his Death. We find by our Information of the Evidence, that he who did the Thing was, in Truth, Mr. Gerrard, who is not yet taken; but whether my Lord, the Prisoner at turn'd to the Stairs, and the Centinel demand- the Bar, did not concur in it, and had not an ing, according to his Duty, who came there? Intention to kill somebody, is the Question left They answered him in very obscene and uncivil for your Grace and these Noble Peers to decide? Language, and threatned they would kill the This is the nature of the Fact; only I desire to Centinel, who only did his Duty in enquiring observe, that it is true here was some distance who came by him at that time of Night. And between the Place where my Lord Cornwallis we shall make it appear, they were in a kind of shood, and the Place where the Boy was killed. Of what consequence that may be, I leave to your Grace's and these Noble Lords Consideration: It was the distance of the Stairs; but, I think, as every one knows, they are not so many, what but is done below may be easily feen at the top.

We shall now, without detaining your Lordships any longer, call the Witnesses, and prove what hath been opened.

The Soldier prov'd the Fact, as it was open'd by Mr. Attorney General, except that part about both swearing they would kill one or other, which Passage was heard but by one of them, and was spoken but by one of the Gentlemen.

They could not swear, who were the Persons, because of the darkness of the time.

The Boy who was the Companion of him that was flain, and that used the Words that caused to the top of the Stairs, and there staying, it hap- the Person to come down, swore them to be a Repe

kick my Arse to Hell?

Then Mr. Attorney desired to call my Lord Cornwallis's own two Footmen, who had been Indicted

and acquitted at the King's-Bench Bar.

Lord High Steward. My Lords the Judges, is there any Question, whether a Person acquitted of an Offence be a good Witness against another charged with the same Offence?

Judges. None at all: when he is acquitted he

ought to be admitted.

Then the Copy of the Acquittal (proved by a Clerk in the Crown-Office) was read, and then were Sworn; who fixed it upon the Person of Mr. Gerrard, and swore that my Lord Cornwallis was all the while upon the top of the Stairs, but after the Fact committed, hasted away for fear of being knock'd down by the Soldiers: And there ended the King's Evidence.

L. High Stew. Now, my Lord, is the time come for your Defence. You hear what is charg'd on you. Pray speak what you have to say for your telf.

Lord Cornwellis. Then the Prisoner at the Bar confessed himself to have been in the Company that Night, when this Accident happen'd, which he hop'd would be a Warning to him to shun such Disorders hereaster; but that he had no evil Intention, and but one Witness swore that both of them would have kill'd the Centinel; that he was not conscious to himself to have had a hand in it, and therefore withdrew not himself, but yielded himself to the Coroner the next Day, (which he prov'd by the Coroner himself) and did therefore, in trust of his Innocency, submit himself to the Judgment of His Grace and his Peers.

Which being done, Sir Francis Winnington, the King's Sollicitor-General, summ'd up the Evidence in this manner:

May it please your Grace, my Lord High Steward of England, and my Noble Lords the Peers of the Prisoner at the Bar; According to the Duty of my Place I am to repeat the King's Evidence, and state it to your Grace and these Noble Lords, and submit it to your great Judgments, how far it will go for the Proof of this Crime; wherein I shall observe the Duty of all honest Men, which is to do nothing either to wrest any thing in Disadvantage of the Prisoner out of the King's Evidence, to go farther than it ought, nor shall omit any thing that shall require your Grace and the Noble Lords Justice; for we come to seek out the Truth, and we question not but by this Honourable Trial it will be brought to light. But I beseech your Favour, to take notice, in the first place, what Crime this Noble Lord stands accused of, and it is for Murder; wherein our Law takes notice, that Murder is where a Man un-Liwfully kills another under the King's Peace, with Malice forethought. Now that here is a Murder committed, I dare with all Humility aver. By whom? that is the Question: For this Robert Clerk, the Person killed, doth appear, by the Course of the Evidence, to have been doing his Duty, attending the Place his Employment required; gave no Offence to any whatsoever; but when the Person came down and fell upon him, the poor Youth cried, Indeed, my Lord, it was not I; yet, my Lords, the Hands of Vio- County, 'till the Bill came to this great Tribunal; lence seiz'd him and kill'd him. Let us then see where I doubt not but your Grace, and these

Repetition only, by way of Interrogation, Why how the Evidence brings it home to the Noble Lord the Prisoner at the Bar; wherein I must confess we have no express Evidence (nay, we have Evidence to the contrary) that it was not his Hand that did the Fact actually; for it is by two Witnesses, the Footmen, sworn that it was Mr. Gerrard who came down and gave the unfortunate Blow: But we have that which we think, with humble Submission, may reach this Noble Lord: For I know your Grace and my Lords remember, that after they had been an Hour in the Park, both returning, did with horrid Oaths swear they would kill the Centinel; there the Evidence fixeth it, not upon one only, but upon both: it was at that time so dark they could not be distinguished, but by the Voice: The Centinel hath given you an Account how he perform'd his Duty, and in what Strait he was, he had much ado to fave his own Life, or to prevent killing them: But when they came upon the Stairs, these two Boys came there in order to defire the Centinel to call one of them the next Morning. Then one on the Stairs (no Man can tell who it was) with horrid Execrations, ask'd, Will you command the King's Soldiers? Shoot bim, Centinel, we'll bear you out. But all this while it was Dusk, no diffinction of Persons could be made; whereupon it will fall out to come to this Case, If feveral Persons intend to kill one, and happen to kill another, whether this be not Murder in them? For the urging of this, as to the Matter in Law, I leave to him that comes after me. The Centinel fivears one of them did fivear he would kill one or other; who it was took up that cruel Resolution, is left to you to judge: But at that time they were both together upon the top of the Stairs; and my Lord doth not seem to give one Tittle of Evidence, that shews any Endeavours of the Prisoner at the Bar to prevent the other, or disprove of his Actions: If he had given an Account of that, he had filenced Justice; but when they were all together, he not endeavouring to itop his Hand, it is as much in Law as if he had itruck the Stroke.

The other Soldiers give you a particular Account

to the fame purpole. The two last Witnesses do bring it to the Person of my Lord, the Pritoner at the Bar, and Mr. Gerrard, who, they swore, came down the Stairs, and his Man followed him to the bottom, and there staid at some distance, till the Fact was done, and

they all fled. This I take to be the matter of Fact faithfully proved, before your Grace, and the Lords the Peers; and I would not trouble your Grace long- er, because I would not misreport any thing, whereby I might do wrong, either to the Prisoner or the King's Cause; and because I know your Grace and the Noble Lords will distinguish and find our where the Truth is. I must say it is a great Comfort to all the Subjects of England, that Crimes of this Nature are so carefully presented, that whatsoever Honours and Dignities our Gracious Sovereign doth confer on any Perlon, it doth not exempt him from the Jullice of the Law: It is not only a Comfort to this Assembly, but to the whole Nation, to see the King tender of his Subjects Persons and Lives, in that he hath caused this strict Course to be taken, where the Enquiry hath gone from the Grand Jury of the

Noble

Noble Lords, will give a righteous and just Judgment.

Serjeant Maynard. May it please your Grace, my I ord High Steward of England, and my Noble Lords the Peers:

I, according to the Duty of my Place, come now to conclude the Charge on the King's behalf; some things are fit to be observed upon the Evidence, that may produce a Question for the decision of the Fact, of what Nature it is. That a Murder is committed, is upon Evidence without all Question; and not only the Death of a Man, here is a Child flain without any Provocation in the world given by him to that Person that did it; and that did it too, notwithstanding the Deprecations of the Boy, affirming his own Innocency, and that with as full Circumstances as a Christian almost could a thing: these came from the King's Palace-walk in the Park; call the Centine! Rogue, and when he doth his Duty, swear to murder him; with Oaths that a Christian would blush at, and be afraid to hear: God damme oftentimes reiterated; and he that faith that Word, doth beg of God to hate him, and affirm that he doth hate God. The Obscenity that they u'ed I shall not mention again; these are Circumstances of the Case; that all were guilty of much, is no doubt; but who of the Murder, is the Question. And I humbly conceive, it is manifest, that this Noble Lord was concern'd in it. For it is not requisite to make a Murder, that he who kills a Man hath conceiv'd a Malice against him; for if I have a Malice against any Man, and the Essect of that sall upon another, it is Murder.

I apply it thus; If it be a Murder in Mr. Gerrard, if this Noble Lord partake with him in the Design which made it so; to wit, the Malice against the Centinel; he is as guilty, as if his Hand had been as much upon him as was Mr. Gerrard's;

as in that known Case of the Man that poison'd an Apple with an In-Sanders's Cafe in Plowden, tent to kill his Wife, and she not fol. 473. knowing of the Poison, gave some

of it to her Child, of which it died; tho' he had no design to kill the Child, yet the Malice he conceiv'd against his Wife supply'd the Desect of an express Malice to make it Murder; and he was hang'd therefore. So if a Man affault a Master, in the presence of his Servant, who detends his Master, and is slain, tho' the other had no purpose to kill him, yet it is Felony in him, for which he shall die; the Law implying a Malice. Then here was clearly a Malice to the Centinel; how near it comes to the Boy will come in Question afterwards. I find the Objection made in my Lord's Cafe, that at the particular Time where the Fact was committed, my Lord, was not with Mr. Gerrard: But that will be no Objection in the Case; for if he did partake in the Design of the other, I will answer it with the

Case of my Lord Daires of the South, who, with tome others, Anno 33. H. S. Coke, 3 Infl. went unlawfully to steal Deer, and ful. 211. the Keeper coming, some sled, a-

mong whom my Lord was one: the Keeper was kill'd, my Lord Dacres being at that time without the Pales, a Mile off from the Place, and yet was found guilty of the Murder, and left both his Lands and Life for it. But here, my Lord Cornwallis was present, for the Witness Vol. II.

swears the distance was not so great but it might be discern'd. Now whether he was aiding or affifting, is the next thing in question. What occasion had they of Malice, Revenge, or Injury to the Centinel? They both Iwore they would kill him: Had there been any Excuse for the other, if one of them had killed the Centine!? that could not be. Well, they did not kill the Centinel, but at the same time take up a causeless Offence against another, and kill him. I argue, that the Malice against the Soldier was diffusiive to the Boy; and one of the Witnesfes proves, that one of them swore he would kill fome Body: now, no one speaks to any thing of my Lord's reproving Mr. Gerrard. Thus stands the Case before your Grace and my Lords: It is a Case of Blood, and it cries loud: How far this Noble Lord and Prisoner at the Bar is guilty thereof, you are to enquire, and without all doubt will give a clear Verdict, according to Justice and Honour.

Lord High Stew. My Lords, you have heard the Evidence; if your Lordships please to go and consider of it, you may.

Then the Prisoner withdrew into his own Apartment, with the Lieutenant of the Tower. The Lords went into a Room behind the Court of Chancery, and after a stay of two Hours return'd, and being all sat; the Earl of Danby, Lord High Treasurer of England, who was the first of the Jury, addressed himself to my Lord High Steward, and faid;

Earl of Danby. My Lord High Steward, there is a Question in Law, of which some of my Lords desire to receive Satisfaction before they can give in their full Verdict: and we defire to know of your Grace, whether it be proper here to ask the Question of your Grace, or to propose it to the Judges.

Lord H. Stew. If your Lordships doubt of any thing, whereon a Question in Law ariseth, the latter Opinion, and the better for the Prisoner is, that it must be stated in the presence of the Priso-

ner, that he may know whether the Question be truly put. It 3 C:kc's Inft. hath fometimes been practifed otherwise; and the Peers have sent for the Judges, and have asked their Opinion in private, and have

fcl 429. Pasch. 26 Hen. 3 Lord Dacres's Caje.

come back, and given their Verdict, according to that Opinion; and there is scarce a Precedent of its being otherwise done, but there is a latter Authority in Print, that doth settle the Point so as I tell you; and I do conceive it ought to be followed; and it being fafer for the Prisoner, my humble Opinion to your Lordship is, that he ought to be present at the stating of the Que-Ition.

Call the Prisoner to the Bar. Who being come,

my Lord spake thus to him:

Lord High Steward. My Lord Cornwallis, My Lords the Peers, since they have withdrawn, have conceived a Doubt, in some Matter of Law arifing upon the Matter of Fact in your Cale; and they have that tender Regard of a Priloner at the Bar, that they will not suffer a Case to be put up in his Absence, lest it should chance to prejudice him, by being wrong flated; therefore, your Lordship will do well to attend the Question that is railed; and, my Lords, will you please to prepound your Doubts?

5 A

Ear!

Earl of Danby. It was taken notice of here, that by opening the Matter by Mr. Solicitor, the Matter of Murder was explained to be meant by having a prepenfed Malice, and in that Cafe it was opened to us, that any Persons then present, and that had in any Sort contributed to the Disorders, they were as equally guilty, as they whose Hand had shed the Blood of the Person killed.

Now the Doubt of some of my Lords is, whether if it be found but Man-slaughter, those are equally guilty, (that are present, and have proved to contribute to the Disturbance) of that Crime, as they are in Murder; because some of them have not the Satisfaction that they are the same.

Lord High Steward. My Lords the Judges, I take it, the Doubt proposed to you, is this; whether or no, those that are present, and have contributed to the Disorders, whereby such an Accident doth ensue, as proves to be Man-slaughter, be as culpable, as he that doth the immediate Fact, as it is in the Case of Murder?

After a little Pause and Conference, the Judges returned this Answer.

Judges. We have had Conference of this Case, and our humble Opinion is, If sundry Persons be together, aiding and assisting to an Action, wherein a Man-slaughter doth ensue, as in case of a sudden Business without Malice prepensed, they are equally guilty of the Man-slaughter, as they are in the Case of Murder prepensed.

Earl of Danby. The Lords desire to withdraw once more. Which they did, and after a short Space returned; and being called over, answered to their Names, and all appearing, my Lord High Steward took their Verdict seriation, beginning at the Puisne Lord in the following Orders, they answering, standing bare with their Hands on their Breasts.

Lord High Steward. My Lord Duras, Is Charles Lord Cornwallis guilty of the Felony and Murder, whereof he stands indicted, or not guilty?

Lord Duras. Not guilty.

The same Question he demanded of each; who answered thus:

| Butler, Not guilty.
| Not guilty.
| Maynard, Not guilty of Murder, but guilty of Man-flaughter.
| Paget, Not guilty.
| Berkh, Not guilty of Murder, but guilty of Man-flaughter.

Newport, Not guilty. Hallifax, Not guilty. Viscount Cambden, Not guilty. Guilford, Not guilty. Ailsbury, Not guilty of Murder, but guilty of Man-flaughter. Craven, Not guilty. Bath, Not guilty. Clarendon, Not guilty. Sunderland, Not guilty. Peterborough, Not guilty. Devonshire, Not guilty. Northampton, Not guilty. Bridgwater, Not guilty. Dorset, Not guilty. Suffelk, Not guilty. Bedford, Not guilty. Derby, Not guilty.

Suffelk, Not guilty.

Bedford, Not guilty.

Derby, Not guilty.

Kent, Not guilty.

Oxford, Not guilty.

Arlington, Not guilty.

Brereton, Not guilty.

Lindsey, Not guilty of Murder, but of Manflaughter.

Dorchester, Not guilty.

Anglesey, Not guilty of Murder, but of Manflaughter.

Danby, Not guilty of Murder, but of Manflaughter.

Lord High Steward. Call the Prisoner to the Bar,

Then the Prisoner came to the Bar, and the Deputy Lieutenant of the Tower held the Edge of the Ax towards him, while my Lord High Steward spake thus unto him;

Lord High Steward. My Lord Cornwallis, you have been indicted for Murder, pleaded Not guilty, put your felf upon your Peers; and your Peers upon Consideration of the whole Matter have acquitted you, and found you Not guilty, so you are to be discharged.

Cl. Cr. Make Proclamation.

Serjeant. O Yes! my Lord High Steward of England willeth and commandeth all Persons to depart hence, in God's Peace and the King's; for my Lord High Steward of England His Grace doth dissolve this Commission.

God save the King.

At which Words my Lord High Steward holding the white Staff (which was delivered him by the Usher of the Black Rod on his Knees) in both Hands over his Head, snapt it in two and the Assembly broke up.



AND THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF

# LXXVIII. Proceedings in Parliament against Thom As Earl of DANBY, \* Lord High Treasurer of England, upon an Impeachment for High-Treason, and other High-Crimes and Misdemeanors, December, &c. 1678. 30 Car. II.

King's Ambassador in France, being feized by the King's Order, upon Suspicion of his intriguing with the French Court, Mr. Montague (in his own Defence) acquainted the House of Commons, that he had in his Custody several Papers, which he conceived might tend very much to the Safety of his Majesty's Person, and the Preservation of his Kingdom; whereupon two Letters were produced and read in the House, subscribed Danby: which are as follow.

My Lord, Jan. 17, 1677. \*\*\* Esterday Monsieur Rouigny came to me with Monsieur Barillon (having given me his Father's Letters the Day before) and discoursed much upon the Confidence his King hath of the Firmness of ours to him, of the good Opinion his Master hath of me, and of his King's Resolution to condescend to any thing that is not infamous to him, for the Satisfaction of our King, how certainly our King may depend upon all Sorts of Affistances and Supplies from his Master, in case the Friendship be preserved.—The main of their Drift was to engage me to prevail with the King to prevail with the Prince of Orange.——The King must come to some Declaration of his Mind to the Parliament when it meets: That which makes the Hopes of Peace yet less probable is, that the Duke grows every Day less inclin'd to it, and has created a greater Indifferency in the King than I could have imagined; which being added to the French King's Resolution not to part with Tournay, do, I confess, make me despair of any Accommodation: Nevertheless, I am assured, that one principal Cause of the Adjournment for thirteen Days, has been to see if any Expedient for the Peace could have been found in that Time; and the Effett of the Adjournment bath bitherto been, that no body will now believe other than that the Peace is already concluded between Us and France.

March 25, 1678. N Case the Conditions of the Peace shall be ac-A cepted, the King expects to have six Millions of Livres Yearly for three Years from the Time that this Agreement shall be signed betwixt his Majesty and the King of France, because it will be two or three Years before he can hope to find his Parliament in an Humour to give him Supplies after the having made any Peace with France; and the Ambassador here has agreed to that Sum, but not for so long a Time. If you find the Peace will not be accepted, you are not to mention the Money at all; and all possible Care must be taken to have this whole Negotiation as private as is possible, for fear of giving Offence at home, where for the most part we hear it Vol. II.

ten Days after any thing that is communicated to the French Ministers.

Upon reading these Letters, it was immediately resolved that there was sufficient Matter of Impeachment against Thomas Earl of Danby, Lord High Treasurer of England; and on December 21, 1678, Articles of Impeachment were drawn up and agreed to, and on December 23 were carried up by Sir Henry Capel to the Lords, where they were read, as follow.

I

That he hath traiterously encroach'd to himfelf Regal Power, by treating in Matters of Peace and War with Foreign Ministers and Ambassadors, and giving Instructions to his Majesty's Ambassadors Abroad, without communicating the same to the Secretaries of State, and the rest of his Majesty's Council, against the express Declaration of his Majesty and his Parliament; thereby intending to defeat and overthrow the Provision that has been deliberately made by his Majesty and his Parliament for the Safety and Preservation of his Majesty's Kingdoms and Dominions.

II.

That he hath traiteroufly endeavoured to fubvert the ancient and well established Form of Government in this Kingdom, and instead thereof to introduce an arbitrary and tyrannical Way of Government; and the better to effect this his Purpose, he did design the raising of an Army, upon Pretence of a War against the French King, and to continue the same as a standing Army within this Kingdom: And an Army being to raised, and no War ensuing, an Act of Parliament having pass'd to pay and disband the same, and a great Sum of Money being granted for that End, he did continue the Army contrary to the faid Act, and misemploy'd the said Money given for the disbanding, to the Continuance thereof; and issued out of his Majesty's Revenues divers great Sums of Money for the faid Purpose, and wilfully neglected to take Security of the Paymasters of the Army, as the said Act required; whereby the said Law is eluded, and the Army is yet continued, to the great Danger and unneceffary Charge of his Majesty and the whole Kingdom.

III.

That he traiterously intending and designing to alienate the Hearts and Affections of his Majesty's good Subjects from his Royal Person and Government, and to hinder the Meetings of Par
5 A 2 liaments,

## 732 78. Proceedings against Tho. Earl of Danby 30 Car. II.

liaments, and to deprive his Sacred Majesty of their safe and wholsom Counsel, and thereby to alter the Constitution of the Government of this Kingdom, did propose and negotiate a Peace for the French King, upon Terms disadvantageous to the Interest of his Majesty and his Kingdoms; for the doing whereof, he did endeavour to procure a great Sum of Money from the French King, for enabling him to maintain and carry on his said traiterous Designs and Purposes, to the Hazard of his Majesty's Person and Government.

IV.

That he is Popishly affected and hath traiterously concealed (after he had Notice) the late horrid and bloody Plot and Conspiracy, contrived by the Papists, against his Majesty's Person and Government; and hath suppressed the Evidence, and reproachfully discountenanced the King's Witnesses in the Discovery of it in Favour of Popery, immediately tending to the Destruction of the King's Sacred Person, and the Subversion of the Protestant Religion.

That he hath wasted the King's Treasure, by issuing out of his Majesty's Exchequer several Branches of his Revenue for unnecessary Pensions and secret Services, to the Value of 231602 l. within two Years; and that he hath wholly diverted out of the known Method and Government of the Exchequer one whole Branch of his Majesty's Revenue to private Uses, without any Accompt to be made of it to his Majesty in his Exchequer; contrary to the express Act of Parliament, which granted the same; and he hath removed two of his Majesty's Commissioners of that Part of the Revenue, for refusing to consent to such his unwarrantable Actings therein, and to advance Money upon that Branch of the Revenue for private Ules.

That he hath by indirect Means procured from his Majesty to himself divers considerable Gifts and Grants of Inheritance, of the ancient Revenue of the Crown, even contrary to Acts of Parliament.

For which Matters and Things the Knights, Citizens and Burgesses of the Commons in Parliament, do, in the Name of themselves, and of all the Commons of England, impeach the faid Thomas Earl of Danby, Lord High Treasurer of England, of High-Treason, and other High Crimes, Misdemeanors and Offences, in the said Articles contain'd: And the said Commons by Protestation, saving to themselves the Liberty of exhibiting at any Time hereafter, any other Accufation or Impeachment against the said Earl, and also of replying to the Answers which the said Thomas Earl of Danby shall make to the Premifes, or any of them, or any Impeachment or Accusation that shall be by them exhibited, as the Cause (according to the Course and Proceedings of Parliament) shall require; do pray, That the said Thomas Earl of Danby may be put to answer all and every the Premises; that such Proceedings, Trial, Examinations and Judgments, may be upon them, and every one of them had and used, as shall be agreeable to Law and Justice; and that he may be sequestred from Parliament, and forthwith committed to fafe Custody.

As foon as the Articles were read, the Earl of Danby spake as follows.

My Lords,

Hope you will not enter upon any other Bu-A finess, before you have given that Liberty to me, which is the Privilege of every Peer, to be heard upon any Accusation that is brought against him, tho' of far less Moment than what hath been

newly read against my felf.

I confess I should have heard this Charge with Horror, if the Matter of it had been true; but I thank God, I know my Innocency to be for great, that it protects me from all forts of Fear, but that of lying under so black a Character, as may be believed by those that cannot hear my Defence; tho' I have the Confidence to think, that it is not truly believed in the Hearts of the greatest Part of those that have been informed against me.

I must needs confess, that I thought my self the last Man in this Kingdom that should ever have been in Danger of being accused for Treason, because I know no Man that abhors it more, and that would purfue it more vigoroufly than my felf, against any that should be guilty of it. Nay, to such a Degree is my Detestation of that Crime, that were I fure the dearest Child I have were guilty of it, I would willingly be his Exe-

cutioner.

My Lords, I know this is not the Time for me to enter regularly upon my Defence, because I know your Lordships will first order me a Copy of my Charge, and appoint me a Time for my Vindication; when I doubt not but to do it to the full Satisfaction of your Lordships and all the World. In the mean time I will only beg leave to observe to your Lordships, That those Articles in this Charge which can feem to have any thing of Treason in them, have their Anfwer fo obvious, that there is very little in them which may not be answered by many others as well as my felf, and some of them by every Man in the Kingdom.

The first, which is the assuming Regal Power, I confess I do not understand; having never in my Life done any thing of great Moment, either at Home, or relating to foreign Matters, for which I have not always had his Majesty's Command. And altho' I am far from having been the most cautious Man in taking care of my own-Security, (which perhaps my great Innocence hath been the Cause of) yet I have not been so wanting of common Prudence, as in the most material Things not to have had his Majesty's Orders and Directions under his own Hand, and particulary for the Letters now made use of a-

gainst me.

The fecond, I think, doth fearce need my giving any Answer to it; it being obvious, that the Army was no more raised by me, than by every Lord in this House: And whoever is in that Station which I hold, must certainly be a Fool, to desire any thing which creates a want of Money, especially so great a one, as the Charge of an Army must necessarily and immediately produce. And for one Part of the Article concerning the Pay-master of the Army, it is in Fact otherwise; for Security from the Pay-master has been taken in the Sum of four hundred thousand Pounds.

The third is of the same Nature with the first, and comes from the same Foundation, which is, what a Gentleman hath thought sit to produce to the House of Commons. I will not now censure his Action, I think it will do enough for it self; I will only say, That altho' I take it for one of the greatest Misfortunes which can befal a Man, to lie under such a Charge of the House of Commons, yet I would much sooner chuse to be under that Unhappiness, than under his Circumstances.

The fourth Article is not only false in every Part of it, but it is not possible to believe it true, without my being the greatest Fool on Earth, as well as the blackest Villain. For were I capable of such a Wickedness, yet the more wicked any Man is, the more he is carried to his own Interest; and is it possible any thing under Heaven can agree less with my Interest, than the Destruction of this King? Can I possibly hope to be better than I am? And is it not apparent, that there is not one Man living, whose Happiness depends so much as mine upon the Preservation of his Person?

My Lords, I know there is not a Man in the World, that can in his Heart think me guilty of that Part of the Article, if I should say nothing to it. But besides, I was so far from concealing this hellish Plot, that it is notoriously known, his Majesty sent me the first Notice of it, together with forty-three Heads of the Information, before I knew a Syllable of it from any Body else: And it hath been own'd at the Bar of the House of Commons by him (from whom only I had the Intelligence) that he had all the Encouragement and Dispatch from me that I could give him. Besides, when it was disclosed to the Council-board, he told some of the Clerks of the Council, (as he had done me divers times before) that it would have been much better, and more would have been discover'd, if it had been longer kept private. Besides this, I had the Fortune to be particularly instrumental in seizing Mr. Coleman's Papers, without which Care there had not one of them appear'd, and consequently, the best and most material Evidence which is yet of the Plot, had been wholly wanting. And certainly this is the first Time that any Man was accused to be the Concealer of that Plot, whereof he hath been a principal Means of procuring the Discovery.

For that Part of the Article that fays, I am Popishly affected, I thank God, that the contrary is so known to all the World, that even some of those that voted against me, did own their Knowledge of the Falsity of that Allegation; and I hope I have through my whole Life given so good Testimony of my Religion, both in my own Family, and by my Services to the Church, (whenever it hath lain in my Power) that I shall not need much Vindication in that Particular: And I hope your Lordships will forgive me my Weakness, in telling you, that I have a younger Son in the House of Commons, whom I shall love the better as long as I live, for moving to have that Part of the Article to stand against me, that by that Pattern it might appear, with what fort of Zeal the whole hath been carried to

The fifth Article will, upon Examination, apvately as he pleased: That his Majesty might
pear to be as ill-grounded as any of the rest; have made Matches with France, if he would
and I am forry I am able to give one Reason, have consented to give them Towns; and yer,

which is; That I have known no Treasure in my Time to waste, having enter'd upon an empty Treasury, and never seen one Farthing given to his Majesty (in almost six Years) that hath not been appropriated to particular Uses, and strictly so applied by me, as the Acts have directed. And there hath not been one of those Aids, which, instead of giving the King Money, hath not cost him more out of his own Purse, to the same Uses, as doth appear by the larger Dimensions of the new Ships, and so in other things: Insomuch that I take upon me the Vanity to say, That by the Payments I have made to the Navy and Seamen, beyond former Times; the paying off the greatest Part of the Debt which was stop'd in the Exchequer before my Time; by my own Punctuality in the Course of Payments, and by other Things, which I am able to shew, I doubt not but to appear meritorious, instead of being criminal, upon that Article.

As to the fixth Article, which mentions my great Gettings, I cannot deny, but that I serve a Malter, whose Goodness and Bounty hath been a great deal more to me than I have deferved, and to whom I can never pay Gratitude enough by all the Services of my Life. But when the Particulars of those Gettings shall appear, it will be found very contrary to what is suggested abroad; and that in near fix Years time in this great Place, I have not got half that, which many others have got in lesser Places in half that time. And from the Examination of this, which I desire may be seen, there will arise Matter to accuse my Prudence, in not having done for my Family what justly I might, but nothing to arraign either my Honour, my Conscience, or my faithful Service to the Crown.

My Lords, If my Obedience to the King shall

not be my Crime, I think nothing else will stick upon me from these Articles: For my own Heart flatters me to believe, that I have done nothing but as a true Protestant, and a faithful Servant both to my King and Country. Nay, I am as confident, as that now I speak, that had I either been a Papist, or Friend to the French, I had not been now accused. For I have Reason to believe, that the principal Informer of the House of Commons hath been affifted by French Advice \* to this Accusation; and if the \* Mr. Mountague. \* Gentleman were as just to produce all he knows for me, as he hath been malicious to shew what may be liable to Misconstruction against me, or rather against the King, (as indeed it is) no Man could vindicate me more than himself: Under whose Hand I have it to shew, how great an Enemy to France I am thought, how much I might have had to have been otherwise, and what he himself might have had for getting me to take it. But I do not wonder this Gentleman will do me no Right, when he does not think fit to do it to his Majesty (upon whom chiefly this Matter must reflect.) Although he knows, as will appear under his Hand, that the greatest Invitations to his Majesty, for having Money from France, have been made by himself; that if his Majesty would have been tempted for Money, he might have fold Towns for as much as if they had been his own, and the Money have been convey'd as privately as he pleased: That his Majesty might have made Matches with France, if he would

that

that the King hath always scorn'd to yield the meanest Village that was not agreed to by the Spaniard and Hollander. That Gentleman hath often pretended how much his own Interest in France was diminish'd, only by being thought my Friend. And besides divers other Instances, I have under his Hand, to shew the Malice of the French Court against me, I sent two of his Letters to the House of Commons, which shew how Monsieur Ruvigny was sent hither on purpose to ruin me; which I am well assured at this Time they would rather see, than of any one Man in England. Besides what that Gentleman could fay of this kind (if he pleas'd) I hope his Majesty will give me leave (in my Defence) to fay in his Presence, and in the Hearing of divers Lords, with whom I have the Honour to fit in the Committee of Foreign Affairs, that, which were it not true, his Majesty must think me the impudentest and worst of Men to affirm before him, That ever fince I had the Honour to serve his Majesty to this Day, I have deliver'd it as my constant Opinion, That France was the worst Interest his Majesty could embrace, and that they were the Nation in the World from whom I did believe he ought to apprehend the greatest Danger; and who have both his Person and Government under the last Degree of Contempt: For which Reason alone (were there no other) I would never advise his Majesty to trust to their Friendship.

My Lords, 'tis my greatest Happiness, that your Lordships are my Judges; whose Wisdom and Justice are so great, that you will both discern the Truth of the Evidence when it shall come before you, and in the mean time distinguish truly what the Crimes are (if they could be proved) and not what they are call'd. For this Reason this House hath wisely provided to have the special Matter before them, to the end they may be satisfied whether the Charge have its right Denomination; for otherwise it were to no purpose to desire special Matter, unless it were to see whether the special Matter alledg'd be what it is call'd. As for Example, if a Man were accused of having traiterously pass'd the River in a Pair of Oars, this is special Matter, and styled Treason, by inserting the Word traiteroufly; yet your Lordships would not therefore proceed as taking it for Treason. So in this Case, I beg for all your Lordships Sakes, as well as my own, that you will please to use that Caution which will be necessary for all your Lordships Safety and Seats in this House: For I befeech your Lordships to consider, whither such a Precedent may go hereafter? What the House of Commons may do in such a Case, there is no Question but his Majesty may do the same by his Attorney; and what either of them may do against one Lord, they may do against more; (and we have seen it done in our Days against all the Bishops at once.) Were it not very precariously then that your Lordships hold your Seats here, when by either of these Ways as many of your Lordships, as for a Time it might be convenient to remove, should be at the Mercy of having a Thing call'd Treason, whether it be io or no.

Truly, my Lords, I have Reason to believe, laying that in the House of Commons the Matter of compts my Charge (if proved) was not thought to a-himself mount to Treason, either by Statute or Common depart.

Law; and I hope your Lordships have too sad an Example in your Memory, ever to assist the making of Treason by Accumulation.

I should therefore not only wrong my own Innocence, but the Right of the Peers, to submit to answer Matters of Misdemeanor, as a Criminal in Treason.

My Lords, I wonder not at the malicious Profecution of those who would have me taken for what they truly are. For I am well assured, that neither the French take me to be of their Interest, nor the Papists to be of their Religion: But I am troubled to fall under so severe a Censure of the House of Commons, altho' I cannot blame them, but my Accusers, who have so wrongfully inform'd them.

My Lords, I will conclude with this Comfort, That I do not in the least apprehend the Matter of my Charge, under the Security of your Lordships Justice; and will therefore trouble your Lordships no longer at this Time, but only to pray your Directions whether I am to withdraw, which I shall readily obey.

Upon this there was a great Debate, whether he should be committed; but the Majority were against committing him.

All further Proceedings were prevented by the Prorogation of the Parliament, December 30. However, the King dismiss'd him from his Post of Lord Treasurer. This Parliament was afterwards dissolv'd January 24, and a new one met on March 15.

On *March* the 20th, the House of Commons resumed the Affair relating to the Earl of *Danby*'s Impeachment, when, among others, Mr. *Powle* deliver'd himself as follows.

Mr. Speaker,

I Should have been pleased to have heard, what was needful to have been spoken unto, from some other Member of this House, rather than myself; it is concerning the Earl of *Danby*, who stands impeached by the Commons of *England* of High-Treason.

The Person, to whom we owe the Dangers and Fears of the French King against us.

The Person, to whom we owe the Threats and severe Answers to those humble Addresses we made the last Sessions of Parliament.

The Person, to whom we owe the Ruins of this Nation, and exhausting the King's Revenue.

The Person, to whom we owe the Expence of 2000000 l. and upwards, within a Year, unaccounted for.

The Person, to whom we owe the many Prorogations that happen'd in the last Parliament, when many profitable Bills were ready for passing.

The Person, to whom we owe the Raising of a Standing Army, to be kept up by the Receipt of six Millions of Livres yearly, for three Years together, to enslave us and our Religion.

The Person, to whom we owe the late Bone that was thrown in the Sitting of the last Parliament, to hinder the good Issue that might have come by their Proceedings; who is now laying down his Staff, and making up his Accompts in the Treasury as he pleaseth, to enrich himself out of the Spoils of the People, and so depart.

M

My humble Motion is, That a Message be sent immediately to the Lords from the Commons of England, to desire their Lordships, That Thomas Earl of Danby be immediately committed to safe Custody, he being impeach'd by the Commons of England of High-Treason.

Upon the Debate the House came to this Refolution.

Resolved, Nemine Contradicente, 'That a Mes-

· fage be sent to the Lords, to put them in mind of the Impeachment of High-Treason, ex-' hibited against Thomas Earl of Danby, in the · Names of the Commons of England; and to de-' sire that he may be committed to safe Custody: · Resolving again, That it be referred to the Com-' mittee of Secrecy to draw up further Articles

' against him. On Saturday, March 22, the Commons being commanded to attend his Majesty in the House of Peers, the King spoke to them in Favour of the Earl of Danby: But returning to their House, they Resolved, 'That a Message be ime mediately fent to the Lords to remind their Lordships of the last Message sent them from ' this House, relating to Thomas Earl of Danby, ' and to demand that he might be forthwith fe-' questered from l'arliament, and committed to ' fate Custody.' Upon which the Lords defired a present Conference with the Commons, where the Dake of Monmouth spake thus; I am commanded by the Lords to acquaint you, That their Lordships having taken into Consideration Matters relating to the Earl of Danby, together with what his Majesty was pleased to say upon that Subject; have ordered that a Bill be brought in, by which Thomas Earl of Danby may be made for ever incapable of coming to his Majesty's Presence, and of all Offices and Employmenes, and of receiving any Granss or Gists from the Crown, and of sitting in the House of Peers. In the mean time, the Commons hearing that the King had figned a Pardon for the Earl, they appointed a Committee to repair to the Lord Chancellor, to enquire into the Manner of suing forth that Pardon. The Lord Chancellor did inform the Committee, 'That the Pardon was passed with all Privacy, ' the King commanding him to bring the Seal ' to Whitehall; and being there, he laid it upon 'the Table; whereupon his Majesty command-'ed the Seal to be taken out of the Bag, which ' his Lordship was obliged to submit unto, it ' not being in his Power to hinder it; and the 'King writ his Name upon the Top of the ' Parchment, and then directed to have it sealed; ' whereupon the Person that usually carried the 'Pur'e affixed the Seal to it.' Upon this Report from his Lordship, the Commons Resolved, 'That an humble Address be made to his Majesty, to represent the Irregularity and Illegality of the 'Pardon mention'd by his Majesty to be granted ' to the Earl of Danly, and the dangerous Consequence of granting Pardons to any Perlons that ' lie under an Impeachment of the Commons of England.' The same Day the Earl thought sit to withdraw himfelf.

On Tuesday, March 25, the Lords sent a Mesfage, by Baron Littleton and Baron Thurland, to acquaint the House of Commons, That they had fent to apprehend Thomas Earl of Danby, both to

Rod returned their Lordships Answer, That he could not be found. Whereupon the Commons ordered, 'That a Bill be brought in to summon Tho-' mas Earl of Danby to render himself to Justice by a certain Day therein limited, or in default ' thereof to attaint him.' On Thursday, March 27, the Lords sent down a Bill, entitled, An. Att for banishing and disabling Thomas Earl of Danby, &c. which the Commons immediately took into Consideration; upon which Occasion Sir Francis Winnington (who had been lately removed from being Solicitor-General) made the following Speech.

Mr. Speaker,

HE King cannot pardon Treason against the Government, for then the Government cannot be free from evil Counfellors. Could a King have done it, would not Belknap, Trestlian\*, and the two Spencers have been pardon'd? A King ought to be the Sanctuary of his People from the Oppression of evil Ministers, but not the Refuge of the Enemies of the Government, and the Protector of fuch Arch-Traitors as Danby. If Danby be pardon'd, then the Popish Lords in the Tower may be, and the Jesuits in Newgate likewise.

Is this the way to secure the Laws, and the Protestant Religion? The King hath a Limited Power, or else it is not Legal; his Limitation then is for the Good and Benefit of the People. But is Shrouding an open and notorious Traitor, the Minister of the present Mischief, and the common Centre, in which all the Lines of Confusion do meet; is that, I say, for the Good of the People? Prerogative is to abate rigorous Justice, not to evade and destroy it. If Ministers may be pardon'd at the Prince's Pleasure for all the Wrongs they do the People (tho' the Prince be sworn to protect the People from those Wrongs, and is therefore Truited and Paid) there is no Security, and our pretended Freedom and Legal Government is a mere Cheat, and we are all arrant Slaves. And I say, he that speaks one Word for *Danby*, speaks two for himself. Besides, this is Treason Impeach'd in Parliament, therefore not pardonable but in Parliament; this is a National and Catholick Treason; the Life, the Root of Government is invaded: A Pardon here is so ursufferable a thing, that it ought to be placed to his Account that dares plead it, and ranked amongst the rest of his evil Counsels. The Bill fent from the House of Lords is not his Punishment, but his Pardon, a Salvation by Act of Parliament. Who will be deterred by that Act, that can plead such a Precedent to escape unpunished, and can carry away Honour and Wealth, the Reward of Treason, and the poor People's Spoils; and that at such a Time as this, circumstantiated with Plots and Conspiracies, and he tardy too.? If this must be, it is good, yea, meritorious, to invade Property, to betray the Kingdom, fell the People, encourage Popery, suborn Witnesses, and strangle and murder the Discoverers of the Plot.

Remember how you us'd to proceed; make him an Example for the rest; if he must live, let him survive his Glory; at least degrade him and sequester him; that is, reduce him to as small a thing as Sir Thomas Osborn, and as lean bis House here in Town, and to his House at Wim- and indigent; leave him nothing he has got by bleton; and that the Gentleman-Usher of the Black- his monstrous Actions against the Kingdoni. I

am bold to fay, That those Lords and Commons that agree not in this, would do the same thing,

to end in the same Security.

It is a Licence to cheat the King for Five Years; if this must be, pray let there be a Clause in this Bill to pardon all Villanies and Treason against the Government whatsoever, and for Poor as well as Rich. Let not the great Regues only escape and go unpunish'd. Was Green, Berry, and Hill, hang'd for killing Sir Edmund-Bury Godfrey? and must be escape, that so vehemently discourag'd and bitterly menaced him? What Reason was there that Groves and Ireland should die for being in the Plot, while he is rewarded that concealed and would have stifled it, and afterwards have father'd it upon others?

To conclude, If after all this Discovery made and proceeded in by us, this Point shall be delivered up, they will not however escape unpunished, but God will bring Deliverance another way.

The Result was, that the House came to this Resolution;

" Resolved, That the Bill be rejected."

On April 1, the Commons pass'd a Bill for the Attainder of Thomas Earl of Danby of High-Treason, unless he should surrender himself within a Time fixt, which was sent up to the Lords by Sir Robert Peyton.

Robert Peyton. On April 4, there was a Conference between the two Houses relating to the Bill of Attainder, where the Lord Privy-Seal managed the Conference for their Lordships, and deliver'd himself to this effect, 'That the Lords chose to deli-' ver back by Conference, rather than Message, ' to preserve a good Understanding, and to pre-' vent Debate or Controversy between them: The Lords observe, That the great Affairs of this 'Nation are at a stand, at a time of greatest ' Danger and Difficulty that this Kingdom ever Isboured under: That the King hath always in his Reign inclined to Mercy and Clemency to 'all his Subjects: Therefore to a King so merci-' ful and compassionate, the first Interruption of his Clemency they did defire should not proceed from the two Houses, pressing the King to an ' Act of the greatest Severity; therefore have pas-' sed the Bill, with some Amendments, which he ' deliver'd.' The Commons disagreed to the Amendments made by the Lords, and drew up Reafons to be offered in another Conference, implying, That their Lordships Amendments had wholly altered the Nature of their Bill, and from a Bill of Attainder had converted it into a Bill of Banishment: And at the same time ' Resolved, That an 'humble Address be made to his Majesty, to defire his Majesty to issue out his Royal Proclamafion for the apprehending Thomas Earl of Danby; with the usual Penalties upon such as shall conceal him: And that his Majesty will be further pleased to give Order to the Officers of

his Majesty's Houshold, That they take care

that the said Earl of Danby be not permitted

to reside within either of his Majesty's Palaces

of White-Hall, Somerset-House, and St. James.

' And it is referred to Mr. Powle, &c. to pre-

' pare and draw up the same, and present it to

the House to Morrow Morning, which was

done accordingly the next Day, and presented to

the King.

The same Day the Commons had another Conference with the Lords upon the Earl of Danby's Case, where the Lord Huntington managed the Conference, and what he delivered was to this effect; 'The Lords have desired this ' Conference with the Commons, not so much to argue and dispute, as to mitigate and reconcile: They have already observed, that the Debate of this Bill hath given so long and so ' great an Obstruction to publick Business, and therefore they defire you to believe, that that ' is the Reason which hath chiefly prevailed with ' their Lordships in a Matter of this Nature; ' and upon this Ground it is, that if a Way may be found to fatisfy and secure the publick Fears, by growing less than the Bill you have propo-' sed, the Lords do not think it adviseable to infist upon the utmost and most rigorous Satisfaction, to prevent Justice, which might be de-' nied. To induce you to this Compliance, the Lords do acknowledge, that Banishment is so ' far from being the legal Judgment in Case of 'High-Treaton, that it is not the legal Judgment in any Case whatsoever, since it can never be inflicted but by the Legislative Autho-' rity: But they fee no Reason why the Legisla-' tive Authority should always be found to act to the utmost Extent of its Power; for there may be a prudential Necessity sometimes of making Abatements, and it might be of fatal Confe-• quence if it should not be so. And the Lords, to remove all Jealousies of the Precedents of this kind, do declare, That nothing which ' hath been done in the Earl of Danby's Case shall be ever drawn into Example for the time to come, and will so enter it upon their Jour-'nal. And thereupon their Lordships insist upon their Amendments so far, as to exclude all Attainders; and do promise themselves the ' Commons will in this Point comply with their Lordships, who do again assure them, 'That their Resolutions are grounded only upon ' their Tenderness and Consideration of the Pub-' lick.' There was another Conference on this Subject-Matter, April 12; upon which the Commons again resolved to adhere to their Bill, and to disagree to the Amendments made by the Lords: Upon which the Bill of Attainder did at last pass both Houses. Upon this the Earl of Danby furrendred himself, and Sir Edward Carteret, Usher of the Black-Rod, on April 16, gave the House of Lords an Account that the Earl of Danby had the last Night render'd himself to him, and was in his Custody. 'Their Lordships ordered him to be brought to their Bar, where kneeling, and then flanding up, the Lord Chancellor let him know, that he stood ' impeached by the Commons, and that, upon his withdrawing himself, a Bill of Attainder had passed the two Houses; by which, however, he had Time given him to come in, and ' make his Defence. ——The Earl of Danby exe cufed his not appearing fooner, declared his Inonocency, and made several Petitions to the ' House, and then was ordered to withdraw. And being called in, and brought to the Bar ' again, the Lord Chancellor acquainted him, that their Lordships would allow him Time to ' give in his Answer to the Articles of his Impeachment, till the first Day of the Sitting of ' the House after Easter; That if any further ' Charge be put in against him, he shall have

further

Tower.

further Time to answer; That he shall have Counsel assigned him, and shall have Liberty to make use of Records, and that his Witnesses · shall be summoned .-- Upon which he withdrew. and by Order of the House was committed to the

On April 25, the Earl was brought from the Tower to the Bar of the Lords House, where he delivered in Writing his Plea to the Articles of his

Impeachment, which was as follows.

The Plea of the Earl of Danby, late Lord High-Treasurer of England, to the ARTICLES of IM-PEACHMENT, and other High Crimes and Misdemeanours, and Offences, exhibited against him by the Name of Thomas Earl of DANBY, Lord High-Treasurer of England.

HE said Earl for Plea, saith, and humbly A offereth to your Lordships, as to all and every the Treasons, Crimes, Misdemeanours and Offences, contained or mentioned in the said Articles, That after the faid Articles exhibited, namely, the First of March, now last past, the King's most Excellent Majesty, by his most Gracious Letters-Patents of Pardon, under his Great Seal of England, bearing Date at Westminster the said First Day of March, in the One and Thirtieth Year of his Majesty's Reign; and here, into this most High and Honourable Court, produced under the faid Great-Seal:

Of His special Grace, certain Knowledge, and meer Motion, hath Pardoned, Remised and Released to him, the said Thomas Earl of Danby, all, and all manner of Treasons, Misprisions of Treafons, Infurrections, Rebellions, Felonies, Exactions, Oppressions, Publications of Words, Misprisions, Confederacies, Concealments, Negligences, Omiffions, Offences, Crimes, Contempts, Mildemeanors and Trespasses whatsoever, by himself alone, or with any other Person or Persons, or by any other, by the Command, Advice, Assent, Consent, or Procurement of him the faid Thomas Earl of Danby, advised, committed, attempted, made, perpetrated, concealed, committed, or omitted, before the 27th Day of February then and now last past, being also after the Time of the said Articles exhibited, altho' the faid Premises, or any of them did, or should touch or concern the Person of his faid Majesty, or any of his Publick Negotiations whatsoever; and also his Majesty's Affairs with Foreign Ambassadors sent to his said Majesty, or by not rightly prosecuting his Majesty's Instructions and Commands to his Ambassadors, residing on his Majesty's behalf in Foreign Parts.

And as to all and fingular Accessaries to the faid Premises, and every of them, altho' he the faid Thomas Earl of Danby were, or were not of the faid Premises, or any of them, Indicted, Impeached, Appealed, Accused, Convicted, Adjudged, Out-law'd, Condemned, or Attaint-Vol. II.

Judgments, Attainders, Out-lawries, Convictions, Pains of Death, Corporal Punishments, Imprisonments, Forfeitures, Punishments, and all other Pains and Penalties whatsoever; for the same, or any of them; and all, and all manner of Suits, Complaints, Impeachments and Demands whatsoever, which his said Majesty, by reason of the Premises, or any of them, then had, or for the future should have, or his Heirs, or Successors, any ways could have afterwards against him the said Thomas Earl of Danby: And also Suit of his Majesty's Peace, and whatsoever to his Majesty, his Heirs, or Successors, against him the said Earl of Danby, did, or could belong, by Reason or Occasion of the Premises, or any of them. And his Majesty hath thereby given and granted his firm Peace to the said Thomas Earl of Danby. And further, his Majesty willed and granted, that the said Letters-Patents, and the faid Pardon and Release therein contained, as to all the things therein pardoned and released, should be good and effectual in the Law, altho' the Treasons, Misprissions of Treaion, Infurrections, Rebellions, Felonies, Exactions, Oppressions, Publications of Words, Misprissions of Confederacies, Concealments, Negligences, Omissions, Offences, Crimes, Contempts, Misslemeanors and Trespasses were not certainly specified. And notwithstanding the Statute in the Parliament of the Lord Richard the Second, late King of England, in the 13th Year of his Reign, made and provided. And notwithstanding the Statute in the Parliament of the Lord Edward the Third, in the Fourteenth Year of his Reign, made and provided, or any other Statute, Act, or Ordinance to the contrary thereof made and provided. And moreover, his faid now Majesty, by his said Letters-Patents, of his further Grace, did firmly command all and fingular Judges, Justices, Officers, and others whomsoever, That the said free and general Pardon of his faid Majesty, and the general Words, Clauses, and Sentences abovefaid, should be construed, expounded, and adjudged in all his faid Majesty's Courts, and elsewhere, in the most beneficial, ample and benign Senie.

And for the better and more firm Discharge of the faid Earl, of and from the Crimes and Offences, aforesaid, according to the true Intents of his Majesty, and in such beneficial Manner and Form to al IIntents and Purposes whatsoever, as if the said Teafons, Crimes, Offences, Concealments, Negligences, Omissions, Contempts and Trespasses aforesaid, and other the said Premises, by apt, express and special Words had been remitted, releaied and pardoned. And that the faid Letters-Patents of Pardon, and the Release and Pardon therein contained, shall be pleaded and allowed in all and every his Majesty's Courts, and before all his Justices whatsoever, without any Writ of Allowance, any Matter, Cause, or Thing whatsoever in any ways notwithstanding, as by the said Letters-Patents themselves more at large appeareth; which said Letters-Patents follow in these Words.

CAROLUS Secundus, Dei Gratia Angliæ, Scotiæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ Rex, Fidei Deed; and all and singular Indictments, Impeach- fensor, &c. Omnibus ad quos præsentes literæ nostræ ments, Inquisitions, Informations, Exigents, pervenerint, Salutem, Sciatis, quod Nos pro diver-

sis bonis Causis & Considerationibus nos ad boc specialiter movent. de Gratia nostra speciali, ac ex certa scientia & mero motu nostris, Pardonavimus & Relaxavimus, &c.

And the said Earl doth averr, That he the said Thomas Earl of Danby, in the said Articles named, is the said Thomas Earl of Danby in the said Letters-Patents of Pardon here produc'd, likewise named. Which Pardon the said Earl doth rely upon, and pleaded the same in Bar of the said Impeachment, and in Discharge of all the Treasons, Crimes, Missemeanors and Offences contain'd, or mention'd in the said Articles of Impeachment, and every of them; and this the said Earl is ready to averr.

Whereupon he humbly prays the Judgments of your Lordships, and that his Majesty's most Gracious Pardon aforesaid may be allowed: And that he the said Earl, by vertue hereof, may be (from all the said Articles of Impeachment, and all and every of the Treasons and Crimes therein alledg'd against him) acquitted and discharged.

# A Copy of the Pardon of THOMAS Earl of DANBY.

CAROLUS Secundus, Dei Gratia Angliæ, Scotiæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ Rex, Fidei Defensor, &c. Omnibus ad quos præsentes literæ nostræ, Pervenerint, Salutem. Sciatis, quod Nos pro diversis bonis Causis & Considerationibus Nos ad l'oc specialiter moventibus, de Gratia Nostra Speciali ac ex certa scientia & mero motu nostris, Pardonavimus, Remissimus & Relaxavimus, ac per præsentes pro Nobis, Heredibus & Successoribus nostris, Pardonamus, Remittimus & Relaxamus prædilecto, & perquam fideli Consanguineo & Consiliario nostro Thomæ Comiti Danbii, omnes & omnimodas Proditiones, Crimina læsæ Maje-Statis, Misprissones, Proditionum, Insurrectiones, Verborum Propalationes, Misprisiones, Confederationes, Concelamenta, Negligentias, Omissiones, Offensas, Crimina Contemptus, Malefatta & Transgressiones quaccunque, per se solum seu cum aliqua alia persona, vel aliquibus aliis personis, aut per aliquem alium, sive aliquos alios, ex præcepto, advisamento, assensu, consensu, seu procuratione ejusdem Thomæ Comitis Danbii, advisata, præcepta, attempta, facta, perpetrata, concelata, commissa, seu omissa, ante 27 diem Februarii jam ultim. præteritum, licet præmissa, vel eorum aliqua, vel aliquod, tangunt vel tangat personam vel negatiationes Nostras Publicas quelescunque, necnon transactiones nostras cum Forensecis Legatis ad nos missis, vel non rite prosequendo Instructiones & Mandata Nostra Legatis Nostris, in partibus extra marinis, ex parte Nostra resident, ac etiam omnia & singula accessaria præmissorum & cujustibet eorum, licet idem Thomas Comes Danbii de præmissis vel aliqua præmissorum indictatus, impetitus, appellatus, restatus, convictus, adjudicatus, utlegatus, condemnatus vel attinctus, Existit vel non Existit, ac omnia & singula Indistamenta, Impetitiones, Inquisitiones, Informationes, exigenda judicia, attincta, utlegaria,

nes, & omnes alias Pana, & Panalitates quascunque, pro eisdem vel corum aliquo, ac omnia & omnimoda, Sectas, Querelas, Impetitiones, & Demanda quæcunque, quæ Nos versus ipsum Thomam Comitem Danbii, ratione præmissorum vel eorum elicujus, habuimus, habemus, seu in futurum habere poterimus, aut Heredes, seu Successores Nostri, ullo modo habere poterint, in futuro, settemque pacis Nostræ, ac quæ ad Nos Heredes & Successores Nostros versus ipsum Thomam Comitem Danbii pertinet, seu pertinere poterit, ratione seu occasione præmissorum, seu corum aliquorum vel alicujus, ac sirmam pacem Nostram. Et inde damus & concedimus per præsentes, & ulterius volumus, & concedimus, Quod ha litera nostræ, ac hæc nostra Pardonatio, Remissio, Relaxatio in eistdem contenta, quoad omnia & singula superius pardonata, remissa, & relaxata, bonce & effectuales in lege sint, & erint, licet Proditiones, Crimina læse Majestatis, Misprisiones Proditionum, Insurrectiones, Rebelliones, Fellonia, Exactiones, Oppressiones, verborum Propalationes, Misprisiones, Confederationes, Concelamenta, Negligentiæ, Omissiones, Offensæ, Crimina Contemptus, Malefacta & Transgressiones antedicta, Minus certe specificat, existunt. Et non obstante Statuto Parliamento Dom. Ricardi Secundi, nuper Regis Angliæ, Anno 13 Regni sui edito & proviso. Et non obstante Statuto in Parliamento Dom. Edwardi Tertii, nuper Regis Angliæ, Anno Regni sui 14 edito & proviso; Aut aliquo alio Statuto, AEtu vel Ordinatione, in contrarium inde editis & provisis. Et ulterius de uberiori Gratia Nostra firmiter Præcipimus omnibus & singulis Judicibus, Justiciariis, vel aliis quibuscunque, Quod bæc præsens Litera 🕃 Generalis Pardonatio nostra & generalia Verba, Clausulæ & Sententiæ supradista, construentur, exponentur & adjudicentur in omnibus curiis nostris & alibi, in beneficentissimo, amplissimo, & benignissimo sensu, & pro maxima & firmiori exoneratione prædicti Thomæ Comitis Danbii de & à criminibus & offensis prædistis secundum veram intentionem nostram, & in tam beneficiali modo & forma & ad omnes intentionis & proposita, prout si prædistæ Proditiones, Crimina læsæ Majestates, Misprisiones, Proditionum, crimina Offensæ, Misprisiones, Concelamenta, Negligentiæ, Omissiones, Contemptus, & Transgressiones prædictæ ac cetera præmissa per apta expressa, E specialia verba Pardonata, Remissa, & Relaxata fuissent. Et quod hæ Literæ Patentes, Remissio, Relaxatio, & Pardonatio, omnia in eisdem contenta, in quibuscunque curiis & coram quibuscunq; Justiciariis nostris, placitentur & allocenter, sine aliquo Breve de allocatione, aliqua re, causa vel materia quacunq; in aliquo non obstante. In cujus rei Testimonium has literas nostras sieri fecimus Patentes. Teste meipso apud Westmonasterium 1 die Martii, Anno Regni nostri 31.

CHARLES.

nostras cum Forensecis Legatis ad nos missis, vel non rite prosequendo Instructiones & Mandata Nostra Legatis Nostris, in partibus extra marinis, ex parte Nostra resident. ac etiam omnia & singula accessaria præmissorum & cujustibet eorum, licet idem Thomas Comes Danbii de præmissis vel aliqua præmissorum indictatus, impetitus, appellatus, restatus, convictus, adjudicatus, utlegatus, condemnatus vel attinctus, Existit vel non Existit, ac omnia & singula Indictamenta, Impetitiones, Inquisitiones, Inquisitiones, exigenda judicia, attincta, utlegaria, Convictiones, Executiones, Pænas mortis, Pænas comporales, Imprisonamenta, foris factura, Punitio-

manner

manner of Treasons, as well High-Treason, as Misprisions of Treason, Insurrections, Revealing of Councils, Misprisions, Confederations, Concealments, Neglects, Omissions, Offences, Crimes, Contempts, Misdeeds and Transgressions whatsoever, by himself alone, or with any other Person, or Persons, or by any other, or others, by the Command, Advice, Assent, Consent, or Procurement of the said Thomas Earl of Danby, advised, commanded, attempted, done, performed, concealed, committed, or omitted, before the 27th Day of February, now last past. Although the Premises, or any of them, touch, or may touch Our Person, or our publick Negotiations whatfoever, or cur Transactions with Foreign Ambassadors unto Us sent, or for not right following our Instructions and Mandates to our own Ambassadors resident on Our Behalf in Foreign Parts beyond the Seas; And also all and fingular Accessaries to the Premifes, or any of them, altho' the said Thomas Earl of Danby be indicted, impeached, appealed, arrefted, convict, adjudged, or as Ambaffador condemned, or be, or be not attainted of the Premities, or any of them; and all and every Indictments, Impeachments, Inquisitions, Informations, Judgments to be required, Attainders, Outlawries, Convictions, Penalties of Death, Corporal Punishments, Imprisonments, Forfeitures, Sufferings, together with all other Pains and Penalties whatfoever, for the fame, or any of them, and all, and all manner of Suits, Complaints, Impeachments and Demands whatfoever, which We, against the said Thomas Earl of Danby, by reason of the Premises, or any of them, have had, now have, or hereafter may have, or which our Heirs or Successors in any manner may have hereafter, together with any Suit for Breach of our Peace, which to Us, our Heirs, or Successors, against the said Thomas Earl of *Danby* doth, or may belong, by Reason or  $O_{C_{-}}$ casion of the Premises, of some, or any of them; We do for ever indemnify him. Moreover we give and grant by these Presents, and it is our further Will and Pleasure, that these our Letters, and this our Pardon, Remission and Releafe therein contained, as to all and fingular the things above pardoned, remitted and released, be and shall be good and effectual in Law, altho' the Treasons, High-Treasons, Misprisions of Treasons, Insurrections, Rebellions, Felonies, Extortions, Oppressions, Betraying of Councils, Confederacies, Concealments, Negligencies, Omissions, Osfences, Crimes, Contempts, Misdemeanours and Transgressions aforesaid, be not fully specified. And notwithstanding the Statute of Richard the Second, late of England King, in the 13th Year of his Reign made and provided. And notwithstanding the Statute by the Parliament of Edward the Third, late King of England, in the 14th Year of his Reign made and provided, or any other Statute, Act, or Ordinance to the contrary heretofore publish'd and provided. And moreover of our abundant Grace, We do strictly command all Judges, Justices, or others whatfoever, that this present Letter, with our General Pardon, and General Words, Claules, and Sentences abovefaid, shall be construed, expounded and adjudged in all our Courts, and elsewhere, in the most beneficial, most ample, and most favourable Sense, and for the greatest and sirmest Discharge of the aforesaid Thomas the Earl. Vol. II.

Earl of Danby, of and from the Crimes and Offences aforesaid; according to our true Intention, and in so beneficial a Manner and Form, and to all Intents and Purposes, so as if the said Treasons, High-Treasons, Misprisions of Treafon, Crimes, Offences, Omissions, Contempts, Concealments, Negligence and Transgression a-. foresaid, and other the Premises, had been by apt, express and special Words, pardon'd, remitted and releas'd. And that these Letters-Patents, Remission, Release and Pardon, with all things therein contain'd, in whatsoever Courts, and before whatsoever Our Justices, shall be pleaded and allowed, without any Writ of Allowance, any Thing, Cause, or Matter whatsoever in any wise notwithstanding. In Witness whereof We have caused these Our Letters-Patents to be made. Witness Myself, at Westminster, the First Day of March, in the 31st Year of Our Reign.

When the Plea and Pardon were read, the Earl withdrew, and was carried back to the Tower.

On April the 25th a Message was brought from the Lords, 'That Thomas Earl of Danby had 'this Day appeared in Person at the Bar of the 'House of Lords, and had put in his Plea, which 'the Lords have sent down, desiring it may be 'return'd with all convenient Speed.' Hereupon the House of Commons appointed a Committee to examine and peruse the Plea of the Earl of Danby.

On April the 28th, the Committee made this Report, ' 1. We find no Precedent that ever ' any Pardon was granted to any Person Impeach-'ed by the Commons of High-Treason, or other ' High Crimes, the Impeachment depending. ' 2. As to the Manner of the passing the Earl of Danby's Pardon, it hath been formerly reported to the House, and the Committee refer them-' selves to that Report. 3. That by what Means 'it was obtained, the Time allowed the Committee hath been to short, that we cannot as yet ' discover the Advisers or Promoters thereof, any farther than what is mentioned in the faid Report relating to the Lord Chancellor. Resolv-' ed, That a Message be sent to the Lords, to ' desire their Lordships to demand of the Earl " of Danby, Whether he will rely upon, and abine ' by the Plea of his Pardon?' Accordingly the next Day the Earl was again brought to the Bar of the Lords House, where kneeling, and then standing up, the Lord Chancellor acquainted him, That the Commons had returned to their Lordships the Plea delivered by him at the Bar of their House on the 25th Instant, with a Defire that their Lordships would ask him, Whether he will rely upon, and abide by his said Plea? The Earl praying Time to answer, their Lordships allow'd him 'till Saturday next, and then he withdrew, and was conducted back to the Tower. He afterwards returned Answer, That he relied on his Pardon. To which Plea the Commons put in a Replication; and on May the Fifth Refolved, 'That it was the Opinion of that House, 'That the Pardon pleaded by the Earl of Danby was illegal and void, and ought not to be allow'd in Bar of an Impeachment.' Whereupon the Speaker with the House went up to the Lords Bar, and demanded Judgment against

After this, the Commons understanding that the Lords would admit the Earl of Danby to have the Validity of his Pardon pleaded at their Bar, they Resolved, ' That no Commoner what-'s soever should presume to maintain the Validity of the Pardon pleaded by the Earl of Danby, ' without the Leave of this House first had; and that the Persons so doing should be accounted Betrayers of the Liberties of the Commons of • England.

Afterwards a Difference arising between the two Houses, about the Bishops Right of Voting in any Part (tho' preliminary) of a Trial for Treason, the Commons refus'd to proceed in the Affair; the Narrative and Reasons whereof they deliver'd to the Lords at a Conference on Monday, May 26, which were as follow.

The Commons have always desir'd, that a good Correspondence may be preserv'd between the two Houses.

There is now depending between your Lordships and the Commons a Matter of the greatest Weight; in the Transactions of which, your Lord-. ships seem to apprehend some Difficulty, in the

Matters proposed by the Commons.

To clear this, the Commons have desir'd this Conference; and do readily acknowledge, That any Change in Judicature in Farliament, made without Consent in full Parliament, to be of pernicious Confequence, both to his Majesty and his Subjects; and conceive themselves oblig'd to transmit to their Posterity, all the Rights which of this kind they have received from their Anceftors, by putting your Lordships in mind of the Progress that has already been between the two Houses, in relation to Propositions made by the Commons, and the Reatonableness of the Propositions themselves; they doubt not to make it appear, that their Aim has been no other, than to avoid such Consequences, and preserve that Right; and that there is no Delay of Justice on their Part. And to that End, do offer to your Lordships the ensuing Reasons and Narrative: That the Commons in bringing the Earl of Danby to Justice, and in Discovery of that execrable and traiterous Conspiracy, have labour'd under many great Difficulties, is not unknown to your Lordships.

Nor is it less known to your Lordships, that upon the Impeachment of the House of Commons against the Earl of Danby for High-Treason, and other high Crimes, Misdemeanours and Offences, even the common Justice of Sequestring him from Parliament, and forthwith committing him to fafe Custody, was then requir'd by the Commons, and denied by the House of Peers, though he then sat in their House; of which your Lordships have been so sensible, that at a free Conference the 10th of April last, your Lordships declared, That it was the Right of the Commons, and well warranted by Precedents of former Ages, That upon an impeachment of the Commons, a Peer so impeach'd ought of right to be order'd to withdraw, and then to be committed. And had not that Justice been denied to the Commons, a great Part of this Sefsion of Parliament, which hath been spent in framing and adjusting a Bill, for causing the Earl of Danby to appear, and to answer that Justice from which he was fled, had been faved, and

had been employed for the Preservation of his Majesty's Person, and the Security of the Nation: neither had he had the Opportunity of procuring for himself that illegal Pardon, which bears Date the First of March last past, and which he hath now pleaded in Bar of his Impeachment: 'Nor of wasting so great a Proportion of the Treasure of the Kingdom, as he hath done fince the Commons exhibited their Articles of Impeachment against him.

After which Time thus lost, by reason of the Denial of that Justice, which of Right belong'd to the Commons upon their Impeachment, the faid Bill being ready for the Royal Affent, the faid Earl then rendred himself; and by your Lordships Order of the Sixteenth of April last, was committed to the Tower. After which he pleads the faid Pardon; and being press'd, did at length declare, He would rely upon, and abide by that Plea; which Pardon pleaded, being illegal and void, ought not to bar or preclude the Commons from having Justice upon the Impeachment; They did thereupon, with their Speaker, on the Fifth of May Instant, in the Name of themselves and all the Commons of England, demand Judgment against the said Earl, upon their Impeachment; not doubting, but that your Lordships did intend in all your Proceedings upon the Impeachment to follow the usual Course and Method of Parliament.

But the Commons were not a little surprized by the Message from your Lordships, deliver'd them on the Seventh of May; thereby acquainting them, That as well the Lords Spiritual as Temporal had order'd, That the Tenth of May Instant should be the Day for hearing the Earl of Danly, to make good his Plea of Pardon. And that your Lordthips had addressed to his Majesty for naming of a Lord High Steward in the Case of the Earl of Danby.

Upon Confideration of this Message, the Commons found, that the admitting of the Lords Spiritual to exercise Jurisdiction in these Cases, was an Alteration of the Judicature in Parliament; and that if a Lord High Steward should be necessary upon Trial on Impeachments of the Commons, the Power of Judicature in Parliament, upon Impeachments, might be defeated, by suspending or denying a Commission to constitute a Lord High Steward.

And that the faid Day of Trial appointed by your Lordships, was so near to the Time of your faid Message, that these Matters, and the Method of proceeding upon the Trial, could not be adjusted by Conference betwixt the two Houses, before the Day to nominated. And confequently, the Commons could not then proceed to Trial, unless the Zeal which they have for speedy Judgment against the Earl of Danby should induce them at this Juncture, both to admit the Enlargement of your Lordships Jurisdiction, and to sit down under these or any Hardships, though with the Hazard of all the Commons Power of Impeaching for time to come, rather than the Trial shou'd be deferr'd for some short time, whilst these Matters might be agreed on and settled.

For reconciling Differences in this great and weighty Matter, and for saving that Time, which would necessarily have been spent in Debates and Conferences betwixt the two Houles,

and

and for expediting the Trial, without giving up the Power of Impeachment, or rendering it ineffectual.

The Commons thought fit to propose to your Lordships, that a Committee of both Houses might be appointed for this Purpose. At which Committee (when agreed to by your Lordships) it was then agreed, that the Proposition as to the Time of the Trial, should be the last thing consider'd. And the Effect of this Agreement stands reported

upon your Lordships Books.

After which, the Commons communicated to your Lordships, by your Committee, a Vote of theirs, (viz.) That the Committee of the Commons should insist upon their former Vote of their House, That the Lords Spiritual ought not to have any Vote in any Proceedings against the Lords in the Tower, and that when that Matters would be settled, and the Method of Proceedings adjusted, the Commons should then be ready to proceed upon the Trial of the Pardon of the Earl of Danby, against whom they had before demanded Judgment; but the Commons as yet received nothing from your Lordships towards an Answer of that Vote, save that your Lordships have acquainted them, that the Bishops have ask'd Leave of the House of Peers, that they might withdraw themselves from the Trial of the Five Lords, with Liberty of entring their usual Protestation.

And tho' the Commons Committee have almost daily declared to your Lordihips Committee, that that was a necessary Point of Right to be settled before the Trial, and offered to debate the same; your Committee always answered, That they had not any Power from your Lordships, either to confer upon, or to give any Answer concerning that

Matter.

And yet your Lordships, without having given the Commons any satisfactory Answer to the said Vote, or permitting any Conference or Debate thereupon, and contrary to the faid Agreement, did, on Thursday the 22d of May, send a Message to the Commons, declaring, That the Lords Spiritual as well as Temporal, had order'd, that the 27th of this instant May be appointed for the Trial of the Five Lords.

So that the Commons cannot but apprehend that your Lordships have not only departed from what was agreed on, and in effect laid aside that Committee which was constituted for preserving a good Understanding betwixt the two Houses, and better Dispatch of the weighty Affairs now depending in Parliament, but must also needs conclude from the Mcffage, and the Votes of your Lordships on the 14th of May, that the Lords Spiritual have a Right to stay and sit in Court, till the Court proceeds to the Vote of Guilty, or Not Guilty. And from the Bishops asking Leave (as appears by your Lordships Books two Days after your faid Vote) that they might withdraw themselves from the Trial of the said Lords, with Liberty of entering their usual Protestation, and by their persisting still to go on and give in their Votes Proceeding upon the Impeachment; that their Desire of Leave to withdraw at the said Trial, is only an evasive Answer to the before-mention'd Vote of the Commons, and chiefly intended as an Argument for a Right of Judicature in Proceedings upon Impeachments, and as a Reserve to Judge upon

these and other like Impeachments, although no such Power was ever claimed by their Predecessors, but is utterly denied by the Commons. And the Commons are the rather induced to believe it so intended, because the very asking Leave to withdraw, feems to imply a Right to be there, and that they cannot be absent without it.

And because by this way they should have it in their Power, whether or no for the Future, either in the Earl of Danby's Case, or any other, they will ever ask leave to be absent; and the Temporal Lords a like Power of denying Leave, if that should once be admitted necessary.

The Commons therefore are obliged not to proceed to the Trial of the Lords on the 27th of this Instant May, but to adhere to their aforesaid Vote: And for their so doing, besides what hath been now and formerly by them said to your Lordships, do offer you these Reasons following:

#### R E ASONS

Ecause your Lordships have received the Earl of *Danby*'s Plea of Pardon with a very long and unusual Protestation, wherein he hath aspersed his Majesty by false Suggestions, as if his Majesty had commanded or countenanced the Crimes he stands charg'd with; and particularly suppressing and discouraging the Discovery of the Plot, and endeavouring to introduce an arbitrary and tyrannical Way of Government; which remains as a Scandal upon Record against his Majesty, tending to render his Person and his Government odious to his People; against which it ought to be the first and principal Care of both Houses to vindicate his Majesty, by doing Justice upon the faid Earl.

The fetting up a Pardon to be a Bar of an Impeachment, defeats the whole Use and Effect of Impeachments; and should this Point be amitted, or stand doubted, it would totally discourage the exhibiting any for the future; Whereby the chief Institution for the Prescrvation of the Government (and consequently the Government it felf) would be destroy'd. And therefore the Case of the said Earl (which in consequence concerns all Impeachments whatsoever) ought to be determin'd before that of the faid Five Lords, which is but their particular Cafe.

And without resorting to many Authorities of greater Antiquity, the Commons desire your Lordships to take notice (with the same Regard they do) of the Declaration which that Excellent Prince, King Charles the First of blessed Memory, made in this Behalf, in his Answer to the Nineteen Propositions of both Houses of Parliament: Wherein, stating the several Parts of this regulated Monarchy, he says; The King, the House of Lords, and the House of Commons, have each particular Privileges: And among those which belong to the King, he reckons Power of Pardoning. After the enumerating of which, the Earl of Danby's Plea of Pardon, and upon and other his Prerogatives, his said Majesty adds

thus:

# 742 78. Proceedings against Tho. E. of Danby Pasc. 34 Car. II.

thus: Again, That the Prince may not make use of this high and perpetual Power, to the Hurt of those for whose Good he hath it, and make use of the Name of Publick Necessity, for the Gain of his Private Favourites and Followers, to the Detriment of his People; The House of Commons (an excellent Conserver of Liberty, &c.) is solely intrusted with the first Propositions concerning the Levies of Monies, and the Impeaching of those who for their own Ends, though countenanced by any surreptitiouslygotten Command of the King, have violated the Law, which he is bound (when He knows it) to pro::Et, and to the ProteEtion of which they were bound to advise Him, at least not to serve Him in the contrary. And the Lords being trusted with a Judicatory Power, are an excellent Screen and Bank between the Prince and People, to assist each against any Increachments of the other; and by just Judgments to preserve that Law which ought to be the Rule of every one of the Three, &c. Therefore the Power legally placed in both Houses, is more than sufficient to prevent and restrain the Power of Tyranny, &c.

#### III.

Until the Commons of England have Right done them against this Plea of Pardon, they may justly apprehend, that the whole Justice of the Kingdom, in the Case of the Five Lords, may be obstructed and defeated by Pardons of like Nature.

#### IV.

An Impeachment is virtually the Voice of every particular Subject of this Kingdom, crying out against an Oppression, by which every Member of that Body is equally wounded; And it will prove a Matter of ill Consequence, That the Universality of the People should have Occasion ministred and continued to them, to be apprehensive of utmost Danger from the Crown, from whence they of Right expect Protection.

The Commons exhibited Articles of Impeachment against the said Earl, before any against the Five other Lords, and demanded Judgment upon those Articles: Whereupon, your Lordships have appointed the Trial of the faid Earl to be before that of the other Five Lords; Now your Lordships having since inverted that Order, gives a great Caule of Doubt to the House of Commons, and raises a Jealousy in the Hearts of all the Commons of England, that, if they should proceed to the Trial of the said Five Lords in the first Place, not only Justice will be obstructed in the Case of those Lords, but that they should never have right done them in the Matter of this Plea of Pardon, which is of fo fatal Consequence to the whole Kingdom, and a new Device to frustrate publick Justice in Parliament.

Which Reasons and Matters being duly weighed by your Lordships, the Commons doubt not but your Lordships will receive Satisfaction concerning their Propositions and Proceedings; and will agree, That the Commons ought not, nor can, without deferting their Trust, depart from their former Vote communicated to your Lordships; That the Lords Spiritual ought not to have any Vote in any Proceedings against the Lords in the

the Methods of Proceedings adjusted, the Commons shall then be ready to proceed upon the Trial of the Earl of Danby.

### May 27, 1679.

The Narrative and Reasons delivered at the Conference Yesterday with the House of Commons were again read, and after a long Debate, the Vote of this House, dated the 13th of May Instant, and the Explanation thereupon, dated the 14th Instant, were read, and the Question was put, Whether to insist upon these Votes concerning the Lords Spiritual, and it was resolv'd in the Affirmative.

### DISSENTERS Present.

Buckingham. Hutingdon. Kent. Shaftsbury, P.R.  $\it Bedford.$ Winchester. Rochester. North and Grey. Suffolk, 7. Lovelace. Townshend. Herbert. Gray. Stamford. Newport. Say and Seal. P. Wharton. Leicester. Scarsdale. Strafford. Derby. Delamer. Howard. Paget. Clare. Salisbury. Falconberg. Windsor.

The same Day the King came to the House and prorogued the Parliament, and foon after dissolv'd it, and a new one call'd, which was not suffer'd to lit.

Proceedings in the King's Bench, upon the Earl of Danby's Motion for Bail.

HE Earl of *Danby* having been confin'd for A above three Years, and no Parliament fitting, to which he could apply for his Liberty, brought an Habeas Corpus in the King's-Bench, in order to be Bail'd; which came to be argued May 27, 1682, Easter Term. 34. Car. II.

Immediately after his Lordship was in Court, the Return of the Habeas Corpus was read, and Mr. Saunders (of Council for his Lordship) did move the Court, That whereas in Easter-Term, 1681, the Court had dismis'd his Lordship with a Declaration, that they would take into their Consideration, till the Term following, what Anfwer they would make to what had then been Tower; and when that Matter shall be settled, and said to them by his Lordship and his Council; he

there-

therefore moved, That they might now accordingly know the Pleasure of the Court, and that they would be pleased to grant Bail to the Earl

of Danby.

But before the said Mr. Saunders could well have pronounced the foregoing Words, the Lord Chief Justice Pemberton did reprimand the said Mr. Saunders, for having offer'd to impose upon the Court what had never been said by them; faying, That there was no fuch thing as their having faid, at any time, that they would take the Earl of Danby's Case into farther consideration; for that they had told my Lord of Danby the last time, That it was not in their Power to give him any Relief at all; and that he therefore wonder'd, and must exteamely blame Mr. Saunders, for moving the Court again in a Matter to which they had already given such a positive Answer; and could not but admire, that he should so misinform his Client, as to give him any such Advice, which could only be to the giving both him and the Court an unnecessary Trouble.

To all which Mr. Saunders replied, That he humbly begg'd his Lordship's Pardon, if he had mistaken him; for that truly he did understand, that his Lordship had declar'd that he would take time to consider of my Lord of Danby's Case till the following Term; but that if it was a Mistake, he must beg his Lordship's Pardon; and did believe the rest of his Brethren took it so, as well as himfelt.

The Earl of *Danby* then spoke himself for about two Hours, and said to the Lord Chief Justice, That he met with an Objection, which he did not expect; and that he must beg his Lordship's Pardon, not to let that pass for a Mistake which his Council had affirm'd, of the Court's having taken Time to consider of his Case, till the next Term after that of his Lordship's being last there; for that his Lordship did therein appeal both to the rest of the Judges, which were upon the Bench with him, and to all other Persons whatfoever, who were then in Court, whether his Lordship had not said, that if he (meaning the Earl of Danby) pleased, they would take time to consider of his Case till the next Term; and he did declare upon his Honour, that those Words had been pronounced to him by my Lord Chief Justice himself, and that he did then accept it as a Favour from the Court, and did return his humble Thanks to them for it: Insomuch, that his Lordship said, That he consessed he was very much surprized to meet with such an Introduction at the first entrance into a Matter which he conceived to be of so great Weight, as he doubted not but he should make this Case of his appear to be.

But yet, that it did give him Reason to believe, that he came with some Prejudice before his Lordship, and that they were so much prepossessed in this Matter, that if he did not think every Man in England would find that he might be concern'd in what Resolution should be given in this Case, as well as himself, he should scarcely have ventured upon it, though he had lain fo long under so unreasonable a Confinement, as he took himself to do; and therefore he must desire their Lordship's Patience and Attention to what he had to offer in his own Behalf, and (as he believed) in the Behalf of the Liberty of the Subject in general.

His Lordship, directing himself to the Lord Chief Justice, said, It was just now a Year since he was before his Lordship in this Court; and that he was assured, that his Lordship did then please to tell him, That they would take Time to the following Term to consider of what they should think fit to do in his Lordship's Case: But he said, that Care was then taken the first Day of that following Term to prevent his coming there, by an Indistment which was brought against him (ready cut and dry'd, as he had been told) for his being privy to the Murder of Sir Edmund-Bury Godfrey.

He said he did not wonder at it, because there was nothing fo black, which had not been Invented to be said against him; but, he confessed, he did wonder to hear, that such an Evidence of an Irish Papist (who was upon Trial for his own Life, and upon an Hear-say only) should be believed against an English Protestant, by a Jury of English-Men, and some of them Gentlemen; but yet that Wonder hath been much abated, when he heard that the same Fore-man had been as favourable in the Case of a Notorious Murder, as he had been ready to find that Murder against him, which had not the least *Probability* in it, and which no Man could think of with more Detestation both of the Fast, and of any Man that could have an

hand in it, than himself.

However, this prevented him from coming there again, till after Notice had been given to Sir Edmund-Bury Godfrey's Brothers, to know if they had any thing further to say against him on that Matter, and that he could get himself discharg'd from that *Indistment*; and as to the Wretch himself, Fitz Herris, (who had accused him) he did Two Days before his Death send the Minister of the Tower to his Lordship to beg of him that, before he died, his Lordship would forgive him his having sworn falsly against him; and he did confess, that he was put upon it to Save his own Life; and did say by whom he was prompted to it. And whether it proceeded from those Men, who might think their Villanies discovered, and so might fear they could no way be safe but by putting that Matter yet farther againist him, or from what other Cause, his Lordship could not tell; but (if his Lordship was not misinformed) he did hear that Some Men were still endeavouring to get something more of the same Nature to be sworn against him, if they can contrive how to make their forg'd Testimonies to agree about it.

He said he thought that Time would have tired out the Malice of fuch Blood-Suckers before now, whom he had found so busy, for the first Year and half after his Imprisonment; that he was not a Week without Endeavours used by strange People to get to speak with him, and fuch as he had Reason often to suspect to be Knights of the Post; amongst whom the Story of one Magrath, (another Irish-Man) he said, was notably remarkable, in his Endeavour (under Pretence of Kindness) to have made him their Tool, to prove, that Sir Edmund-Bury Godfrey had killed himself: But he said, he had the good Fortune immediately to detect that Villany, as he hoped yet to live to do of some others; but that in the mean time he forefaw, that he was always to be a particular Object of the Malice of such Men, so long as he was left under this Confinement, from which he saw no Hopes to be relieved, but

by

# 744 78. Proceedings against Tho. E. of Danby Pasc. 34 Car. II.

by that Court, where the Law directs every Englishman to come for Justice that is oppressed in his

Liberty.

He said, he hoped his Lordship would forgive him for having been a little tedious on that Subject of Sir Edmund-Bury Godfrey, because his Reputation had been so much exposed in that Particular, and before that Court.

After his Discharge from that Indictment, he said, there seem'd to be a Probability of the Call of a Parliament in some short time; and whenever he could give himself the least Hopes of that, he resolved to trouble no other Place: But that now he had not the least Prospect of that kind; and that he had been a Prisoner above Three Years, and yet could safely swear, he was without the Knowledge to that Day, for what real Crimes he was committed; only he knew that the Name of Treason had been laid to his Charge, without faying wherein the Treason confifted.

He said he came, therefore, now to that Court (as the only proper Place for all Persons to resort to for their Liberty) and he was forry, that he was put to the great Disadvantage of speaking in his own Cause. But because he saw the last time he was there, that some Fault seemed to be found with his Council, for urging things which feem'd to relate to Matters of Parliament (altho' upon a due Consideration of his Request, there is nothing in it which does touch their Jurisdiction) he had chosen rather to rely upon the Court's Pardoning his Defects, than put any further Hardships upon those Gentlemen, who had been his Counsel, to whom he had been more beholden, than they had been to him, for that they had undergone some unheard-of Rebukes already in another Place, for offering to be of Counsel with him, (though in Matters of Law) which he believed had never been heard of, but in his Case; and he hoped, that (when all his Circumstances shall have been well considered) he shall be the last Englishman that will ever have so many Hardships put upon him; as will appear to be thro' every Part of his Cafe.

In the first Place he said, That he had been both Accused and Committed, without any Oath or Affidavit made against him, for any Crime whatever: Which had been in the Case of no other Lord but himself, and he did believe of no other Man.

Secondly, That there was no particular Treason mention'd in the Articles against him, only the Word Traiterously had been applied to things which were not Treason, if they had been true (as was then declared by Sir William Jones, the King's Attorney) and he said, there were good store of Witnesses to prove, that when it could not be maintained by Argument in the House of Commons, that any of the Crimes mentioned against him were Treason; It was answered by one of the long Robe there, (who would not have spared to have assigned the Treason, had there been any) that however they ought to give the Title of High-Treason to the Articles, for that otherwise they would dwindle to nothing when they came into the House of Peers. Now in the Impeachments of other Lords (not to meddle with the Truth or Falsity of their Accusers) they were charg'd with the highest Treasons in Name, and upon Oaths made against them.

Thirdly, When a short Day was set by the

Lords for his being heard; and that he appeared that Day accordingly, his Counsel was then threatned if they did dare to plead Matter of Law for him: Which he said was never heard of beføre in any Man's Case whatsoever, nor in the worst of Times.

Fourthly, He said if all the Articles had been true against him, and had been Treason; he had his Majesty's Pardon (which he then shewed to the Court, and demanded the Benefit of it) faying, That that did pardon both his Crimes (if he were Guilty of any) and his Imprisonment; and yet, that both that Pardon and He had been Prisoners together for above three Years (of which he faid he durst confidently affirm, that his was the first Precedent fince the Conquest.)

Fifthly, He set forth, That he had not only his Majesty's Pardon, but that there had been his Majesty's Declaration of it in his Speech to his two Houses of Parliament, together with a Declaration of his Innocency, and a Declaration that he would give him his Pardon Ten times over, if that were defective either in Matter or Form. And in this also he said, that his Case was not only particular from any others, but that such Declarations of the King's Intentions to Pardon, (altho' the formal Pardons have not been obtained) have heretofore been alone a Ground to procure Bail at least, when the Party has been the King's Prisoner, and at the King's Suit; which he supposed was not doubted in his Case,

Sixthly, He said that he had not only been thus Committed, and thus Detained for above forty Months, but he had been kept a Prisoner without any Profecution for the greatest part of that time; which is another sufficient Ground by the Law for Bail: But instead of a Restraint Ad Custodiam, he said he had undergone Punishments greater than the Crimes alledged against him could have deserved if they had been true; both by the length of his Imprisonment, which was agreed to be a sufficient Ground for Bail, both by the King's Council and the Prisoner's, in the Arguments on the Grand Habeas Corpus, 3 Car. as also in Melvin's Case, 1 Car. and in Sir Thomas Darnell's and other Cases.

By the Inconvenience of his Accommodations in the Prison, for above two Years and an half of the time.

By Two most dangerous Sicknesses in the Priion. And

By the Loss of Divers of his Family since his being in Prison, who would some of them most certainly not have been in those Places where they

have been lost, had he been at Liberty.

He said he was informed, That his Majesty had been again pleased to give his Directions to Mr. Attorney, to give his Consent a second time to his Bail, and he did beg leave to ask Mr. Attorney if it were so? Whereupon Mr. Attorney did stand up, and say, that he had his Majesty's Directions to give his Majesty's Consent again to his Lordship's being Bailed.

His Lordship did thereupon say, That this also was Particular in his Case; and he did believe, there was scarce a Precedent in the World, of the King's Prisoner, and at the King's Suit, not being Bailed when there hath been the King's Consent to it; unless where the Prisoner hath not been able to find sufficient Security for his Appearance to abide his Trial. For that the two only justifiable Grounds for the continuance of Restraint

by the Law arc, either for keeping the Party from being able to do any harm by his being at Liberty, (of which the King is the best Judge) or for securing the Party to abide the Judgment of the Law, (in which the Court ought to have good Satisfaction) and so they might have sufficiently in his Case.

He said, That the Precedent would be no less strange and new against the King, than against himself, if they should not permit him to be Bail'd under füch Circumstances: For he had heard, that the Law did admit of no Absurdity: Now he did desire to know, how any thing could in Reason be more Absurd on behalf of the King, than if the King's Pritoner, and at the King's Suit, should be kept in Prison by any of the King's

Courts, against the King's Will?

Or how, faid he, can any thing be more dangerous to the Subject, or be a plainer failure of Justice (whatever may be pretended to the contrary) than to fay, That there can be any fuch Restraint of English Liberty, as cannot obtain so much as Bail, but by the leave of the House of Lords? When that House can neither meet but when the King pleases, nor can never sit longer than he pleases: So that to say a Man shall be a close Prisoner (I mean by that a Prisoner without Bail, for Bail itlelf is Imprisonment in the Eye of the Law) till he shall be discharged by the House of Lords; is to fay, that a Man shall be a Prifoner during the King's Pleasure; which was the Great Grievance complained of, when the Petition of Right was, granted, and that was thought to have fully and for ever Redressed that Grievance.

But if after so many Heats and Disputes which our Ancestors have had with the Crown about their Liberties, this Doctrine should now be admitted for Law; We would feem to endeavour, (as much as in us lies) to bring it to this Conclusion, and be our own Felo's de se; That the King shall have a way found out by ourselves, and without his seeking, how he may Imprison any Man, cr number of Men, when he pleases, in a Parliamentary way; and by Diffolving that Parliament he may keep them as long as he pleases in a Prison without Remedy: But that he shall neither have Power to Relieve us himself by his own Authority, nor by his Courts of Justice. So as in short, by this Doctrine, the King should only have Power to burt his Subjects as much and as long as he pleases, but should not be able to do them any Right if he would; and then we shall have Magna Charta and the Petition of Right revers'd, instead of receiving that Benefit by them, which the Kings of England have been to Gracious as to give us, and all the Learned Writers upon those happy Laws of Liberty have told us, we are secure under, at all Times, and against all Accidents whatever.

He then beg'd leave to observe to his Lordship what he found in my Lord Chief Justice Coke's Comments upon Magna Charta; who said, That the Words Nulli Vendemus, Nulli Negabimus, aut Differenus Justitiam vel Restum, are spoken in the Person of the King, who (in Judgment of Law) is always present, and repeating the said Words in all his Courts of Justice.

And therefore (fays he) every Subject in the Realm may, at all times, have Remedy by the Courie of the Law; and may have Justice done freely, fully, and speedily, without Delay; for Reason alone (were there no other) he ought of that Delay is a fort of Denial.

The said Lord Coke observes farther, That those Words of Magna Charta are fully expounded by latter Statutes, viz. 20 Eliz. 3, &c. which do direct, That there shall be no Delay nor Hindrance of speedy Justice to any Man, neither by any Seal, nor by any Order, nor any Writ whatfoever; neither from the King, nor from any other, nor by any other Caufe.

Now, whatever may be faid out of other Considerations, he said, No Man can deny, but that there is great Delay of Justice (to say no worse of it) to any Man who (through no Neglect of his own) can neither get Trial nor Bail in above three Years, altho' his Crimes were never fo great. And he faid, he durst be confident, that the Makers of Magna Charta did believe they had secured all Englishmen from ever being under the Possibility of such a Danger; and that he made no Question at all, but that by Law we are fo.

He said, That the said Lord Coke does say in his Comment upon the 15 W, 1. (where he speaks of what Things are Ballable, and what are not; and names Treason amongst the Things not Bailable) that is (fays he) fich Offences shall not be Replevied by the Sheriff; but all or any of these, he saith, may be Balled in the King's-Bench.

And he faid, he had also some of the present Judges Opinions to thew, in this Point, which he defired to read out of a Copy of the Lords Journal, (212.) 23d Decemb. 1678. The Lysfion being put, Whether the Lord Treasurer should withdraw? 'I was carried in the Negative. And on the 27th of the said December, The Question being put, Whether the Earl of Danby, Lord High Treasurer, (who stands Impeached by the House of Commons) should be committed? It was Resolved in the Negative.

And it was the same Day proposed to the Judges, Whether the Judges can Bail any Perion, in Case of Misprission of Treason, wherein the

King's Life is concern'd?

To which Sir William Scroggs (Lord Chief Juftice of the King's-Bench,) Sir Francis North (Lord Chief Justice of the Court of Common-Pleas,) Justice Windham, Justice Jones, and other of the Judges then prefent, gave severally their Opinions; That the Court of King's Bench may take Bail for High-Treason of any kind, if they see Caufe.

He cited the Lord Coke also in his Comment on the 24 W. II. where he fays, That it is a Rule in Law, Quod Curia Regis non debet Deficere Conquerentibus in Justitia Exhibenda. And the Reason of this is, that a Feilure of Justice may be prevented; which, he frequently fays, is Abhorred by the Law: So as it appears, That the Law abbors all Failure of Justice; and he said, That if such Failure do appear in his Case, or any Man's else, no Order can license such Failure; nor no Court can Justify the not giving Relief against it: And he said, he should either make fuch Failure appear, or his Lordship (meaning the Lord Chief Justice) would be able to tell him where he might repair for Justice; which both Megna Charta and the Petition of Right are underitood to have provided at all times for the Subjests Liberty: But if his Lordship could not inform him where he might appeal forthwith for his Liberty; in that Cafe, he said, That for that Right 5 C

Vol. II.

## 746 78. Proceedings against Tho E. of Danby Pasc. 34 Car. II.

Right to be admitted to Bail by that Court; till he could be brought before such a Judicature, as

had Power to ditcharge him.

He observed also, That the Lord Coke (in a Chapter on the King's-Bench) did say, That that Court might Bail for any Offence whatsoever: And that in the faid Chapter it was particularly observed, That there had been such Care taken by the Law to avoid Failure of Justice, (even in small Matters, in comparison of Liberty) that he gives there an Example concerning a Clerk or Officer of that Court: For he takes notice of what Things, and against whom that Court hath Power to hold Plea by Bill. And amongst those, he names against any Osficer or Clerk of that Court; and gives the Reason: Because if they should be Sued in any other Court, they would have the Privilege of that Court, which might be the Cause of a Failure of Justice. And from this his Lordship said it did appear, That the Law intended that there should be no Failure of Justice by the Privilege of any Court, how great soever it was; for that (as was said before) the Law did abhor all Failure of Justice. And he said, if such Care had been taken against a Fai-Iure, in such small Matters, and not in what concern our Liberties; all Englishmen ought justly to break out into the like Exclamations, as the same Lord Coke and many others did, in their Speeches in Parliament, and in their Pleadings, 3 & 5 Car. upon the Argument of the Habeas Corpus at that time: Where the Lord Coke breaks forth into this Expression; Shall I bave an Estate of Inheritance for Life, or for Years, in my Land? And shall I be Tenant at Will for my Liberty? Shall I have Property in my Goods by the Laws; and not Liberty in my Person? And thereupon he tells us, That Perspicue vera non funt probanda; As taking for granted, That our Liberties were not to be doubted, where our Properties were so secured: And the King (says he) had distributed his Judicial Power to Courts, and to his Ministers of Justice; Who are to see Right done.

And he said, The Lord Coke gave the Reasons of those Laws which are against undue Imprisonments; and that one of those is, For the Indefiniteness of Time; which, he says, may be perpetual during Life: And that his Words are, That it is unreasonable to think, that a Man has a Remedy for his Horse or Cattle, (if detained) and none for his Body indefinitely Imprisoned: For that a Prison without any prefixed Time is a kind of Hell.

And here his Lordship said, That he hoped the Court would either allow him Bail, or tell him a prefixed Time, when he should be Tried or Discharged. He did then also quote the Case of the Duke of Suffolk, 26 H. VI. and the Opinions of Prescot and Fortescue, (who were eminent Judges) who said, That he ought not to be Committed, (tho' for Treason) without Especial Cause of the Treason shewed; which had not been in his Cafe.

He further said, That many other Principal Gentlemen of that Parliament had spoke most Sensibly on the same Subject of Liberty; and amongst the rest, Sir Robert Philips had said, to have our Liberties (which are the Souls of our Lives) taken from us, and to be pent up in Goals without Remedy by Law; and this to be so adjudged (for so that Court had then thought To which, he said, Whitlocke answer'd, First,

time also best known to themselves) he cries out, O Improvident Ancestors! O Unwise Fore-Fathers! To be so curious in providing for the quiet Possession of our Lands, and to neglett our Persons and Bodies! And to let them lie in Prison! And without Remedy, durante bene placito! If this be Law, what do we talk of our Liberties? This (says he) is Summa Totalis of all Miseries.

He said also, That Mr. Selden did in the same Parliament argue, at a Conference with the Lords. That in all Cases where any Right or Liberty belongs to the Subject, by any Positive Law, written or unwritten, if there were not also a Remedy by Law, for enjoying or regaining of this Right of Liberty, when it is violated or taken from him, the Positive Law were most vain, and to no purpose; and it were to no purpose, for any Man to have any Right in Land, Liberty, or other Inheritance, if there were not a known Remedy, by which, in some Court of ORDI-NARY JUSTICE he might recover it; and in this Case of Right and Liberty of Person, if there were not a Remedy in the Law for regaining it, when it is restrain'd, it were to no purpose

to speak of Laws. Here he desired leave to shew his Lordship what Sir Nicholas Hide (when sitting as Lord Chief Justice in that Court) did say on this Occasion, viz. That the King's Pleasure is, his Law should take place and be executed; and for that do we sit here: And whether the Commitment be by the King, or others, this Court is the Place where the King doth fit in Person to do Right, if Injury be done; and if it appear that any Man hath Wrong done to him by his Imprisonment, we have Power to deliver or discharge him. And he farther faid, That the fame Lord Chief Justice Doderidge, Jones, and Whitlocke, (answering the Prisoner's Countel at that time) did say, the Attorney-General had told them, That the King had done it; and that they (the Judges) do ever trust him in great Matters. And here he took occasion to say, That he hoped that the King's Consent to his Bail, and his Declaration of his Innocency, would be now as much trufted in this Court.

He cited also the Arguments of Mr. Calthorpe for Sir John Corbet, on the same Occasion, who faid, That, admit the Commitment were lawful, yet when a Man hath continued in Prison a reafonable time, he ought to be brought to Answer, and not to be continued still in Prison: For that it appears by the Books of our Laws, that Liberty is a thing so favour'd by the Law, that the Law will not suffer the Continuance of any Man in Prison, longer than of necessity must.

He cited also Mr. Hackwell; who said, upon the same Occasion, That the Law admits not the Power of detaining in Prison at Pleasure, when the Imprisonment is but pro Custodia: For a Man, by long Imprisonment, might otherwise be punished before his Offence. And he mention'd an Expression of his, That long Imprisonment was, Vita pejor Morte.

He mentioned how the Commons, at the End of the Parliament 3 Car. did desire, That the Judges might declare themselves upon the Matter, why those Gentlemen had not been Bailed, when (by the Judges Arguments) it was possible they might have been kept Prisoners all their days,

fit to deny Bail, for Reasons which were at that Novio; but they did Remand them, that they

might

might better advise of the Matter; and that the Gentlemen, if they had pleased, might have had a new Writ of Habeas Corpus, when they thought fit. And Secondly, That he had spent much time in this Court; and that in such great Cases, he never knew any Man Bailed without the King first consulted in it: And the same, he said, was then faid by the rest of the Judges of that Court.

Hereupon he made two Remarks: First, that by this it did appear, that upon Consideration, That Court had altered their Opinions in the Case of Bail, just contrary to what their first Opinions had been positive in: And, Secondly, That the confulting of the King was ever neceffiry in fuch great Cales. And he faid, he did believe, that there was not a Precedent, where the King had agreed to the Ball, that ever it had been denied.

He faid, That those Men whom he had quoted, were Men of no Ordinary Understanding in the Laws: And as the Judges then did at last acknowledge their Sense of them to be right, so he said, he hoped our Laws were neither changed nor diminished, in what related to the Subjects Liberties; and he hoped that no Order of one House, nor Ordinance of both Houses, nor King alone, nor King and either House alone, could alter them: And he refled affured, that a King and Parliament would never alter them to the Prejudice of Liberty.

He proceeded, That if the Law were still the same it was heretofore, it was plain that that Law did both give a Power to that Court to Bail for all Offences whatfoever (and for Treafon particularly) and did require, that the Subjest should at all Times find Remedy in it, when his Liberty was Restrained, By Any Cause WHATEVER.

The Chief Reasons, he said, why such large Powers had been given to that Court, were principally for avoiding all Failure of Justice; and to the End, First, That the King may both have a Means of giving Right to his Subjects at all times, according to his Oath at his Coronation, and according to the Intent of Magna Charta, and the Petition of Right. And Secondly, That there might be a constant Place for the Subject to refort unto for Remedy et all times, whenfoever he was oppress'd in his Liberty: And he hoped we were not now to learn a new Law, That the King could neither keep his Oath, nor maintain Magna Charta, nor the Petition of Right, without the affistance of an Extraordinary Court, which he may both chule, whether he will call, or when he will call it, and how long it shall sit; which (as he had said) would put all under the King's absolute Will.

He then faid, he took for granted, that there would be no Dispute made in this Matter, but that there was en Order of the Lords which was supposed to stand in the way: But he said, if his Lordship would give him Leave, he would first say something to the Order itself, and then he hoped to show his Lordship, that it stood not at all in the way, as to his Request of Bail; and that it should neither be interfered with in the least, nor the Jurisdiction of the Lords, nor their Proceedings meddled with in any kind, by his being Buled; but rather owned and submitted to, by his being Bailed to the Parliament.

And first for the Order itself, he desired the Court to observe, that it was dated the 19th of he said, that there was a late Precedent in the March 1678, and worded as followeth, viz.

VOL. II.

Die Mercurii, 19 no Martii, 167 s.

HE House this Day taking into Consideration the Report made from the Lords Committee for Privileges, that in pursuance of the Order of the 17th Instant to them directed, for considering whether Petitions of Appeal, which were prejented to. this House in the last Parliament, be still in sorce to be proceeded on; and for considering of the State of Impeachments brought up from the House of Conmons the last Parliament; and all the Incidents relating thereto; upon which the Lords Committees were of Opinion, That in all Cases of Appeals, and Writs of Errors, they continue, and are to be proceeded on in Statu quo, as they stood at the Dissolution of the last Parliament, without beginning De Novo. And that the Diffolution of the last Parliament doth not alter the State of the Impeachments brought up by the Commons in that Parliament.

Now upon this Order, he observed, That it related as well to Appeals and Writs of Error, as to Impeachments; and seemed to be more fully worded to them, than to Impeachments; the Words STATUQUO, and without beginning De Novo, being annex'd in the Order more particularly to the Appeals and Writs of Error; whereas all that was faid as to the 1mpeachments, he observed to be, that the Diffelution of That Last Parliament doth not alter the State of Impeachments brought up by the Commons in THAT PARLIA-MENT, and are not Words which (strictly taken) can bind after the Disiblution of any other than that Parliament.

But he said, If the Order should be Expounded otherwise, yet that both the Law, and the Practice of the Inferior Courts, were undoubtedly contrary, In the Cases of Appeals and Writs of Error. And, he hoped, no Court would take upon them to Expound the Order fo, as if they were at Liberty to slip the Order, and to judge, which Part of the same Order should be binding, and which not; for that would be to meddle, in a more extraordinary Manner, with the Proceedings of the Lords, than he had defired.

Now, as to Writs of Error, he faid, there were full Resolutions of the Judges in the Case, tho' in times of Prorogations only, when a Day is set for the sitting of the Lords House; and he cited the Cafe of Heydon and Godfalve, in Croke's Reports; as also the Lord Chief Justice Hale, who did not only grant Execution upon a Writ of Error (depending in Parliament) but did also answer the Desendant's Counsel (who would have pleaded the Lords Order in Bar of the Execution) that he should always pay all due Respect to that Superior Court of the Lords; but that he must act according to Law; and, that he knew, that the Lords did not intend otherwise. And of this Lord Chief Justice Pemberton himself, who he said had denied Restitution upon an Execution lately taken out, in Case where a Writ of Error was, and is still depending in Parliament. And in Cases of Appeals, he faid, he was inform'd, that the Court of Chancery did not take any Notice of the Appeal being in Parliament after a Dissolution, but did notwithstanding proceed to Sequestration, And

5 C 2 Court